

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
NORTHERN AND EASTERN DIVISION

ANTHONY T. LEE, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Intervenor	)	
and Amicus Curiae,	)	
	)	
NATIONAL EDUCATION	)	
ASSOCIATION, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Intervenor,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	NOS.
	)	
LEE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv845-MHT
RUSSELL COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv848-MHT
TALLAPOOSA COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv849-MHT
ALEXANDER CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv850-MHT
AUBURN CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv851-MHT
OPELIKA CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv853-MHT
PHENIX CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv854-MHT
ROANOKE CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	3:70cv855-MHT
BUTLER COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	2:70cv3099-MHT
COVINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	2:70cv3102-MHT
ELMORE COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	2:70cv3103-MHT
CRENSHAW COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,	)	2:66cv2455-MHT
et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

OPINION ON STATE-WIDE FACILITIES ISSUE

On April 20, 2006, in these local school-desegregation cases, this court wrote that, "The parties have submitted to the court a proposed consent decree on the state-wide facilities issue that is 'fair, adequate, and reasonable,' ... is not illegal or against public policy, ... and thus meets the requirement of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." Lee v. Lee County Bd. of Educ., 2006 WL 1041994 \*1 (M.D. Ala. 2006) (citation omitted). The court therefore approved the consent decree. Id.

