

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

PATRICE LEE, #262331,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:09-CV-500-ID
)	[WO]
CYNTHIA WHEELER-WHITE, et al.,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This case is pending before the court on a 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition for habeas corpus relief filed by Patrice Lee [“Lee”], a state inmate, on May 26, 2009.¹ In this petition, Lee challenges the split sentence imposed upon her by the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama (Bessemer Division) for a 2008 robbery conviction. Lee also requests that the trial court award her additional time-served credit on this sentence.

DISCUSSION

This court “in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice” may transfer an application for writ of habeas corpus to “the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted” the petitioner. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). Lee

¹Although the Clerk of this court stamped the present petition “received” on May 27, 2009, Lee certified she placed the petition in the prison mailing system on May 26, 2009. *Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus - Court Doc. No. 1* at 15. The law is well settled that a pro se inmate’s petition is deemed filed the date it is delivered to prison officials for mailing. *Houston v. Lack*, 487 U.S. 266, 271-272 (1988); *Adams v. United States*, 173 F.3d 1339, 1340-41 (11th Cir. 1999); *Garvey v. Vaughn*, 993 F.2d 776, 780 (11th Cir. 1993). “Absent evidence to the contrary in the form of prison logs or other records, [this court] must assume that [the instant petition] was delivered to prison authorities the day” Lee certified she undertook such action. *Washington v. United States*, 243 F.3d 1299, 1301 (11th Cir. 2001). In light of the foregoing, the court considers May 26, 2009 as the date of filing.

seeks reconsideration of her split sentence in order to obtain eligibility for correctional incentive time the award of which would allow an earlier release date. *Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus - Court Doc. No. 1* at 5. Moreover, Lee contends the amount of credit granted to her by the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama on the sentence imposed in November of 2008 for second degree robbery is incorrect. Specifically, Lee requests that such state court be required to award her additional credit towards her “for the time [she] was out on bond” awaiting sentencing. *Id.* at 7. Lee advises this time period encompasses approximately ten months. *Id.* Jefferson County is located within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In light of the foregoing, the court concludes that transfer of this case to such other court for review and disposition is appropriate.²

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

It is further

ORDERED that on or before June 11, 2009 the parties may file objections to the Recommendation. Any objections filed must specifically identify the findings in the

²In transferring the instant case, this court makes no determination regarding the merits of the petitioner’s claims for relief nor whether the petitioner has exhausted available state court remedies prior to filing a federal habeas petition as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(1)(A).

Magistrate Judge's Recommendation to which the party is objecting. Frivolous, conclusive or general objections will not be considered by the District Court. The parties are advised that this Recommendation is not a final order of the court and, therefore, it is not appealable.

Failure to file written objections to the proposed findings in the Recommendation shall bar the party from a de novo determination by the District Court of issues covered in the report and shall bar the party from attacking on appeal factual findings in the report accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice. *Nettles v. Wainwright*, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982). *See Stein v. Reynolds Securities, Inc.*, 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). *See also Bonner v. City of Prichard*, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981, en banc), adopting as binding precedent all decisions of the former Fifth Circuit issued prior to September 30, 1981.

Done this 29th day of May, 2009.

/s/ Wallace Capel, Jr.
WALLACE CAPEL, JR.
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE