

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

GEORGE HOEY MORRIS,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:11-cv-926-MEF
)	(WO – Do Not Publish)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER

On October 31, 2011, the Magistrate Judge entered an order denying Petitioner’s Request for Portion of Grand-Jury Transcripts. (Doc. #6.) Petitioner objected to and appealed that order to this Court. (Doc. #11.) On December 22, 2011, having found no “particularized need” for the requested material, this Court overruled Petitioner’s objection to and appeal of the Magistrate Judge’s October 31, 2011 order and denied Petitioner’s request for a portion of the grand jury transcripts. (Doc. #16.)

On October 31, 2012, recognizing that Petitioner’s objection to and appeal of the October 31, 2011 order also contained a Motion to Protect Grand Jury Transcripts (Doc. #11), the Magistrate Judge entered a separate order denying Petitioner’s motion to protect grand jury transcripts. (Doc. #41.) Petitioner again objected to and appealed that decision to this Court and renewed his Motion to Protect Grand Jury Records. (Doc. #43.)

As this Court previously stated, a party seeking disclosure of grand jury transcripts must show a “particularized need” for the material. *See Douglas Oil Co. v. Petrol Shops NW.*, 441 U.S. 211, 222 (1979). Once again, Petitioner has failed to allege or otherwise

