

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

JERMAINE MITCHELL, #205893,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	CASE NO. 2:12-cv-249-WHA
)	
WILLIAM WYNNE, JR., et al.,)	(WO)
)	
Defendants.)	

ORDER

This case is before the court on the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. #41), and the Plaintiff’s Objection (Doc. #42). Following an independent evaluation and *de novo* review of this case, the court finds as follows:

This is a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action in which the Plaintiff alleges that the Defendants violated the directives of various state statutes during the parole consideration process which resulted in the decision to deny him parole. He also challenges the constitutionality of the parole consideration process and the decision to deny him parole. The Complaint also references due process, cruel and unusual punishment, the *ex post facto* clause, free speech, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and state law claims. This court determines that the Magistrate Judge has appropriately analyzed all claims and has come to the correct conclusions.

Therefore, the Plaintiff’s objections are **OVERRULED**, the court **ADOPTS** the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and it is hereby

ORDERED that the Defendants’ motions for summary judgment are **GRANTED**, and this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

DONE this 23rd day of March, 2015.

/s/ W. Harold Albritton
W. HAROLD ALBRITTON
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE