IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

```
EDWARD BRAGGS, et al.,
     Plaintiffs,
                             )
                                  CIVIL ACTION NO.
                             )
                                    2:14cv601-MHT
                             )
     v.
                                         (WO)
JEFFERSON S. DUNN, in his
official capacity as
Commissioner of
the Alabama Department of
Corrections, et al.,
                             )
                             )
     Defendants.
                             )
```

PHASE 2A REVISED REMEDY SCHEDULING ORDER
ON THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAIM

Based on the representations made on the record on March 25, 2019, it is ORDERED that the remaining deadlines and dates for the Phase 2A remedy scheduling order for the Eighth Amendment claim are revised as follows:

	OLD DATES	NEW DATES
SEGREGATION		
SEGREGATION		
Parties to develop schemes to	Continued	
verify that defendants are now	generally pending	
accurately and timely identifying		
SMI inmates with regard to	resolution of the	
segregation	monitoring issue	
In-person oral argument on how to	5/14/19 at 10:00	
proceed on remedies for	a.m.	
violations found in supplemental		
liability opinion. (doc. nos.		
2353, 2397, & 2398).		
HOSPITAL-LEVEL CARE		
Parties to file initial briefs	5/1/19 at noon	
for upcoming oral argument.		
Parties to file reply briefs for	5/8/19 at noon	
upcoming oral argument.		
In-person oral argument to	5/14/19 at 10:00	
discuss "what the substantive law	a.m.	
is" and "how" to proceed in		
light of defendants' statement as		
to whether revised stipulations		
meet the PLRA's		
'need-narrowness-intrusiveness'		
requirements. (doc. nos. 2383 &		
2382). Counsel for plaintiffs		
have already said that they do.		
SUICIDE PREVENTION		
Oral argument and hearing on	3/27/19 at 9:00	3/28/19 at 9:00 a.m.
parties' joint proposals on	a.m.	,
'methods' defendants can use to		
verify that segregation rounds		
are being properly conducted in		
segregation and segregation-like		
settings. (doc. nos. 2345, 2380,		
2410, & 2414.).		
Oral argument and hearing	3/27/19 at 9:00	3/28/19 at 9:00 a.m.
parties' submission of list of	a.m.	
the agreed-upon		
segregation-like settings, as		
well as the settings		
	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>

shout which they may not be ship	T	
about which they may not be able		
to reach an agreement. (doc. nos.		
2345 & 2364).		
Oral argument and hearing on	3/27/19 at 9:00	3/28/19 at 9:00 a.m.
defendants' development of a	a.m.	
'review		
process' that collects and		
consolidates information on		
at least a weekly basis for each		
prisoner in ADOC with SMI who is		
housed in segregation; that is, a		
"tracking process." (doc. nos.		
2345, 2377, & 2406-07).		
Oral argument and hearing on	3/27/19 at 9:00	3/28/19 at 9:00 a.m.
parties' submission of joint	a.m.	
report to the court as to other		
prisons that do not place (or		
significantly limit the placement		
of) SMI prisoners in segregation.		
(doc. nos. 2345 & 2379).		
Oral argument on how to proceed	3/27/19 at 9:00	3/28/19 at 9:00 a.m.
on defendants' initial submission	a.m.	5,25,15 ac 5.00 a.m.
of the results for "tracking	a.m.	
process' of SMIs in segregation.		
(doc. nos. 2345, 2357, & 2408).		
	2/27/10 thereselve	2/20/10 through
Evidentiary hearing on	3/27/19 through 4/10/19 at 9:00	3/28/19 through
plaintiffs' motion for		4/10/19 at 9:00 a.m.
preliminary injunctions. (doc.	a.m. each day	each day, except at
no. 2276)	0 /0= /10	1:00 p.m. on 3/29/19
Evidentiary hearing on all	3/27/19 through	3/28/19 through
remaining issues.	4/10/19 at 9:00	4/10/19 at 9:00 a.m.
	a.m. each day	each day, except at
		1:00 p.m. on 3/29/19
Defendants to file annotations to		3/28/19 at 5:00 p.m.
recommendations in experts'		
suicide prevention report (doc.		
no. 2416)		
Plaintiffs to file reply		3/29/19 at 5:00 p.m.
annotations to recommendations in		_
experts' suicide prevention		
report (doc. no. 2416)		
DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS		
Parties to file initial briefs	5/1/19 at noon	
	3/1/19 at noon	
for upcoming oral argument.		
Parties to file reply briefs for	5/8/19 at noon	
upcoming oral argument.		

In-person oral argument to	5/14/19 at 10:00
discuss "what the substantive law	a.m.
	a.m.
is" and "how" to proceed in light	
of defendants' statement as to	
whether revised stipulations	
meet the PLRA's	
'need-narrowness-intrusiveness'	
requirements. (doc. nos. 2384 &	
2382). Counsel for plaintiffs	
have already said that they do.	
MONITORING	
Parties to file initial briefs	5/1/19 at noon
for upcoming oral argument.	
Parties to file reply briefs for	5/8/19 at noon
upcoming oral argument.	3, 3, 13 46 110011
In-person oral argument to	5/14/19 at 10:00
discuss "what the substantive law	a.m.
	a.m.
is" and "how" to proceed as to	
the monitoring remedial issue in	
light of defendants' statement as	
to whether all remedial	
stipulations previously approved	
and adopted by the court meet the	
PLRA's	
'need-narrowness-intrusiveness'	
requirements.	
MISCELLANEOUS	
Parties to file initial briefs	5/1/19 at noon
for upcoming oral argument.	
Parties to file reply briefs for	5/8/19 at noon
upcoming oral argument.	-, -, wo
In-person oral argument to	5/14/19 at 10:00
discuss "what the substantive law	a.m.
	a.m.
is" and "how" to proceed in light	
of defendants' statement as to	
whether all remedial stipulations	
previously approved and adopted	
by the court meet the PLRA's	
'need-narrowness-intrusiveness'	
requirements. (doc. no. 2382).	
Counsel for plaintiffs have	
already said that they do.	

DONE, this the 25th day of March, 2019.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE