IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

EDWARD BRAGGS, et al.,)	
Plaintiffs,)	CTUTT ACETON NO
v .)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:14cv601-MHT
)	(WO)
JEFFERSON S. DUNN, in his official capacity as)	
Commissioner of)	
the Alabama Department of)	
Corrections, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

PHASE 2A REVISED REMEDY SCHEDULING ORDER ON THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAIM

Based on the representations made on the record on March 28, 2019, it is ORDERED that the remaining deadlines and dates for the Phase 2A remedy scheduling order for the Eighth Amendment claim are revised as follows:

	OLD DATES	NEW DATES
SEGREGATION		
Parties to develop schemes to	Continued	
verify that defendants are now	generally pending	
accurately and timely identifying	mediation and	
SMI inmates with regard to	resolution of the	
segregation	monitoring issue	
	-	
In-person oral argument on how to	5/14/19 at 10:00	
proceed on remedies for	a.m.	
violations found in supplemental	a.m.	
liability opinion. (doc. nos.		
2353, 2397, & 2398).		
HOSPITAL-LEVEL CARE		
	F /1 /1 0	
Parties to file initial briefs	5/1/19 at noon	
for upcoming oral argument.		
Parties to file reply briefs for	5/8/19 at noon	
upcoming oral argument.		
In-person oral argument to	5/14/19 at 10:00	
discuss "what the substantive law	a.m.	
is" and "how" to proceed in		
light of defendants' statement as		
to whether revised stipulations		
meet the PLRA's		
`need-narrowness-intrusiveness'		
requirements. (doc. nos. 2383 &		
2382). Counsel for plaintiffs		
have already said that they do.		
SUICIDE PREVENTION		
Oral argument and hearing on	3/28/19 at 9:00	
parties' joint proposals on	a.m.	
'methods' defendants can use to		
verify that segregation rounds		
are being properly conducted in		
segregation and segregation-like		
settings. (doc. nos. 2345, 2380,		
2410, & 2414.).		
Oral argument and hearing	3/28/19 at 9:00	
parties' submission of list of	a.m.	
the agreed-upon		
segregation-like settings, as		
well as the settings		
werr as the settings		

	T	1
about which they may not be able		
to reach an agreement. (doc. nos.		
2345 & 2364).		
Oral argument and hearing on	3/28/19 at 9:00	
defendants' development of a	a.m.	
`review		
process' that collects and		
consolidates information on		
at least a weekly basis for each		
prisoner in ADOC with SMI who is		
housed in segregation; that is, a		
"tracking process." (doc. nos.		
2345, 2377, & 2406-07).		
Oral argument and hearing on	3/28/19 at 9:00	
parties' submission of joint	a.m.	
report to the court as to other		
prisons that do not place (or		
significantly limit the placement		
of) SMI prisoners in segregation.		
(doc. nos. 2345 & 2379).		
Oral argument on how to proceed	3/28/19 at 9:00	
on defendants' initial submission	a.m.	
of the results for "tracking		
process' of SMIs in segregation.		
(doc. nos. 2345, 2357, & 2408).		
Evidentiary hearing on	3/28/19 through	3/28/19 through
plaintiffs' motion for	4/10/19 at 9:00	4/10/19 at 9:00 a.m.
preliminary injunctions. (doc.	a.m. each day,	each day, except at
no. 2276)	except at 1:00	10:00 a.m. on 3/29/19
	p.m. on 3/29/19	
Evidentiary hearing on all	3/28/19 through	3/28/19 through
remaining issues.	4/10/19 at 9:00	4/10/19 at 9:00 a.m.
	a.m. each day,	each day, except at
	except at 1:00	10:00 a.m. on 3/29/19
	p.m. on 3/29/19	10.00 4 01 3/23/13
Defendants to file annotations to	3/28/19 at 5:00	
recommendations in experts'		
suicide prevention report (doc.	p.m.	
no. 2416)		
Plaintiffs to file reply	3/29/19 at 5:00	
annotations to recommendations in		
	p.m.	
experts' suicide prevention		
report (doc. no. 2416)		
DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS		
Parties to file initial briefs	5/1/19 at noon	
for upcoming oral argument.		
Parties to file reply briefs for	5/8/19 at noon	
upcoming oral argument.		

In-person oral argument to discuss "what the substantive law is" and "how" to proceed in light of defendants' statement as to whether revised stipulations meet the PLRA's 'need-narrowness-intrusiveness' requirements. (doc. nos. 2384 &	5/14/19 at 10:00 a.m.
2382). Counsel for plaintiffs	
have already said that they do.	
MONITORING	
Parties to file initial briefs for upcoming oral argument.	5/1/19 at noon
Parties to file reply briefs for upcoming oral argument.	5/8/19 at noon
In-person oral argument to discuss "what the substantive law is" and "how" to proceed as to the monitoring remedial issue in light of defendants' statement as to whether all remedial stipulations previously approved and adopted by the court meet the PLRA's `need-narrowness-intrusiveness' requirements.	5/14/19 at 10:00 a.m.
MISCELLANEOUS	
Parties to file initial briefs for upcoming oral argument.	5/1/19 at noon
Parties to file reply briefs for upcoming oral argument.	5/8/19 at noon
In-person oral argument to discuss "what the substantive law is" and "how" to proceed in light of defendants' statement as to whether all remedial stipulations previously approved and adopted by the court meet the PLRA's 'need-narrowness-intrusiveness' requirements. (doc. no. 2382). Counsel for plaintiffs have already said that they do.	5/14/19 at 10:00 a.m.

DONE, this the 28th day of March, 2019.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE