IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

EDWARD BRAGGS, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:14cv601-MHT
)	(WO)
JOHN HAMM, in his)	
official capacity as)	
Commissioner of)	
the Alabama Department of)	
Corrections, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

REVISED REMEDY SCHEDULING ORDER ON PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2A ADA CLAIMS AND PHASE 2A EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAIM

It is ORDERED that the defendants' motion to reset (Doc. 3872) is granted, and that the deadlines and dates for the Phases 1 and 2A remedy scheduling order for the ADA and Eighth Amendment claims remain and are revised as set forth below.

	OLD DATES	NEW DATES
I. (GENERAL	
The effective date of the Phase	3/9/2022	
2A Omnibus Remedial Order.	3, 3, 2322	
(Doc. 3464) at § 1.3.		
(200. 3101) 40 3 1.3.		
TT PHASE 1 AND	PHASE 2A ADA CLAIMS	
Phase 1: Deadline for	11/1/2028	
termination of monitoring. (Doc.	11, 1, 2020	
3801).		
Phase 1: Deadline for	1/1/2023	
alterations to Birmingham	17172023	
Community Based		
Facility/Community Work Center		
and Frank Lee Community Based		
Facility/Community Work Center		
(Doc. 3801).		
Parties to file joint report	1/27/2023 at 5:00	
regarding alterations identified	p.m.	
in preceding cell.	P	
Status conference: Parties	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	
alterations identified in	a.m.	
preceding cell.		
Phase 1: Deadline for	5/31/2023	
alterations to William E.	3,31,2023	
Donaldson (Doc. 3801).		
Phase 1: Deadline for	11/1/2027	
completion of alterations for	11, 1, 202	
the second and third phases		
regarding the removal,		
remediation, or construction of		
new facilities. (Doc. 3801).		
	1/27/2023 at 5:00	
report regarding status of the	p.m.	-
building of the new prisons and	F	
their impact on the meeting the		
Phase 1 deadlines.		
Phase 1: Status conference:	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
Parties should be prepared to	a.m.	
discuss matter identified in		
preceding cell.		
Phase 2A ADA: Consent decree as	10/1/2023	
to Adaptive Behavior/Life Skills		
Training and associated Beta		
III/IV testing will terminate,		
including monitoring. (Doc. 3787		
and Doc. 3801).		
	<u> </u>	

·		
Phases 1 and 2A ADA: Parties	1/27/2023 at 5:00	
should file joint report on	p.m.	
mediation efforts before Judge		
Ott concerning the Phase 1 and		
Phase 2A ADA Consent Decrees,		
including how often mediation is		
occurring and whether they will		
be able to complete mediation		
within six months of September		
22, 2022, as they represented at		
the September 22 and November 7,		
2022, status conferences, and,		
in particular, with regard to		
the remaining Phase 2A ADA issue		
_		
in light of the looming October		
1, 2023, termination deadline.		
(Doc. 3778, Doc. 728, Doc. 1291,		
& Doc. 3762), (Doc. 3866).	0/10/2022	
Phases 1 and 2A ADA: Status	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
conference: Parties should be	a.m.	
prepared to discuss the Phase 1		
and Phase 2A ADA Consent Decrees		
issues outlined in the preceding		
cell. (Doc. 3866).		
III. PHASE 2A EI	GHTH AMENDMENT CLAIM	
MONITORING		
The parties are to file another	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
joint report on the status of	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
the EMT. (Doc. 3863).	F	J. 00 P.m.
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
the preceding report. (Doc.	a.m.	0.00 a.m.
3863).		
3003).		
CORDECETORIA CENTERIA		
CORRECTIONAL STAFFING		
The defendants must submit	On March 1, June	
correctional staffing reports to	1, September 1,	
the court and the EMT. (Doc.	and December 1 of	
3464) at § 2.1.6. By agreement	each year	
of the parties, the defendants		
are to submit these reports on		
the dates set forth in the Phase		
2A Understaffing Remedial Order		
(Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely,		
March 1, June 1, September 1,		
and December 1 of each year.	İ	
and becember I of each year.		
The parties submitted a joint	Status report due	
The parties submitted a joint	Status report due 9/27/2022 and	
The parties submitted a joint filing concerning a proposal for	9/27/2022 and	
The parties submitted a joint	_	

ADOC's still grossly inadequate		
correctional staffing levels and		
a method to assess and reassess		
over time the effectiveness of		
the steps taken pursuant to that		
plan. (Doc. 3791). The court		
adopted the parties' proposal		
and ordered joint reports on the		
same to be filed every 21 days.		
(Doc. 3795).		
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to address	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
their efforts as to mediation of	G	0.00 0
the correctional staffing issues		
as reflected in their 21-day		
reports. (Doc. 3791 & Doc.		
3795). The parties should be		ļ ļ
prepared to discuss firm		
deadlines for the development		
_		
and implementation of the plan.	12/0/2022 5.00	12/20/2022 -+
The formatting for the	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
correctional staffing reports	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
shall be further revised, if		
necessary, based on input from		
the EMT. See Phase 2A Omnibus		
Remedial Order (Doc. 3464) at		
§ 2.1.6. Parties should submit		
joint report on how to proceed		
on this issue.		
Status conference: Parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
correctional staffing formatting		
issue.		
Members of the agency staffing	1/27/2023 at 5:00	
unit, or "resource planning	p.m.	
unit," were to participate in		
the training program on		
correctional staffing analysis		
taught by the National Institute		
of Corrections (NIC). The court		
understands that the training		
should be complete by early		
January 2023. The court would		
like for defendants file a		
report on how meaningful the		
training was.		
Status conference: The parties	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
should be prepared to address	a.m.	
the NIC training report.		
Parties to file another joint	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
report on the issue of	p.m.	5:00 p.m.

	T	
plaintiffs' meeting with the		
Savages (Doc. 3820) (Doc. 3864). Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to address	a.m.	8:00 a.m
the above report on the Savages	a.m.	0.00 a.m
(Doc. 3820) (Doc. 3864).		
The defendants filed 2022	Done	
Correctional Staffing Analysis	20110	
Report on November 1, 2022.		
(Doc. 3849)		
Plaintiffs to file response to	12/5/2022 at 5:00	12/21/2022 at
the adequacy of the 2022	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
Correctional Staffing Analysis		_
Report. (Doc. 3849). The		
response should address both the		
development and the contents of		
the 2022 analysis, and how the		
parties and the court should		
proceed on it. (Doc. 3859).		
Defendants to file a reply to	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
plaintiffs' response to 2022	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
analysis. (Doc. 3859).		
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to address	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
the 2022 Correctional Staffing		
Analysis Report and the filings		
on it. (Doc. 3859).		
The defendants must develop with	1/20/2023 at 5:00	
the Savages, and submit to the	p.m.	
court, realistic benchmarks for		
the level of correctional		
staffing ADOC will attain by December 31 of 2022 (though this		
date is passed), 2023, and 2024		
respectively to put ADOC on		
track to fill all mandatory and		
essential posts by July 1, 2025.		
(Doc. 3464) at § 2.1.5. (Doc.		
3857).		
The plaintiffs should file a	1/27/2023 at 5:00	
response to the proposed	p.m.	
benchmarks and suggest how the		
parties and the court should		
proceed on them.		
The defendants may file a reply	2/3/2023 at 5:00	
regarding the proposed	p.m.	
benchmarks.		
Status conference: The parties	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	
the proposed benchmarks and		
reports on them.		

MENTAL-HEALTH STAFFING The defendants must submit mental-health staffing reports to the court and the EMT. (Doc. 3464) at \$2.2.4. By agreement of the parties, the defendants are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at \$4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of these reports, the court would	Deadline by which ADOC must fill all mandatory and essential posts at the level indicated in the most recent staffing analysis at that time. (Doc. 3464) at § 2.1.4.	7/1/2025	
The defendants must submit mental-health staffing reports to the court and the EMT. (Doc. 3464) at § 2.2.4. By agreement of the parties, the defendants are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	MENDAT LIEAT DU CHARETNO		
mental-health staffing reports to the court and the EMT. (Doc. 3464) at \$2.2.4. By agreement of the parties, the defendants are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at \$4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
to the court and the EMT. (Doc. 3464) at § 2.2.4. By agreement of the parties, the defendants are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of		-	
3464) at § 2.2.4. By agreement of the parties, the defendants are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing force (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
of the parties, the defendants are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing retrains and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	,		
are to submit these reports on the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing from the plaintiffs of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of		each year	
the dates set forth in the Phase 2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	= '		
2A Understaffing Remedial Order (Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	<u> </u>		
(Doc. 1657) at § 4, namely, March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
March 1, June 1, September 1, and December 1 of each year. The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	-		
The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
The parties filed reports on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and rat	_		
what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of		12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of		' '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
to the court reflect. (Doc. 3709 & Doc. 3749). The plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of		F	over pviiiv
plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
plaintiffs were to file a report with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	•		
with an update on what the most recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
recent quarterly mental-health staffing reports to the court reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	-		
reflect. In doing so, the plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	_		
plaintiffs should also address what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	staffing reports to the court		
what the court identified as an apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	reflect. In doing so, the		
apparent disagreement on the appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	plaintiffs should also address		
appropriate method for comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	what the court identified as an		
comparison of ADOC's mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	apparent disagreement on the		
mental-health staffing levels against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	appropriate method for		
against the levels set forth by the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	comparison of ADOC's		
the mental-health staffing ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
ratios and mental-health staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	_		
staffing matrix incorporated in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 of the Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	_		
(Doc. 3464), including what efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
efforts, if any, they have made at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
at mediation with Judge Ott if the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	·		
the parties continue to disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
disagree. (Doc. 3766) & (Doc. 3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	_		
3854). The defendants filed a response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of	-		
response to the plaintiffs' report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
report. (Doc. 3856). In light of			
	these reports, the court would		
like to the parties to file a			

joint report specifically		
identifying their areas of		
"agreement" and their areas of		
"disagreement," and their		
suggestions of how the court		
should proceed.		
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
the preceding joint report.		
The formatting for the	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
mental-health staffing reports	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
shall be revised, if necessary,		
based on input from the EMT.		
See Phase 2A Omnibus Remedial		
Order (Doc. 3464) at § 2.2.4.		
Parties should submit joint		
report on how to proceed on this		
issue.		
Parties should be prepared to	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
discuss mental-health staffing	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
formatting issue.		
The EMT shall review ADOC's	Beginning one year	
mental-health staffing ratios	from the	
and, if necessary, make	initiation of	
recommendations for revising	monitoring	
them. (Doc. 3464) at § 2.2.2.	_	
Deadline by which ADOC must	7/1/2025	
achieve the staffing levels set		
forth in the staffing matrix		
previously approved by the court		
in the Phase 2A Order and		
Injunction on Mental-Health		
Staffing Remedy (Doc. 2688),		
subject to any subsequent		
modifications. (Doc. 3464) at		
§ 2.2.3.		
_		
RESTRICTIVE HOUSING		
The defendants must file with	On a weekly basis	
the court and the EMT reports on	2 2	
each inmate who has been in		
restrictive housing for longer		
than 72 hours under exceptional		
circumstances during that week.		
(Doc. 3464) at § 3.1.4, (Doc.		
3557), and (Doc. 3771).		
The court required the parties	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
to file reports on what the most	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
recent weekly SMI reports to the	F	2.00 F.m.
court reflect (trends, etc., if		
any). While the reports raised		
mile tepot to raised		

	T	
serious concerns, the court		
decided at the August 15 and		
November 7, 2022, status		
conferences not to take any		
immediate action, with the		
understanding that the EMT will		
examine the concerns closely in		
the very near future and that,		
otherwise, the court will		
reconsider looking into the		
issue itself (Doc. 3768).		
However, the parties are to file		
another joint report on whether		
the EMT is now looking into		
these concerns, etc. (Doc. 3865).		
•	12/14/2022 = + 2:22	1/4/2022
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to address	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
the preceding SMI report. (Doc.		
3768). (Doc. 3865).		
The parties submitted a joint	Status report due	
filing concerning a proposal for	9/27/2022 and	
the mediation and development of	every 21 days	
plan that will allow ADOC's RHUs	thereafter	
(with the exception of the RHU		
at Tutwiler) to function safely		
with the correctional staff that		
ADOC currently employs. (Doc.		
3794). The court adopted the		
parties' proposal and ordered		
joint reports on the same to be		
filed every 21 days. (Doc.		
3795).		
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to address	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
their efforts as to mediation of	G. V V	0.00
the RHU issues as reflected in		
their 21-day reports. (Doc. 3791		
& Doc. 3795). The parties		
should be prepared to discuss		
firm deadlines for the		
development and implementation		
of the plan. (Doc. 3868).	10/0/0000 : 5.00	10/00/0000
The Phase 2A omnibus remedial	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
order provided that all RHU	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
cells must be cleaned by June 8,		
2022. (Doc. 3464) at § 3.1.1.		
The defendants filed a notice		
with the court confirming that		
they have met this deadline.		
(Doc. 3698). Based on the		

	,	
representations made at several status conferences, the court stated that it will not take any immediate action with respect to the continued cleanliness of RHU cells, albeit with the expectation that the EMT will monitor the issue as soon as it is fully operational. The court stated that it would revisit the issue later in light of the status of the EMT at that time. (Doc. 3770). The parties have now filed a report on the issue. (Doc. 3850). The court would like another report. (Doc. 3862).		
Status conference: The parties should be prepared to address the issue of the continued cleanliness of RHU cells. (Doc. 3770) (Doc. 3862).	12/14/2022 at 9:00 a.m.	1/4/2023 at 8:00 a.m.
Deadline by which all RHU cells must comply with the conditions set forth in Lindsay M. Hayes's Checklist for the "Suicide-Resistant" Design of Correctional Facilities (Doc. 3206-5). (Doc. 3464) at § 3.1.3. Stayed pursuant to opinion and order on motion to stay. (Doc. 3526) at 75-76.	Stayed	
CHICIDE DDEVENTON		
ADOC must conduct inspections of all suicide watch, SU, and RHU cells to verify that they meet the conditions set forth Lindsay M. Hayes's Checklist for the "Suicide-Resistant" Design of Correctional Facilities (Doc. 3206-5). (Doc. 3464) at § 2.1.7.2. Stayed as to RHU cells pursuant to opinion and order on motion to stay. (Doc. 3526) at 75-76.	Stayed as to RHU cells only; otherwise, on a quarterly basis	
HIGHER LEVELS OF CARE	1 /07 /0000 : 5 00	
In collaboration with the EMT, ADOC must reassess, on an annual basis, (1) the number of	1/27/2023 at 5:00 p.m.	

inmates on its mental-health		
caseload and (2) whether the		
current estimate of the		
percentage of the mental-health		
caseload requiring inpatient		
treatment is accurate. (Doc.		
3464) at § 11.2.2. The parties		
are to submit a joint report on		
how to proceed on this issue.		
Status conference: The parties	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	
the preceding joint report.		
The parties have submitted to	12/9/2022 at 5:00	12/28/2022 at
the court their views on the	p.m.	5:00 p.m.
issue of whether serious risks		•
are posed by high temperatures		
in the mental-health units,		
(Doc. 3464) at § 11.3, including		
the adequacy of Administrative		
Regulation 619 as a means of		
addressing the risk in light of		
the court's previous discussion		
of its concerns as to that		
regulation, see Phase 2A		
Inpatient Treatment Remedial		
Opinion and Order, Braggs v.		
Dunn, 2020 WL 2789880, *14-15		
(M.D. Ala. 2020) (Thompson, J.)		
(discussing Administrative		
Regulation 619); see also Phase		
2A Omnibus Remedial Order (Doc.		
3464) at § 11.3, and the		
question of whether ADOC		
employees are trained to follow		
the regulation. Based on the		
parties' written filings and representations on August 15 and		
_		
September 22, 2022, the court is		
requiring that the parties		
pursue mediation of these		
concerns with Judge Ott. (Doc.		
3767). The parties filed		
reports on their efforts. (Doc.		
3797 & Doc. 3839). The court		
will require that they file		
another joint report on their		
mediation efforts. (Doc. 3860).	10/14/0000 : 0.00	1/4/0000
Status conference: The parties	12/14/2022 at 9:00	1/4/2023 at
should be prepared to discuss	a.m.	8:00 a.m.
the results of their mediation		
efforts on the issue of the risk		

	<u> </u>	
of high temperatures in the		
mental-health units, as		
described above in preceding		
cell. (Doc. 3860).		
IV. MIS	CELLANEOUS	
STATUS CONFERENCES		
	2/10/2023 at 9:00	
Triannual status conference,		
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).	7.77.70000 1 0 00	
Triannual status conference,	7/7/2023 at 9:00	
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).		
Triannual status conference,	11/17/2023 at 9:00	
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).		
Triannual status conference,	2/9/2024 at 9:00	
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).		
Triannual status conference,	7/12/2024 at 9:00	
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).		
Triannual status conference,	11/22/2024 at 9:00	
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).		
Triannual status conference,	2/7/2025 at 9:00	
with the parties to submit	a.m.	
reports 10 business days before		
the status conference (Doc. 3467		
and Doc. 3480).		
and 200. 3400).		
CHANDING ODDEDG FOR HEADINGS AND		
STANDING ORDERS FOR HEARINGS AND		
STATUS CONFERENCES		
All hearings and evidentiary		
hearings shall be in accordance		
with the order entered on		

1/15/2020 (Doc. 2727), unless	
otherwise specified.	
All hearings, evidentiary	
hearings, and status conferences	
are to be by videoconferencing	
unless otherwise specified.	

DONE, this the 2nd day of December, 2022.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE