

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

CONNIE SMITH,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION NO.
v.	)	2:14cv909-MHT
	)	(WO)
ROBERT EDGE, II, and PFG	)	
TRANSCO,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

ORDER

It is ORDERED that the motion to extend the  
dispositive-motions deadline (doc. no. 57) is denied.

\* \* \*

This court has made clear that, "A request or  
motion for extension of a deadline in any court order  
... must indicate that movant has, in a timely manner,  
previously contacted counsel for all other parties; and  
..., based on that contact, must state whether counsel  
for all other parties agree to or oppose the extension  
request or motion," and that, "A request or motion that  
fails to meet this requirement will be denied outright,  
unless the movant offers a credible explanation in the

request or motion why this requirement has not been met." Scheduling Order (doc. no. 11), § 15(B). Movant here has failed to comply with this requirement.

Moreover, "Absent stated unforeseen and unavoidable circumstances beyond the control of the movant, ... 'eleventh hour' extension requests and motions will be denied outright." Id. The movant has failed to offer any explanation as to why this motion was filed more than a month after the deadline for dispositive motions in this case, rather than days, or even weeks, in advance.

DONE, this the 26th day of October, 2015.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE