



Singleton responded by asking for more time. (Doc. # 13, at 1.) That was also her response when the Magistrate Judge recommended dismissing her case for failure to prosecute, and it was that request this court granted in December.

Suffice it to say, the court has not held Ms. Singleton to a “strict accountability of compliance with the rules of procedure” due to her *pro se* status. *Holifield v. Reno*, 115 F.3d 1555, 1561 (11th Cir. 1997) (citing *Hughes v. Rowe*, 449 U.S. 5, 9 (1980)). However, all litigants, *pro se* or not, must comply with court orders, and Ms. Singleton has failed to prosecute this matter and to abide by the orders of the court.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice. A final judgment will be entered separately.

DONE this 8th day of February, 2018.

/s/ W. Keith Watkins  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE