

On November 3, 2020, Plaintiff Davis filed an Objection in which he acknowledges his understanding that he is not entitled to monetary relief. (Doc. 12, at 1.) He, however, argues he is innocent of the pending charges, the evidence is insufficient to support a conviction, and there is no basis for his continued confinement or prosecution. (*Id.*, at 1-3.) As discussed in the Recommendation (Doc. 11), this Court abstains from considering any challenges to pending state court proceedings.

After careful review and consideration of the Objection, and upon independent review of the Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge and file in this case, it is

ORDERED as follows:

1. The Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. 11) be and is hereby ADOPTED.
2. The Objection (Doc. 12) be and is hereby OVERRULED.
3. Plaintiff's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claims against the Defendants be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and (iii).
4. Plaintiff's challenges to the veracity and sufficiency of the evidence in his pending state criminal cases and his request for dismissal of those cases be summarily dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) as this Court must abstain from considering any such challenges in accordance with the *Younger* abstention doctrine.
5. This case be dismissed prior to service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i).

DONE, this 18th day of November, 2020.

/s/ R. Austin Huffaker, Jr.
R. AUSTIN HUFFAKER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE