

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
MIDDLE DIVISION**

<b>JOSE ADAN MALDANADO</b>	)	
<b>MUNJIALLA,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	)	
	)	<b>Case No.: 4:15-cv-00488-RDP-SGC</b>
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>SCOTT HASSELL, et al.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Respondents.</b>	)	

**MEMORANDUM OPINION**


This is an action on a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 by Jose Adan Maldonado Munjialla. (Doc. # 1). Petitioner challenges his continued detention pending removal pursuant to the Immigration and Nationality Act. *See generally, Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678 (2001). On August 27, 2015, Respondents filed a Motion to Dismiss Petition as Moot on the grounds that Petitioner has been removed from the United States. (Doc. # 9). Respondent’s Motion is supported by an attached Declaration of the Supervisory Deportation Officer of the U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement facility in Gadsden, Alabama stating Petitioner was deported on August 21, 2015. (Doc. # 9-1).

Because Petitioner has been removed, the court can no longer provide meaningful relief, and the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is moot. *See Nyaga v. Ashcroft*, 323 F.3d 906, 913 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2003) (“[A] case must be dismissed as moot if

the court can no longer provide ‘meaningful relief.’”); *see also Spencer v. Kemna*, 523 U.S. 1, 8 (1998) (once habeas petitioner is released from custody, he must demonstrate collateral consequences to avoid mootness doctrine). Accordingly, Respondent’s Motion to Dismiss (Doc. # 9) is due to be granted, and this action is due to be dismissed.

A separate order will be entered.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this August 28, 2015.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**R. DAVID PROCTOR**  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE