

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHEASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL LEON¹ STOVALL	)
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	) Case No. 5:22-cv-1110-RDP-GMB
SCOTT SCHAFFER, et al.,	)
Defendants.	<i>)</i> )

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

The Magistrate Judge entered a Report and Recommendation on May 1, 2024 recommending the dismissal of this action without prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) as frivolous and for failing to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. (Doc. 36). Plaintiff Michael Leon Stovall has filed a "Motion in Rebuttal to Magistrate Report and Recommendation," which the court construes as an objection to the Report and Recommendation. (Doc. 39).

Plaintiff objects to the Clerk of Court's termination of defendants who were not named as defendants in his Final Amended Complaint. (Doc. 39 at 1-2). The court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that the order to amend instructed Plaintiff to name as defendants only those persons who violated his constitutional rights and identify them as defendants both in the heading and in Part I of the complaint. (Doc. 18 at 3). The order also told Plaintiff that the Final Amended Complaint cannot refer back to any previous complaints.<sup>2</sup> (Doc. 18 at 1). Because Plaintiff did not name the "original defendants" in his Final Amended Complaint, the Clerk correctly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In his Final Amended Complaint, Plaintiff identifies his middle name as "Leon" but indicates that he also has been known as "Michael Levon Stovall." (Doc. 25 at 2). The Alabama Department of Corrections has registered Plaintiff as "Michael Levon Stovall." *See* https://doc.alabama.gov/InmateHistory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> After filing his original complaint, Plaintiff filed Second, Third, and Fourth Amended Complaints. *See* Docs. 15, 16 & 17.

terminated those defendants from this action. Plaintiff's remaining objections to the Report and

Recommendation are frivolous and without merit. Accordingly, Plaintiff's objections are

OVERRULED.

After careful consideration of the record in this case, the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, and Plaintiff's objections, the court ADOPTS the Report and ACCEPTS the

recommendation. Consistent with that recommendation and 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b), this action is

due to be dismissed without prejudice as frivolous and for failing to state a claim upon which relief

can be granted.

A final judgment will be entered.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** this June 6, 2024.

R. DAVID PROCTOR

CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE