

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

WENDY WILLIAMS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-00130-CB-N
	)	
MOBILE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff, an inmate at the Mobile County Jail proceeding pro se, filed a complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Doc. 1), which was subsequently amended (Docs. 4 and 12), together with a Motion to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees (Doc. 2). This action was referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 72.2(c)(4) and is now before the Court on Plaintiff's failure to pay the partial filing fee.

On April 28, 2009, after review of Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees (Doc. 2), as supplemented (Doc. 6), the Court ordered Plaintiff to pay a \$3.77 partial filing fee within twenty days (Doc. 8). Plaintiff was warned that her failure to comply with the order within the prescribed time would result in dismissal of her action. On May 26, 2009, the time within which Plaintiff was required to pay the \$3.77 partial filing fee was extended to June 12, 2009 (Doc. 10). Although the Plaintiff has since filed a proposed Amended Complaint (Doc. 12) as was also permitted by the Order dated May, 26, 2009, she has not paid the partial filing fee.

Due to Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's order and to prosecute this action by paying the required partial filing fee, the undersigned recommends that, through its inherent powers, this action be dismissed without prejudice. Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 630-

31, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1389 (1962)(“The authority of a court to dismiss sua sponte for lack of prosecution has generally been considered an ‘inherent power’ governed not by rule or statute but by the control necessarily vested in courts to manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.”); Zocarus v. Castro, 465 F.3d 479, 483 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2006)(recognizing a district court’s inherent power to enforce orders and provide for the efficient disposition of litigation); Wilson v. Sargent, 313 F.3d 1315, 1331-32 & n. 7 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2002)(holding that a prisoner’s failure to pay the partial filing fee under § 1915 is a basis for dismissal); *see generally* Betty K. Agencies, Ltd. v. M/V Monada, 432 F.3d 1333, 1337-38 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2005)(discussing dismissals pursuant to the court’s inherent power and dismissals based on Rule 14(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and concluding that only a dismissal *with prejudice* requires findings of contumacious conduct and that lesser sanctions will not suffice).

In the present action, if plaintiff disputes the finding of failure to pay the partial filing fee and wants to proceed with litigation of her action, she shall set forth in an objection to the report and recommendation the reason for her failure to pay. Wilson, 414 F.3d at 1320 (citing to Hatchet v. Nettles, 201 F.3d 651, 654 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000)(finding that an objection to a recommendation is an acceptable means to ascertain the steps taken by a prisoner to comply with the order to pay a partial filing fee).

The instructions that follow the undersigned’s signature contain important information regarding objections to the report and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

**Done** this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2009.

/s/ Katherine P. Nelson  
KATHERINE P. NELSON  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

MAGISTRATE JUDGE’S EXPLANATION OF PROCEDURAL

RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOLLOWING  
RECOMMENDATION  
AND FINDINGS CONCERNING NEED FOR TRANSCRIPT

1. **Objection.** Any party who objects to this recommendation or anything in it must, within ten days of the date of service of this document, file specific written objections with the clerk of court. Failure to do so will bar a de novo determination by the district judge of anything in the recommendation and will bar an attack, on appeal, of the factual findings of the magistrate judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)©; Lewis v. Smith, 855 F.2d 736, 738 (11th Cir. 1988); Nettles v. Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. Unit B, 1982)(en banc). The procedure for challenging the findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge is set out in more detail in SD ALA LR 72.4 (June 1, 1997), which provides that:

2.

A party may object to a recommendation entered by a magistrate judge in a dispositive matter, that is, a matter excepted by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), by filing a “Statement of Objection to Magistrate Judge’s Recommendation” within ten days after being served with a copy of the recommendation, unless a different time is established by order. The statement of objection shall specify those portions of the recommendation to which objection is made and the basis for the objection. The objecting party shall submit to the district judge, at the time of filing the objection, a brief setting forth the party’s arguments that the magistrate judge’s recommendation should be reviewed de novo and a different disposition made. It is insufficient to submit only a copy of the original brief submitted to the magistrate judge, although a copy of the original brief may be submitted or referred to and incorporated into the brief in support of the objection. Failure to submit a brief in support of the objection may be deemed an abandonment of the objection.

A magistrate judge’s recommendation cannot be appealed to a Court of Appeals; only the district judge’s order or judgment can be appealed.

3. **Transcript (applicable where proceedings tape recorded).** Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b), the magistrate judge finds that the tapes and original records in this action are adequate for purposes of review. Any party planning to object to this recommendation, but unable to pay the fee for a transcript, is advised that a judicial determination that transcription is necessary is required before the United States will pay the cost of the transcript.

**Done** this 24<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2009.

/s/ Katherine P. Nelson \_\_\_\_\_  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE