Shade v. Astrue Doc. 19

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

GLYNIS SHADE, \*

Plaintiff,

vs. \* Civil Action 10-00190-KD-B

\*

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

## ORDER

After due and proper consideration of all portions of this file deemed relevant to the issues raised, and there having been no objections filed, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge made pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and dated December 14, 2010 is hereby **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court.

Accordingly, for good cause shown, it is **ORDERED** that Defendant's unopposed Motion to Remand pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) (Doc. 17) be and hereby is **GRANTED**, and that this action is **REMANDED** to the Social Security Administration pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) so that the Appeals Council will remand the case to an Administrative Law Judge to conduct a hearing and "review and clarify Dr. Fontana's assessment, further evaluate the claimant's residual functional capacity, and obtain vocational expert testimony to clarify the effect of the assessed limitations

on the claimant's occupational base." (Doc. 17 at 1).

This remand, pursuant to sentence four of Section 405(g), makes Plaintiff a prevailing party for purposes of the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292 (1993).

**DONE** this 29th day of December, 2010.

s/ Kristi K. DuBose
KRISTI K. DuBOSE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE