

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

<b>BURNICE TAFT DREDING, JR.,</b>	)	
<b>Petitioner,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b>CIVIL ACTION 10-0525-KD-M</b>
	)	
<b>DEBORAH TONEY,</b>	)	
<b>Respondent.</b>	)	

**ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Petitioner’s “Appeal and Motion to File Second or Successive Petition” (Doc. 36). The Court construes Petitioner’s filing as a motion to reconsider,<sup>1</sup> and because the Petitioner has not articulated grounds meriting reconsideration it is **ORDERED** that the Petitioner’s motion (Doc. 36) is **DENIED**.

**DONE and ORDERED** this the **20<sup>th</sup>** day of **June 2011**.

/s/ Kristi K. DuBose  
**KRISTI K. DuBOSE**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

---

<sup>1</sup>The decision to grant or deny a motion to reconsider is left to the discretion of the trial court. Chapman v. AI Transport, 229 F.3d 1012, 1023-1024 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000) (en banc). “In the interest of finality and conservation of scarce judicial resources, reconsideration of an order is an extraordinary remedy and is employed sparingly.” Gougler v. Sirius Products, Inc., 370 F. Supp. 2d 1185, 1189 (S.D. Ala. 2005) (citation omitted).