

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

THOMAS RADFORD,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
vs.	:	CIVIL ACTION 14-00527-KD-C
	:	
CEDRIC MARSHALL, et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

ORDER

After due and proper consideration of all portions of this file deemed relevant to the issues raised, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, dated December 10, 2015 (Doc. 58) made under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court.¹ It is **ORDERED** that:

1. Plaintiff's motions to amend or supplement the complaint (Docs. 44, 49, 50) are **GRANTED**;
2. Plaintiff's other motions (Docs. 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 48) are **DENIED**;
3. Plaintiff's "Case Number, 84 Summons, and 30 days to Amend" (Doc. 45), construed as a motion to amend, is **DENIED**;
4. Summary Judgment (Docs. 28, 29, 30, and 57)² is **GRANTED** in favor of all Defendants; and

¹ Plaintiff filed a request for a copy of the docket sheet in this case and a document styled as an "objection" to the Report and Recommendation. (Docs. 59-60, respectively). He was mailed a copy of the docket sheet on December 17, 2015. (Doc. 59, docket notes). Plaintiff's "objection" failed to cite any specific grounds upon which his objection is based. (Doc. 60). "Parties filing objections to a magistrate's report and recommendation must specifically identify those findings objected to. Frivolous, conclusive, or general objections need not be considered by the district court." *Marsden v. Moore*, 847 F.2d 1536, 1548 (11th Cir. 1988). Accordingly, Plaintiff's objection was not considered.

² The Court converted Defendant's Answer (Doc. 28) and Special Report (Doc. 29) to a Motion for Summary Judgment. (Doc. 30). Doc. 57 is Defendant's Supplemental Special Report.

5. The claims against Sergeant Cedric Marshall, former Alabama Department of Corrections Commissioner Kim T. Thomas, Warden Cynthia Stewart, Lieutenant R. Davis, C.O.I. Bruce A. Fitch, Sergeant E. McQueen, C.O.I. C. Brown, C.O.I. R. Washington, and C.O.I. D. Wetzel, are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

Final judgment in accordance with this Order and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58 shall be entered by separate document.

DONE and ORDERED this 15th day of **January 2016**.

/s/ Kristi K. DuBose
KRISTI K. DuBOSE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE