## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

DANIEL WADE HAILS, Plaintiff,	)
V.	) )
	ý
	)
	)
	)
TIMOTHY TRENT DENNIS, et al.,	)
Defendants.	)

CIVIL ACTION: 1:17-00259-KD-N

## ORDER

This matter is before the court on Defendant Timothy Trent Dennis' Motion to Strike or

in the Alternative Motion to Reopen Discovery for a Limited Purpose (Docs. 130, 131).

Defendant's Motion to Reopen Discovery is DENIED. See Introduction to Civil Discovery

Practice in the Southern District of Alabama at 8 (5/13/2019).<sup>1</sup> It is **ORDERED** that the Final

Pretrial Conference, setting (and all related deadlines) remains scheduled for December 18, 2019

at 4:00 p.m. Defendant's Motion to Strike will be carried to the Final Pretrial Conference.

DONE and ORDERED this the 5<sup>th</sup> day of December 2019.

## <u>/s/ Kristi K. DuBose</u> KRISTI K. DuBOSE CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>https://www.alsd.uscourts.gov/attorney-information</u>. Southern District Discovery Practice includes in part, the following:

The parties may conduct discovery (primarily taking depositions) by agreement after the discovery cut-off; however, lawyers should be aware that if problems arise during such depositions (such as instructions not to answer questions or failure to produce documents at a deposition), the Court may refuse involvement because the depositions are being taken after the discovery cut-off and without the Court's permission. However, parties who agree to engage in discovery after the cut-off should do so in good faith and not use the passing of the cut-off as an excuse for obstructive behavior.