Hinds v. Wetzel et al Doc. 50

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

DEREK HINDS,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	CIVIL ACTION: 1:18-00481-KD-MU
C.O. WETZEL, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	
	ORDER	

After due and proper consideration of all portions of this file deemed relevant to the issues raised, and a *de novo* determination of those portions of the recommendation to which objection is made, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge made under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and dated October 21, 2020, is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that summary judgment is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part as follows: **DENIED** as to Hinds' Eighth Amendment claims against Defendants Wetzel and Brown related to the nighttime attack; and **GRANTED** as to Hinds' Fourteenth Amendment claim and Eighth Amendment failure to intervene claim related to the T.V. room inmate assault against all Defendants.

DONE and **ORDERED** this the 16th day of **November 2020**.

/s/ Kristi K. DuBose KRISTI K. DuBOSE CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE