Hines v. Lt. Marvin et al Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

JULIUS A. HINES, # 217230,,)
Plaintiff,))
VS.) CIV. ACT. NO. 1:22-cv-137-TFM-MU
LT. MARVIN, et al.,)
Defendants.	<i>)</i>)

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On July 11, 2022, the Magistrate Judge entered a Report and Recommendation which recommends this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and obey the Court's order. *See* Doc. 8. No objections were filed.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) authorizes dismissal of a complaint for failure to prosecute or failure to comply with a court order or the federal rules. *Gratton v. Great Am. Commc'ns*, 178 F.3d 1373, 1374 (11th Cir. 1999). Further, such a dismissal may be done on motion of the defendant or *sua sponte* as an inherent power of the court. *Betty K Agencies, Ltd. v. M/V Monada*, 432 F.3d 1333, 1337 (11th Cir. 2005). "[D]ismissal upon disregard of an order, especially where the litigant has been forewarned, generally is not an abuse of discretion." *Vil v. Perimeter Mortg. Funding Corp.*, 715 F. App'x 912, 915 (quoting *Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989)). "[E]ven a non-lawyer should realize the peril to [his] case, when [he] . . . ignores numerous notices" and fails to comply with court orders. *Anthony v. Marion Cty. Gen. Hosp.*, 617 F.2d 1164, 1169 (5th Cir. 1980); *see also Moon*, 863 F.2d at 837 (As a general rule, where a litigant has been forewarned, dismissal for failure to obey a court order is not an abuse of discretion.). Therefore, the Court finds it appropriate to exercise its "inherent power" to "dismiss [Plaintiff's claims] *sua sponte* for

lack of prosecution." Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 8 L. Ed. 2d 734

(1962); see also Betty K Agencies, Ltd., 432 F.3d at 1337 (describing the judicial power to dismiss

sua sponte for failure to comply with court orders).

Despite several orders for Plaintiff to pay the filing fee or a motion to proceed in forma

pauperis, Plaintiff did not comply. See Docs. 4, 7. Nor did Plaintiff file an objection to the

dismissal of this action.

Therefore, after due and proper consideration of all portions of this file deemed relevant to

the issues raised, and there having been no objections filed, the Report and Recommendation of

the Magistrate Judge is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the Court. It is **ORDERED** that this action

is therefore **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failure to prosecute and to obey the Court's order.

DONE and **ORDERED** this 16th day of August, 2022.

/s/Terry F. Moorer TERRY F. MOORER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE