Chestnut v. WalMart Doc. 27

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

)
)
)
)
) Civil Action No. 24-00317-KD-I
)
)
)
)

ORDER

After due and proper consideration of the issues raised, and a de novo determination of those portions of the recommendation to which objection is made, the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge made under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and S.D. Ala. GenLR 72(a)(2)(S) and dated November 1, 2024, (Doc. 16), is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of this Court. It is **ORDERED** that Defendant's partial motion to dismiss, (Doc. 6), is **granted**. Accordingly:

Count seven (ADA discriminatory discharge) is **DISMISSED** for failure to exhaust administrative remedies. Counts five (Title VII retaliation) and nine (ADA retaliation) are **DISMISSED** for failure to exhaust administrative remedies to the extent they are based on the termination of Chestnut's employment after she filed her EEOC charge of discrimination.

DONE and **ORDERED** this the 8th day of **January 2025**.

/s/ Kristi K. DuBose KRISTI K. DuBOSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE