FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA

CHERI LYNN GRAY,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Deputy Commissioner of Social Security for Operations,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG

DECISION AND ORDER

On June 19, 2012, Cheri Lynn Gray filed applications for Disability Insurance Benefits ("DIB") and Supplemental Security Income ("SSI") under Titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act ("the Act") respectively,¹ alleging disability beginning December 29, 2011.² Ms. Gray has exhausted her administrative remedies and filed a Complaint seeking relief from this Court.³

Ms. Gray filed an opening brief seeking a remand for the payment of benefits.⁴ The Commissioner filed an Answer and a brief in opposition to Ms. Gray's opening brief.⁵ Ms. Gray filed a reply brief.⁶ Oral argument was not requested and was not necessary to

¹ The Court uses the term "disability benefits" to include both disability insurance and SSI.

² Administrative Record ("A.R.") 16, 437–43.

³ Docket 1 (Gray's Compl.) at 2.

⁴ Docket 18 (Gray's Br.).

⁵ Docket 14 (Answer); Docket 22 (Defendant's Br.).

⁶ Docket 23 (Gray's Reply).

the Court's decision. This Court has jurisdiction to hear an appeal from a final decision

of the Commissioner of Social Security.7 For the reasons set forth below, Ms. Gray's

request for relief will be granted in part.

I. STANDARD OF REVIEW

A decision by the Commissioner to deny disability benefits will not be overturned

unless it is either not supported by substantial evidence or is based upon legal error.8

"Substantial evidence" has been defined by the United States Supreme Court as "such

relevant evidence as a reasonable mind might accept as adequate to support a

conclusion."9 Such evidence must be "more than a mere scintilla," but may be "less than

a preponderance."¹⁰ In reviewing the agency's determination, the Court considers the

evidence in its entirety, weighing both the evidence that supports and that which detracts

from the administrative law judge ("ALJ")'s conclusion.¹¹ If the evidence is susceptible to

more than one rational interpretation, the ALJ's conclusion must be upheld. 12 A reviewing

⁷ 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

⁸ Matney ex rel. Matney v. Sullivan, 981 F.2d 1016, 1019 (9th Cir. 1992) (citing Gonzalez v. Sullivan, 914 F.2d 1197, 1200 (9th Cir. 1990)).

⁹ Richardson v. Perales, 402 U.S. 389, 401 (1971) (quoting Consol. Edison Co. v. NLRB, 305 U.S.

197, 229 (1938)).

¹⁰ Perales, 402 U.S. at 401; Sorenson v. Weinberger, 514 F.2d 1112, 1119 n.10 (9th Cir. 1975)

(per curiam).

¹¹ Jones v. Heckler, 760 F.2d 993, 995 (9th Cir. 1985).

¹² Anderson v. Heckler, 753 F.2d 1450, 1453 (9th Cir. 1984) (citing Rhinehart v. Finch, 438 F.2d

920, 921 (9th Cir. 1971)).

court may only consider the reasons provided by the ALJ in the disability determination

and "may not affirm the ALJ on a ground upon which [he] did not rely." An ALJ's decision

will not be reversed if it is based on "harmless error," meaning that the error "is

inconsequential to the ultimate nondisability determination . . . or that, despite the legal

error, the agency's path may reasonably be discerned, even if the agency explains its

decision with less than ideal clarity."14

II. DETERMINING DISABILITY

The Act provides for the payment of disability insurance to individuals who have

contributed to the Social Security program and who suffer from a physical or mental

disability. 15 In addition, SSI may be available to individuals who are age 65 or older, blind,

or disabled, but who do not have insured status under the Act. 16 Disability is defined in

the Act as follows:

[I]nability to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be

expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last

for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.¹⁷

The Act further provides:

¹³ Garrison v. Colvin, 759 F.3d 995, 1010 (9th Cir. 2014).

¹⁴ Brown-Hunter v. Colvin, 806 F.3d 487, 492 (9th Cir. 2015) (internal quotation marks and citations

omitted).

¹⁵ 42 U.S.C. § 423(a).

¹⁶ 42 U.S.C. § 1381a.

¹⁷ 42 U.S.C. §§ 423(d)(1)(A), 1382c(a)(3)(A).

An individual shall be determined to be under a disability only if his physical or mental impairment or impairments are of such severity that he is not only unable to do his previous work but cannot, considering his age, education, and work experience, engage in any other kind of substantial gainful work which exists in the national economy, regardless of whether such work exists in the immediate area in which he lives, or whether a specific job vacancy exists for him, or whether he would be hired if he applied for work. For purposes of the preceding sentence (with respect to any individual), "work which exists in the national economy" means work which exists in significant numbers either in the region where such individual lives or in several regions of the country.¹⁸

The Commissioner has established a five-step process for determining disability within the meaning of the Act. ¹⁹ A claimant bears the burden of proof at steps one through four in order to make a prima facie showing of disability. ²⁰ If a claimant establishes a prima facie case, the burden of proof then shifts to the agency at step five. ²¹ The Commissioner can meet this burden in two ways: "(a) by the testimony of a vocational expert, *or* (b) by reference to the Medical-Vocational Guidelines at 20 C.F.R. pt. 404, subpt. P, app. 2."²² The steps, and the ALJ's findings in this case, are as follows:

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order Page 4 of 90

¹⁸ 42 U.S.C. §§ 423(d)(2)(A), 1382c(a)(3)(B).

¹⁹ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1520(a)(4), 416.920(a)(4).

²⁰ Treichler v. Comm'r Soc. Sec. Admin., 775 F.3d 1090, 1096 n.1 (9th Cir. 2014) (quoting Hoopai v. Astrue, 499 F.3d 1071, 1074–75 (9th Cir. 2007)); see also Tackett v. Apfel, 180 F.3d 1094, 1098 (9th Cir. 1999).

²¹ Treichler, 775 F.3d at 1096 n.1; Tackett, 180 F.3d at 1098 (emphasis in original).

²² *Tackett*, 180 F.3d at 1101.

Step 1. Determine whether the claimant is involved in "substantial gainful activity."

The ALJ concluded that Ms. Gray has not engaged in substantial gainful activity since

December 29, 2011, the alleged onset date.²³

Step 2. Determine whether the claimant has a medically severe impairment or

combination of impairments. A severe impairment significantly limits a claimant's physical

or mental ability to do basic work activities and does not consider age, education, or work

experience. The severe impairment or combination of impairments must satisfy the

twelve-month duration requirement. The ALJ determined that Ms. Gray has the following

severe impairments: "degenerative disc disease of the lumbar and cervical spines,

obesity, hypertension, headache, migraine headache, depression NOS, anxiety NOS,

personality disorder/cluster B traits, and prescription narcotic overuse." The ALJ found

that fibromyalgia, carpal tunnel syndrome, PTSD, and bipolar disorder were not

established as severe impairments. The ALJ determined that "no clear or formal

diagnosis was made" of somatoform disorder and it "is not a medically determinable

impairment" for Ms. Gray.²⁴

Step 3. Determine whether the impairment or combination of impairments is the

equivalent of any of the listed impairments found in 20 C.F.R. pt. 404, subpt. P, app.1 that

are so severe as to preclude substantial gainful activity. If the impairment is the equivalent

of any of the listed impairments, and meets the duration requirement, the claimant is

²³ A.R. 19.

²⁴ A.R. 19–22.

conclusively presumed to be disabled. If not, the evaluation goes on to the fourth

step. The ALJ determined that Ms. Gray does not have an impairment or combination of

impairments that meets or medically equals the severity of a listed impairment.²⁵

Before proceeding to step four, a claimant's residual functional capacity ("RFC") is

assessed. Once determined, the RFC is used at both step four and step five. An RFC

assessment is a determination of what a claimant is able to do on a sustained basis

despite the limitations from her impairments, including impairments that are not severe.²⁶

The ALJ concluded that Ms. Gray has the RFC to perform medium work except she

"cannot climb ladders, ropes, or scaffolds. In addition, [she] cannot be exposed to

unprotected heights and hazardous machinery. Furthermore, [Ms. Gray]'s residual

functional capacity includes a restriction to one to four step tasks."27

Step 4. Determine whether the claimant is capable of performing past relevant

work. At this point, the analysis considers whether past relevant work requires the

performance of work-related activities that are precluded by the claimant's RFC. If the

claimant can still do her past relevant work, the claimant is deemed not to be disabled.

Otherwise, the evaluation process moves to the fifth and final step. The ALJ found that

²⁵ A.R. 22–24.

²⁶ 20 C.F.R. § 404.1520(a)(4).

²⁷ A.R. 24–25.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order

Ms. Gray is able to perform past relevant work as a dialysis technician, laboratory

technician, and secretary.28

The ALJ concluded that Ms. Gray was not disabled from December 29, 2011

through the date of the decision.²⁹

III. PROCEDURAL AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Ms. Gray was born in 1973; she was 38 years old on December 29, 2011.30 She

worked as a dialysis technician from November 2000 to November 2006 and again from

March 2009 to December 2011. Her duties included assisting nonambulatory patients in

transferring between a wheelchair and a dialysis chair. From approximately April 2006 to

January 2010, Ms. Gray worked as a nurse assistant.³¹ On December 29, 2011, Ms. Gray

sustained a back injury at her place of work while "transporting a patient from his dialysis

into his wheelchair." She continued to work the rest of her shift at Liberty Dialysis and

attempted to go to work the next day, but "was in severe pain." Ms. Gray was "sent to the

emergency room" on December 30, 2011.32

²⁸ A.R. 33.

²⁹ A.R. 34. Because the ALJ here determined that Ms. Gray was capable of performing past relevant work at Step Four, the evaluation process did not consider Step Five.

³⁰ A.R. 437.

³¹ A.R. 108, 171, 661.

³² A.R. 121–22.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 7 of 90

On December 5, 2012, the Social Security Administration ("SSA") determined that Ms. Gray was not disabled under the applicable rules.³³ Ms. Gray timely requested a hearing before an ALJ.³⁴ She appeared and testified without a representative at a hearing held on July 9, 2013 before ALJ Paul Hebda.³⁵ ALJ Hebda noted and Ms. Gray agreed at the hearing that the "major issu[e] that we're dealing with is your back problem."³⁶ Ms. Gray stated, "I live day-to-day either in excruciating pain or in a cloud zoned out from the pain meds, in that state of —yes, pill high is what I like to say."37 During the July 2013 hearing, ALJ Hebda continued the hearing to February 13, 2014 to obtain "a consultative examination" and updated medical evidence.³⁸ Ms. Gray appeared at the February 2014 hearing and again testified without a representative.³⁹ The ALJ issued a ruling unfavorable to Ms. Gray on March 5, 2014.40 On September 18, 2015, the Appeals Council vacated the ALJ's March 5, 2014 decision and remanded the case back to the ALJ to consider new and material evidence submitted after the March 5, 2014 decision.⁴¹

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill Decision and Order

Page 8 of 90

³³ A.R. 16, 206–10, 216–20.

³⁴ A.R. 226–28.

³⁵ A.R. 114–43.

³⁶ A.R. 118.

³⁷ A.R. 126.

³⁸ A.R. 91, 139.

³⁹ A.R. 91–111.

⁴⁰ A.R. 177–92.

⁴¹ A.R. 202–04. In the September 18, 2015 order, the Appeals Council noted that the record

On June 10, 2016, Ms. Gray requested a continuance to obtain new representation.⁴² On September 26, 2016, Ms. Gray again appeared before ALJ Hebda and testified with an attorney. Two medical experts and a vocational expert also testified at the hearing.⁴³ On January 10, 2017, ALJ Hebda issued a second ruling unfavorable to Ms. Gray.⁴⁴ On February 24, 2017, Ms. Gray requested review of the decision and on May 9, 2017, the Appeals Council denied the request for review.⁴⁵ Ms. Gray timely appealed to this Court on June 1, 2017.⁴⁶

reflected Ms. Gray's complaints of neck pain and the use of an assistive device to ambulate. Specifically, the Council referenced an MRI from August 2014, which showed "a worsening in the claimant's impairment since the MRI performed in August 2012" and determined that the ALJ needed to evaluate the "nature, severity, and limiting effects of the claimant's neck impairment." The Council also reviewed evidence from Heather A. Bell, PT, Thomas Grissom, M.D., and Matthew Peterson, M.D., regarding Ms. Gray's use of an assistive device. The Council determined that the ALJ should review the "medical necessity" of Ms. Gray's use of an assistive device to ambulate. The Council also reviewed new and material evidence indicating that Ms. Gray continued to have "ongoing issues with her lumbar discs which originated in December 2011." The Council ordered the ALJ to obtain updated medical records and obtain a consultative examination if the evidence did not adequately clarify the record, obtain evidence from a medical expert if necessary and available to clarify the nature and severity of Ms. Gray's impairments. further consider Ms. Gray's maximum RFC with "specific references to evidence of record in support of assessed limitation," and obtain supplemental evidence from a vocational expert. The Council also found further evaluation of the opinions provided by Dr. Chalifour and OT Kerris was necessary.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order Page 9 of 90

⁴² A.R. 86.

⁴³ A.R. 48–83.

⁴⁴ A.R. 16–34.

⁴⁵ A.R. 1–5.

⁴⁶ Docket 1.

Medical Records Prior to December 29, 2011

Although Ms. Gray's medical record dates back to 2003, the Court's review of the

record is primarily focused on the period after the alleged onset date of December 29,

2011, which is the date on which Ms. Gray sustained a back injury at her place of work

while "transporting a patient from his dialysis into his wheelchair." 47

However, the following records before December 2011 are noted:

On January 7, 2003, Ms. Gray visited the emergency department at Providence

Alaska Medical Center ("Providence"). She indicated she had injured her back two

months ago while at work, with considerable pain thereafter. She was assessed with

"[a]cute and subacute thoracic and lumbar back strain."48

On February 5, 2003, Ms. Gray saw Michael James, M.D., at Alaska Spine

Institute. He noted that Ms. Gray had a normal straight leg raising test and normal

sensation, strength, and reflexes in the lower extremities. He also noted that Ms. Gray

had undergone an MRI "which is unremarkable" and that "x-rays demonstrate thoracic

scoliosis." He scheduled her for thoracic facet blocks to "manage her pain." 49

⁴⁷ A.R. 121–22.

/\..\\. 121 22.

⁴⁸ A.R. 768–775. Ms. Gray followed up at Ravenwood Family clinic on January 10, 13, 17, 2003 and reported feeling better and better with an anticipated return date of January 20, 2003. A.R. 688–95. In treatment notes from January 24, 2003, the provider noted Ms. Gray's request for

Percocet or "a different muscle relaxant." A.R. 687. On February 25, 2003, Ms. Gray reported

that she had no relief from previous bilateral T8, T9, and T10 medial branch blocks. A.R. 736.

⁴⁹ A.R. 739–40.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 10 of 90

From approximately March 3, 2003 to June 5, 2003, Ms. Gray underwent physical

therapy for treatment of mid-back pain.50

On April 26, 2003, Ms. Gray underwent a medical evaluation by James P.

Robinson, M.D., PhD. He diagnosed her with a "nonspecific diagnosis of thoracic strain,"

but that there were "no unequivocal objective findings to support this diagnosis." He noted

that Ms. Gray reported "rather substantial disability associated with her pain" and that her

"score of 50 on the Impairment Impact Inventory is substantially higher than most

individuals undergoing independent medical examinations." Dr. Robinson opined that

Ms. Gray "warrants DRE Category II, or 5% whole person impairment of the thoracic

spine."51

On July 11, 2003, Ms. Gray went to the emergency department at Providence due

to thoracic back pain. She was diagnosed with back strain. She reported having "trouble

with her back since last November" and "was at work feeling well when she slipped on

some water wrenching her back." She was assessed with back strain and prescribed

Vicodin, Flexeril, Demerol, and Vistaril.⁵²

On July 29 and August 12, 2003, Ms. Gray visited Dr. James for follow up. At the

visit on August 12th, Dr. James noted that she had an "absolutely normal MRI" and again

⁵⁰ A.R. 713–19. On June 5, 2003, the provider noted that Ms. Gray "still demonstrates impaired

functional stabilitation/strength." A.R. 713.

⁵¹ A.R. 697–707. On June 5, 2003, Dr. James also opined that Ms. Gray's impairment rating was

5% impairment. A.R. 729.

⁵² A.R. 762-66.

noted that Ms. Gray had a "lack of pathology to explain her severe increase in symptoms

and that this must be attributed to a thoracic strain if her facet joint injections do not

provide any relief."53

On June 17, 2008, Ms. Gray again went to the emergency department at

Providence. She reported upper back sprain after pulling out a sleeper couch for a

patient.54

On February 2, 2010, Ms. Gray went to Providence's emergency department for

"severe back pain" after a slip and fall while at work. 55 The x-ray taken of her spine

demonstrated "mild scoliosis," but was "negative for acute osseous finding." She had a

normal chest x-ray.⁵⁶

On May 19, 2011, Ms. Gray saw Kristofer A. Sargent, M.D. for an office visit at

Providence Family Medicine Clinic ("PFMC"). Ms. Gray reported "excruciating upper back

pain" and received a trigger point injection in the left scapular area. She was "encouraged

to resume normal activity and to continue walking tonight." Her prescriptions included

zolpidem, metformin, diphenhydramine, hydrocodone-ibuprofen, oxycodone-

⁵³ A.R. 723–26.

⁵⁴ A.R. 753, 755.

⁵⁵ A.R. 741, 746.

⁵⁶ A.R. 742–43.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 12 of 90

acetaminophen, cyclobenzaprine, ondansetron, bisacodyl, ibuprophen, estradiol

cypionate, cholecalciferol, varenicline, metaxalone, and lidocaine.⁵⁷

Medical Records after December 29, 2011

At 2:15pm on December 30, 2011, Ms. Gray went to the emergency department at

Providence with upper back pain "after lifting a patient last night." The provider, Andrew

Elsberg, M.D., reported that Ms. Gray's physical exam revealed "paraspinous tenderness"

of the T-spine," but "normal extremity strength and sensation," with "no evidence of

hyperreflexia," and "no midline tenderness." Dr. Elsberg diagnosed Ms. Gray with back

strain and noted "[s]he will be put on light duty for the next week." The report lists the

following medications: ibuprofen, zolpidem tartrate, estradiol cypionate, cholecalciferol,

varenicline tartrate, metaxalone, metformin, and diphenhydramine.⁵⁸

On January 3, 2012, Ms. Gray received x-rays of her thoracic and lumbar spine.

The impressions showed moderate thoracolumbar and thoracic spinal scoliosis, some

degenerative changes within the upper to midthoracic spine, and minimal endplate

depressions within the midthoracic spine. A MRI of Ms. Gray's lumbar spine showed that

"bone alignment is normal," "disk contours are normal," and showed no "disk hernia or

other disk abnormalities." An "[i]ncidental L1 vertebral body hemangioma" was noted;

otherwise the MRI of Ms. Gray's thoracic spine was normal.⁵⁹

⁵⁷ A.R. 801–04.

⁵⁸ A.R. 777-79.

⁵⁹ A.R. 787–88.

A.N. 101-00.

On January 11, 2012, Ms. Gray again saw Christina Brown, D.O., at PMFC, and

reported back pain. Dr. Brown noted that Ms. Gray "was in in the [emergency department]

and given a [prescription] for Percocet and Flexeril," but that Ms. Gray "didn't fill these

because she doesn't like to take medications." Dr. Brown also noted that Ms. Gray

reported "[s]he is not taking any medications at this time including diabetic medications—

no reason given."60 At a follow-up appointment the next day, Dr. Brown noted that Ms.

Gray "has been taking her pain medications which have helped" and also noted that her

gait was "normal" and she appeared "more comfortable." Dr. Brown referred Ms. Gray to

physical therapy and to the Alaska Spine Institute.61

On January 17, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Beth Cant, M.D., at PFMC; she reported

worsening low back pain. Dr. Cant noted that Ms. Gray had undergone x-rays and MRIs

of her thoracic and lumbar spine, but that "no marked abnormalities [were] found on these

exams." During the physical exam, Dr. Cant reported that Ms. Gray refused "to display

her back range of motion" or sit on the exam table. The doctor also noted that "while

distracting this patient, she did not complain of pain while pressing on her lumbar spine

and right sided paraspinal muscles. However, when I asked if this was painful, she then

winced." Ms. Gray's straight leg raise was negative bilaterally; her lower extremity

strength was +5 over 5 on the left and right "other than with dorsiflexion and flexion at the

⁶⁰ A.R. 814–17.

⁶¹ A.R. 818–21; 1087.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 14 of 90

knee which was +4/5." Dr. Cant referred Ms. Gray to the Alaska Spine Institute and gave

her a work release for one week.62

On January 18, 2012, Dr. Cant filled out a Worker Status Report that released Ms.

Gray to "modified duty" from January 20, 2012 to January 27, 2012. In the report, Dr.

Cant reported a "[d]ecreased range of motion with lumbar flexion, extension, bilateral

rotation," with "+4/5 [right] lower extremity strength with dorsiflexion of ankle and flexion

[at the] knee," and +1/4 reflexes for Ms. Gray's patellar/Achilles and "+2/4 on [the] left."

Dr. Cant projected that Ms. Gray could resume "full duty" work on January 30, 2012.⁶³

On January 19, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Sargent for "re-evaluation of her ongoing

back pain." Dr. Sargent noted that Ms. Gray was "in obvious discomfort sitting

awkwardly." He observed "[n]o bony tenderness," "strength equal bilaterally," but

"[p]araspinal pain and tightness," with "[s]everal trigger points in upper and lower back

elicit severe pain." Dr. Sargent also noted that Ms. Gray walked "with a smooth gait" and

her "mood is upbeat, affect full, insight and judgement good." At this visit, Dr. Sargent

excused Ms. Gray from work "for an additional two weeks," with a provisional return to

work on February 2, 2012.65

⁶² A.R. 791, 847–51.

⁶³ A.R. 829–30.

⁶⁴ A.R. 832–35.

⁶⁵ A.R. 831.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 15 of 90

On February 1, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Daryl Chalifour, D.C., at Bilan Chiropractic.

She reported neck, mid-back and lower-back pain. She told the doctor about her work

related injury on December 29, 2011, and indicated she had "never injured her same area

before." She reported that "her symptoms are aggravated when she bends, uses a

computer, sleeps, flexes and extends, is working, stands up, drives, is under stress and

goes up stairs" and that her symptoms are relieved when she takes prescription

medications. Dr. Chalifour reported that "[t]esting of [Ms. Gray]'s cervical range of motion

produced the result of decreased cervical flexion with pain, extension with pain, left

rotation with pain, right rotation with pain, left lateral flexion with pain and right lateral

flexion with pain." He observed the same in the lumbar spine. He also observed that

"[b]iomechanical joint dysfunction was apparent over [Ms. Gray]'s C1, C6, T1, T6, T12,

L5 and left SI vertebral segments." At the visit, Dr. Chalifour performed manual

adjustments "over all restricted vertebral segments that were identified through a

combination of x-ray analysis and motion palpation." He noted that "[a]ll segments moved

well, and appropriate audible releases were heard with each adjustment." Dr. Chalifour

reported that "[b]efore the visit was over, [Ms. Gray] stated that she felt better."66

Also on February 1, 2012, Ms. Gray received x-rays of her full spine. The report

found "[n]o evidence of recent fracture or dislocation; [e]arly mid and lower cervical spine

degenerative disc disease with early mid apophyseal osteoarthritis; [e]arly to moderate

thoracic spine degenerative disc disease with T9 and T10 costotransverse osteoarthritis;

⁶⁶ A.R. 985–88, 991.

, ccc cc, cc ...

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 16 of 90

[e]arly to moderate L5-S1 degenerative disease with accompanying early lower lumbar

apophyseal osteoarthritis; [and] [c]ervical hypolordosis with anterior weight bearing and

a right lateral cervicothoracic list; right lateral thoracolumbar curvature."67

On February 2, 2012, Ms. Gray again visited Dr. Chalifour. He reported that Ms.

Gray "stated that her problems become better when she gets adjusted." Dr. Chalifour

again reported that "[t]esting of [Ms. Gray]'s cervical range of motion produced the result

of decreased cervical flexion with pain, extension with pain, left rotation with pain, right

rotation with pain, left lateral flexion with pain and right lateral flexion with pain." Dr.

Chalifour observed the same in the lumbar spine. He again observed that

"[b]iomechanical joint dysfunction was apparent over [Ms. Gray]'s C1, C6, T1, T6, T12,

L5 and left SI vertebral segments." Also at this visit, Dr. Chalifour performed manual

adjustments "over all restricted vertebral segments that were identified through a

combination of x ray analysis and motion palpation." He again noted that "[a]ll segments

moved well, and appropriate audible releases were heard with each adjustment."68

On February 3, 2012, Ms. Gray followed up again with Dr. Chalifour. Ms. Gray

reported "increased low back pain radiating to the right leg while laying down." Dr.

Chalifour's observations and reports regarding Ms. Gray's conditions were identical to the

previous visits. He noted that "[b]ased upon the results so far, [Ms. Gray]'s prognosis is

⁶⁷ A.R. 990.

⁶⁸ A.R. 992.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 17 of 90

DCOIO

good because she is responding well to her chiropractic care." ⁶⁹ Dr. Chalifour developed

an Individualized Treatment Plan ("ITP") for Ms. Gray. He recommended refraining from

"activities that cause pain, driving, excessive sitting, lifting things, using multiple pillows,

exercising using poor posture and improper techniques, watching television with poor

posture, sitting close to the steering wheel while driving, sleeping on her stomach,

prolonged standing and twisting her torso."70

On February 6, 2012, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Chalifour. At the visit, she

stated that she was "doing worse than she was doing on her last visit." Dr. Chalifour's

observations and reports were identical to the previous visits. He continued to note that

"[b]ased upon the results so far, [Ms. Gray]'s prognosis is good because she is responding

well to her chiropractic care."71

Also on February 6, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Beth Wagner, D.O., at PFMC. Dr. Wagner

observed that Ms. Gray appeared "very uncomfortable on the exam table." She

diagnosed Ms. Gray with "lumbago; back pain, thoracic; somatic dysfunction of lumbar

region; somatic dysfunction of thoracic region; and scoliosis." Dr. Wagner also noted that

she "declined refills of [Ms. Gray's] pain meds," and instead deferred to Ms. Gray's

⁶⁹ A.R. 993.

⁷⁰ A.R. 1054–55.

⁷¹ A.R. 994.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 18 of 90

primary care provider.⁷² Dr. Wagner reported that Ms. Gray was "happy with her

chiropractic care and I think that she can continue with this instead of OMT at this time."

On February 7, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Sargent at PFMC; she again requested a

refill of pain medication. Dr. Sargent declined the refills and deferred to Ms. Gray's

primary care provider.⁷³

On February 8, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. James at Alaska Spine Institute. Dr. James

diagnosed Ms. Gray with "discogenic low back pain with some element of radiculopathy."

Ms. Gray reported her pain had onset when she was injured on December 29, 2011 and

since then had gotten worse. Upon examination, Dr. James reported impaired mobility of

the lumbar spine, a positive straight leg test on the right, and mild hypesthesia of the

posterior thigh. He noted that Ms. Gray's reflexes were +1 and symmetrical. 74

On February 9, 2012, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. James. He indicated that "she

probably has a bulge and annular tear at L4-5 on the right." He recommended an epidural

steroid injection and physical therapy. He provided a prescription for Tylenol No. 3 and

Flexeril.⁷⁵ Dr. James also completed a work status report on that date, which stated that

Ms. Gray was "[t]otally disabled for work" through February 29, 2012.⁷⁶

⁷² A.R. 843–44.

⁷³ A.R. 1452.

⁷⁴ A.R. 871–72.

⁷⁵ A.R. 869.

⁷⁶ A.R. 870.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 19 of 90

At several visits, from February 8 to February 23, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chalifour

for follow up exams and adjustments. He reported at each visit that Ms. Gray's "current

prognosis is good because she is responding well to her chiropractic care."⁷⁷ However,

at subsequent visits on February 24, 27, 29, 2012 and March 2, 5, 2012, Dr. Chalifour

changed his prognosis to "guarded" as Ms. Gray was "responding slowly." 78

On February 15, 2012, Ms. Gray received epidural steroid injections at Alaska

Spine Institute.⁷⁹

On February 28, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. James for a follow up exam. She reported

that following the epidural injection of February 15, 2012, "she has had 40% to 50% relief

of her preinjection pain with regard to her low back. Her leg pain is reduced in its

frequency but not intensity. There has been no relief of her mid back pain." He

recommended continuing with chiropractic care and following up with a physical

therapist.⁸⁰ Dr. James opined that Ms. Gray was then to return to work part-time for four

hours per day for three days a week for two weeks, then five days a week for two weeks;

she could lift ten pounds occasionally and five pounds frequently; but she was to avoid

prolonged sitting and standing.81

⁷⁷ A.R. 995–1003.

⁷⁸ A.R. 1004–10. .

⁷⁹ A.R. 902.

⁸⁰ A.R. 866.

81 A.R. 867.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 20 of 90

70 A

On March 5, 2012, Ms. Gray had a physical therapy evaluation with Alan Blizzard,

PT. He recommended physical therapy three times a week for range of motion and

strengthening.82

On March 8, 2012, Ms. Gray received physical therapy. The therapist noted that

Ms. Gray reported continued soreness to lower back and right hip and had minimal

palpable pain over the beltline on the right. The therapist noted that Ms. Gray was able

to tolerate the exercises.83

At chiropractic visits throughout March 2012, Dr. Chalifour reported that Ms. Gray's

"prognosis was good" because she was "responding well to her chiropractic care."84

On March 29, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. James and reported "more severe back pain"

than previously," as well as hip pain. Upon examination, Dr. James observed "self-limited

restriction of range of motion"; Ms. Gray's "[s]eated leg raise [was] negative" with "no

specific weakness of either lower extremity."85

82 A.R. 879-80.

83 A.R. 882, 897, 922–23, 925–27, 950, 951, 955–59, 961, 1025. Ms. Gray attended physical

therapy sessions on March 9, 14, 19, 21, 23, 28, 29, 30 and April 3, 4, 5, 9, 12, 16, 17, 19, 2012. On March 21, 30, 2012 and April 3, 4, 5, 9, 2012, Ms. Gray reported feeling better, good or "ok" overall. A.R. 923, 955, 959, 926, 961, 1025. On March 30, 2012, the therapist noted "improved

gait [and] speed [with] equal stride." A.R. 923. After April 19, 2012, the next record of physical

therapy was not until over two years later, on June 5, 2014. A.R. 1728.

84 A.R. 1011-1022. Ms. Gray visited Dr. Chalifour on March 7, 9, 14, 15, 16, 19, 21, 23, 28, and

29, 2012.

85 A.R. 865.

Also on March 29, 2012, the physical therapist at Alaska Spine Institute reported

that Ms. Gray experienced "on/off episodes" of increased and decreased pain in the lower

back and right lower extremity. The therapist noted that "on [a] good day [Ms. Gray is]

able to ambulate . . . and tolerate exercise."86

On March 30, 2012, Ms. Gray returned to Dr. Chalifour for chiropractic treatment.

She stated that she was "doing better" since her last office visit. Dr. Chalifour reported

that Ms. Gray "let me know that her symptoms still improve when she gets adjusted."87

On April 3, 2012, Ms. Gray returned to Dr. Chalifour. Although she reported doing

worse than her last visit, Dr. Chalifour reported that she was still "responding well to her

chiropractic care."88

On April 4 and 5, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chalifour. She reported "doing better" at

the April 4th visit. The next day, Ms. Gray reported "doing worse." However, in both

records of the appointments, Dr. Chalifour continued to opine that she was responding

well to treatment.⁸⁹ Also on April 4, 2012, Dr. Chalifour opined that Ms. Gray should be

restricted to "light duty" with "[n]o repeated bending, twisting, stooping, lifting, kneeling or

⁸⁶ A.R. 878.

⁸⁷ A.R. 1023.

⁸⁸ A.R. 1024.

89 A.R. 1025–26.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order

carrying." He also opined that her anticipated full duty date was "undetermined at this

time."90

In a physician's report on April 10, 2012, Dr. Chalifour opined that Ms. Gray could

perform modified work as of February 20, 2012.91

On April 17, 2012, Dr. Chalifour filled out an Employment Solutions form for the

State of Alaska. In it, he opined that Ms. Gray would not "have the physical capacities to

perform the physical demands" of her previous work as a dialysis technician, nurse

assistant, or medical assistant. He also opined that at the time of medical stability, Ms.

Gray "will incur a permanent impairment greater than 0 as a result of her neck and back

injury."92

During April and May 2012, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Chalifour. Throughout

that time, he continued to opine that she was responding well to chiropractic treatment. 93

On May 15, 2012, Ms. Gray visited Andrew Fulp, PA-C, at Orthopedic Physicians

Anchorage. He noted that upon examination, Ms. Gray "has a great deal of difficulty

standing from a seated position and appears a little unsteady on her feet." He also

observed that "[s]he can walk without assistance of her cane, but she again is unsteady

and has a very slow and shuffling gait." He noted that "she has 3/5 strength in bilateral

⁹⁰ A.R. 898.

⁹¹ A.R. 962.

⁹² A.R. 1282–88.

⁹³ A.R. 1027–1046, 1144.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 23 of 90

lower extremities to quadriceps function," and "4/5 bilateral lower extremity strength to

EHL and anterior tibialis function." PA Fulp reported that she "is unable to extend any

past neutral and in fact, is in a pitched forward position most of the time" and that the hip

examination "shows pain with any type of maneuvering of the hip." He also observed a

"negative bilateral straight leg raise." The x-rays ordered and interpreted by PA Fulp

showed "generally well-maintained disk heights," "maybe slight disk space narrowing at

L5 to S1," but "no significant anterior osteophyte formation and no significant instability

with flexion/extension views." He noted normal and symmetric reflexes. PA Fulp

concluded that he had "no great explanation as to why she is having such significant

limited mobility and pain with any type of mobility. She generally has normal imaging."

He reported that Ms. Gray declined his suggestion to try a new physical therapist.⁹⁴ PA

Fulp completed a disability status for Ms. Gray that stated as of May 15, 2012, Ms. Gray

was "totally disabled," but would be able to return to work on June 15, 2012.95

On May 24, 2012, Dr. Chalifour completed an "excuse slip" indicating that Ms. Gray

was unable to return to work because of "severe low back pain when standing, sitting, or

lying down."96 On May 30, 2012, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Chalifour. At the visit, he

⁹⁴ A.R. 928–31.

⁹⁵ A.R. 936.

⁹⁶ A.R. 1321.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order

noted that Ms. Gray "explained that her symptoms are still relieved when she gets

adjusted and takes prescription medications." Ms. Gray's prognosis was "guarded."97

On June 5, 2012, Dr. Chalifour completed a physician's report for the Alaska

Workers' Compensation Board. He concluded that Ms. Gray was not medically stable

and that it was undetermined if the injury would permanently preclude return to work or

result in a permanent impairment. He again noted that Ms. Gray had been released for

modified work on February 20, 2012.98

On June 7, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Franklin Ellenson, M.D., for a neurology

consultation. Ms. Gray's chief complaint was "back pain." Dr. Ellenson noted normal

muscle tone. He also reported that the "[s]trength of the deltoids, biceps, triceps, wrist

flexors, wrist extensors, grip strength, hip flexors, knee flexors, knee extensors, ankle

dorsiflexor are 5/5 throughout." He noted that "[p]ain limited full testing, but no focal areas

of neurological weakness are detected" and that she had an "[a]ppropriate gait and arm

swing, with normal heel, toe, and tandem gait." He diagnosed back pain and prescribed

"Valium at night for muscle spasms," as well as massage therapy for ongoing pain. He

saw "no need for surgical intervention" and told Ms. Gray she "is likely to get better." 99

On June 21, 2012, Dr. Chalifour notified Liberty Northwest that "Ms. Gray is not

capable of returning to work in a similar capacity as she was previously." He

⁹⁷ A.R. 1047.

⁹⁸ A.R. 1213.

⁹⁹ A.R. 967–71.

recommended an independent medical evaluation, "due to the long standing nature of

Ms. Gray's pain."100

On July 14, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Douglas Bald, M.D., an orthopedic surgeon, for

an independent medical evaluation requested by her employer, Liberty Dialysis. He

reviewed Ms. Gray's medical records since December 29, 2011. Upon examination, Dr.

Bald observed that Ms. Gray "is able to ambulate very briefly without use of the cane, but

she has a very slow, wide-based, shuffling gait pattern and demonstrates a sense of

instability on her right leg." Dr. Bald diagnosed Ms. Gray with thoracolumbar strain by

history and "[s]evere psychogenic pain behavior and symptom magnification."

Specifically, he noted that "[t]he most significant finding that is identified on today's

examination is a severe element of psychogenic pain behavior and inconsistencies, which

is likely the major contributing source of [Ms. Gray]'s persistent symptomatology and self-

perceived disability." He opined that "[o]n a strictly objective physical basis, there does

not seem to be any reason that Ms. Gray would not be capable of returning to her regular

work duties, though at this point in time she perceives herself as being severely disabled

and it is unlikely that anything treatment wise is going to reverse that perception." In a

work situation, Dr. Bald opined that continuous standing should be limited to one hour,

_

¹⁰⁰ A.R. 1067. On June 19, July 3, July 17, and July 31, 2012, in physician's progress reports to the Alaska Department of Labor, Dr. Chalifour opined that Ms. Gray could be released for modified work as of February 20, 2012, but that she was not "medically stable" and it was "undetermined" whether Ms. Gray's injury would preclude a return to the previous job or result in permanent impairment. A.R. 979, 1068, 1077, 1200.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order

and total standing limited to four hours in an eight-hour work day. Occasional lifting would

be limited to fifty pounds. 101

At multiple follow up appointments in June and July of 2012, Dr. Chalifour noted

that Ms. Gray was "responding favorably to Myofascial Release." 102 At other

appointments, he noted that Ms. Gray "explained that her symptoms are still relieved

when she gets adjusted and takes prescription medications." However, his prognosis at

each visit was "guarded because she has responded well to chiropractic care in the

past."103

On August 3, 2012, Dr. Chalifour completed another Employment Solutions form.

He opined that Ms. Gray could sit for an "unlimited" time during an average work day and

stand or walk one hour out of each work day. He opined that she could lift ten pounds

frequently and up to forty-five pounds occasionally, but could not bend, squat, climb,

crawl, stoop, or kneel. He noted that Ms. Gray could reach above shoulder level, perform

simple grasping, push/pull, use fine manipulation, and perform computer work with both

hands. Dr. Chalifour referenced Dr. Bald's independent medical exam on June 14, 2012

for diagnoses and recommendations. 104

¹⁰¹ A.R. 1086–97.

¹⁰² A.R. 982–83, 1052–53, 1080–83, 1176–77, 1179, 1181, 1183.

¹⁰³ A.R. 981, 1051, 1073, 1076, 1079, 1178, 1180, 1182.

¹⁰⁴ A.R. 1293.

On August 16, 2012, Dr. Chalifour opined that Ms. Gray would not be able to

perform sedentary duty physical demands, conditions or activities. He stated that "[t]he

severity of Ms. Gray's low back pain prevents her on occasion from sitting for prolonged

periods of time." On August 22, 2012, Dr. Chalifour indicated that he was no longer

treating Ms. Gray for injuries sustained during her work injury on December 29, 2011. 105

On October 29, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Leon Chandler, M.D., at AA Spine & Pain

Clinic. She reported to him that the chiropractic treatments, pain medications, facet

blocks, and physical therapy had all been effective in relieving her pain. Dr. Chandler

reviewed x-rays taken at the visit. These x-rays showed "rotational scoliosis of the

thoracic area about T4 and mild lumbar scoliosis." Additionally, Dr. Chandler noted that

"C6-7 has posterior ostyophite intruding into the foramen and is perched with extension."

C5-6 is also perched." A physical exam showed a normal cervical spine and negative

Spurling's test, normal appearance of the neck, scoliosis in the thoracic and lumbar spine,

abnormal reflex in the upper extremities on the right side and bilaterally on the knees, an

unstable gait, unsteady when standing, abnormal motor with weakness on the right and

spasms in the lower back with movement, a negative straight leg test bilaterally, and able

to walk heel to toe. Dr. Chandler assessed Ms. Gray with depression, headache, hip

pain, low back pain, lower extremity pain, generalized pain, and muscle weakness. He

prescribed Flexeril, Valium, and Norco (90 5-325mg tablets). 106

¹⁰⁵ A.R. 1314, 1320.

¹⁰⁶ A.R. 1227–30.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 28 of 90

On October 31, 2012, Ms. Gray received facet block and medial branch injections

at the Alaska Spine Center. 107

On November 26, 2012, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Chandler. At the

appointment, Ms. Gray reported that the block injections did not decrease her pain and

Norco "didn't work well, nor the Flexeril." Dr. Chandler noted that Ms. Gray presented

with "low back pain that radiates down both legs bilaterally that cramp muscles that cause

spasticity." He also noted that Ms. Gray reported loss of bladder and bowel functions.

He noted that she may need "blood work done for further investigation" and refilled her

Flexeril, Norco, and Valium prescriptions. 108

Also on November 26, 2012, Ms. Gray applied for a disabled parking identification.

Dr. Chandler indicated on the form that Ms. Gray was unable to walk two hundred feet

without stopping to rest and was severely limited in her ability to walk due to an arthritic,

neurological, or orthopedic condition. He indicated the disability was temporary up to a

maximum of six months. 109

On December 7, 2012, Ms. Gray visited MA Butler at PFMC. Ms. Gray reported

worsening depression, but also reported that she was not taking any medication for

¹⁰⁷ A.R. 1231–34.

¹⁰⁸ A.R. 1336–40.

¹⁰⁹ A.R. 1335.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 29 of 90

depression. She also reported "having trouble sleeping" and Ambien was "not working

very well."110

On December 11, 2012, Dr. Chandler completed a health status form for the State

of Alaska. He opined that Ms. Gray would not be able to work full or part-time, but could

participate in classroom activities. He also noted that myofascial massage and physical

therapy may help. He indicated that he expected the condition to limit Ms. Gray's ability

to work for at least twelve months. 111

On December 12, 2012, Dr. Chandler answered an inquiry from Liberty Dialysis

regarding Ms. Gray's workers' compensation claim. Dr. Chandler opined that Ms. Gray's

injury on December 29, 2011 was the substantial cause of her need for treatment and any

claimed disability; he also noted that "oral medication [and] possible block therapy" would

assist with pain relief. 112

On December 20, 2012, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chandler for a follow up visit and

medication refill. Dr. Chandler's progress notes again indicated a normal cervical spine

and negative Spurling's test, normal appearance of the neck, scoliosis in the thoracic and

lumbar spine, abnormal reflex in the upper extremities on the right side and bilaterally on

the knees, an unstable gait, unsteady when standing, abnormal motor with weakness on

the right and spasms in the lower back with movement, a negative straight leg test

¹¹⁰ A.R. 1451–52.

¹¹¹ A.R. 1330–31.

¹¹² A.R. 1322–24.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 30 of 90

bilaterally, and able to walk heel to toe. Dr. Chandler again assessed Ms. Gray with

depression, headache, hip pain, low back pain, lower extremity pain, generalized pain

and muscle weakness. He refilled Ms. Gray's prescriptions of Flexeril, Valium, and Norco.

He also prescribed ibuprofen, Lidoderm, Voltaren, and vitamin B-12 at the visit. He

ordered additional steroid injections to the lumbar spine. 113

On January 4, 2013, Ms. Gray visited Erin Smith, M.A., at PFMC for follow up on

depression and a refill of her Ambien prescription. MA Smith reported that at the last visit,

Ms. Gray "was prescribed Cymbalta but she is unsure if it is helping." MA Smith also

reported that "[w]hen I asked how her depression has been she stated 'I don't know." 114

On January 9, 2013, Ms. Gray received facet block and medial branch injections

to her lumbar spine at the Alaska Spine Center. 115

On January 21, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chandler for a follow up visit. Dr. Chandler

noted that Ms. Gray reported a "60% reduction in her pain for a couple of hours" following

the recent injections, but also stated that "she has had a severe increase in pain to the

point where she can barely sit or rest without her pain increasing." Ms. Gray reported

that the previous injections on October 31, 2012 had "reduced her pain by 70%." Dr.

Chandler did not refill her prescriptions at the visit as Ms. Gray indicated "she had enough

¹¹³ A.R. 1356–60.

¹¹⁴ A.R. 1450.

¹¹⁵ A.R. 1370–73.

medications right now and does not need a refill at this time."116 A drug screen taken the

day of the visit showed that the Norco Ms. Gray had been prescribed most recently on

December 20, 2012 was not detected in her system. 117

On January 28, 2013, Ms. Gray visited the emergency department of Providence

Alaska Medical Center with an "exacerbation of chronic back pain and worsening

depression." A neurological exam was normal. On physical examination, Jessica Sotelo,

M.D., observed that Ms. Gray's neck was "soft and supple with full range of motion"; her

back had "diffuse tenderness over upper mid and lower back"; and she was "alert and

oriented," had "[no] gross motor abnormalities, and was "ambulating with appropriate

coordination." Dr. Sotelo noted that Ms. Gray seemed "to be severely depressed" and

she requested that the psychiatric clinician evaluate Ms. Gray. Dr. Sotelo reported that

Ms. Gray declined admission to the mental health unit at that time. 118

On January 30, 2013, Ms. Gray had an initial mental health assessment by Lorrie

Lundquist, LCSW, M. Ed., at Good Samaritan Counseling Center ("Good Samaritan").

LCSW Lundquist observed that Ms. Gray had a normal appearance, clean hygiene,

normal speech, a depressed and irritable mood, labile affect, a cooperative and guarded

attitude, and good cognition, insight, judgment. She was orientated x4, with logical

thought process, normal perception, thought content, sleep, and appetite. LCSW

¹¹⁶ A.R. 1377–83.

¹¹⁷ A.R. 1388.

¹¹⁸ A.R. 1479–84.

Lundquist assessed Ms. Gray as low to no risk of suicidal behavior. She recommended

"a thorough medical psychiatric evaluation for diagnosis clarification"

pharmacological treatment and individual psychotherapy. 119

On February 5, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Meghan Farrell, ANP, at Good Samaritan.

ANP Farrell conducted a psychiatric assessment at the visit and noted that during the

interview Ms. Gray "was unable to sit on the couch due to being uncomfortable and in

pain." Ms. Gray presented with logical thinking and appropriate thought content. Her

social judgment was good, and her vocabulary and fund of knowledge were intact. ANP

Farrell reported that Ms. Gray's insight into her illness was fair. She diagnosed Ms. Gray

with major depressive disorder, single episode, moderate, and assessed Ms. Gray's

current GAF as 65.120 At the visit, ANP Farrell re-initiated Cymbalta and prescribed

trazodone. 121

On February 8, 2013, Ms. Gray called Good Samaritan believing she was having

a reaction to the Cymbalta. She reported feeling "jittery" with tingling in the arms. She

was also very concerned about having high blood pressure. 122

¹¹⁹ A.R. 1551–58. There is also an unsigned treatment plan in the record recommending weekly psychotherapy sessions for four months. A.R. 1559–62.

¹²⁰ A.R. 1542–46.

¹²¹ A.R. 1540.

¹²² A.R. 1539. ANP Farrell noted that Ms. Gray reported the Fred Meyer pharmacy "dispensed

the wrong medication." A.R. 1538.

On February 13, 2013, Ms. Gray received radiofrequency thermocoagulation at

the Alaska Spine Center. 123

On February 18, 2013, Ms. Gray met with LCSW Lundquist at Good Samaritan

"for the first session since [the] initial mental health evaluation." LCSW Lundquist

reported that at the session Ms. Gray had a "very heightened mood, anxiousness,

frustrated." She also noted "[s]ome racing thoughts." 124

On February 19, 2013, Ms. Gray attended a counseling session with ANP Farrell

at Good Samaritan. ANP noted that Ms. Gray was oriented as to time, place, person, and

situation; she had a cooperative attitude with good eye contact; her speech was "loud,"

but goal-directed and coherent; her motor skills were within normal limits; her mood was

happy and anxious; and her perception, thought process, and thought content were within

normal limits. ANP Farrell "encouraged [Ms. Gray] to re-initiate Cymbalta . . . [and] to

initiate trazodone."125

On February 25, 2013, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Chandler. Dr. Chandler

reported that Ms. Gray stated that the radiofrequency "is causing her to have severe

increase [in] pain" and that she wanted to "discuss further options including a possible

increase in pain medications." Dr. Chandler's treatment notes indicate that Ms. Gray's

¹²³ A.R. 1393–97.

¹²⁴ A.R. 1571.

¹²⁵ A.R. 1537.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 34 of 90

physical exam was unchanged from the last three previous visits. He substantially

increased the dosage of her Norco prescription at the visit. 126

On February 26, 2013, Ms. Gray attended a counseling session with LCSW

Lundquist and a medication review with ANP Farrell on the same date. Ms. Gray

complained of pain. LCSW Lundquist reported that Ms. Gray had a cooperative attitude,

but blunted affect with dysphoric mood. She also exhibited normal speech, logical thought

process, and normal thought content. ANP Farrell also noted "borderline traits" and made

a "guarded" prognosis "due to inability to follow medication regimen." 127

On March 1, 2013, Ms. Gray requested and received an order from Dr. Chandler

for a wheelchair "due to inability to walk far." 128

On March 13, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Rebecca Clark, M.D., at PFMC. Ms. Gray

reported elevated blood pressure levels at home. Her blood pressure at the visit was

132/102. She was given a blood pressure kit. Dr. Clark noted that Ms. Gray was to "start

lisinopril" and return in one month for a blood pressure check. 129 Also on March 13, 2013,

¹²⁶ A.R. 1398–1402. Dr. Chandler had prescribed 90 tablets of Norco 5-325 mg each of the last three months of 2012. He prescribed 120 tablets of Norco 10-325 mg on February 25, 2013—

more than twice the former dosages. A.R. 1219, 1401.

¹²⁷ A.R. 1536, 1570.

¹²⁸ A.R. 1403–04.

¹²⁹ This appears to be the first record showing Ms. Gray's use of lisinopril. Lisinopril is used to treat high blood pressure. See https://www.webmd.com/drugs/2/drug-6873-9371/lisinopril-1

oral/lisinopril-oral/details (last visited August 7, 2018).

Ms. Gray saw Erin Smith, M.A., at PFMC, seeking a fentanyl prescription. She was told

she needed to go through Dr. Chandler's office for that. 130

On March 25, 2013, Ms. Gray visited Dr. Chandler for a follow up visit and

medication refills. She reported her "[s]ymptoms remain the same," and she was waiting

for a wheel chair from a pharmacy. Dr. Chandler recommended that Ms. Gray "try

swimming."131 He refilled the Norco prescription; the record of this visit contains no

reference to Fentanyl.

At the counseling session with LCSW Lundquist on March 26, 2013, Ms. Gray

presented with "bright affect" and was "[v]ery talkative about her future." On the same

day, ANP Farrell reported that Ms. Gray was oriented x4, cooperative, and her speech,

motor skills, perception, thought processes, and thought content were within normal

limits. ANP Farrell noted "Cluster B traits" and gave a "fair" prognosis. 133

On April 4, 2013, Ms. Gray met with LCSW Lundquist. She noted that Ms. Gray

was "experiencing grief process related to health decline." 134

On April 11, 2013, LCSW Lundquist noted no significant change in Ms. Gray's

mental status. She noted that Ms. Gray maintained "distortions of thought of her viability

¹³⁰ A.R. 1434–36.

¹³¹ A.R. 1600–04.

¹³² A.R. 1569.

¹³³ A.R. 1535.

¹³⁴ A.R. 1568.

to engage in purposeful work" and that LCSW Lundquist offered "some challenge to

distortions of thought related to accurate versus inaccurate limitations" at the session. 135

On April 18, 2013, Ms. Gray attended a counseling session with LCSW Lundquist.

She noted no significant change in Ms. Gray's mental status. She reported that Ms. Gray

presented as "agitated, [with] depressed effect." 136

On April 23, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Jane Sonnenburg, PA-C, at AA Spine & Pain

Clinic, for follow up and medication refills. In the treatment notes, Ms. Gray's physical

exam was unchanged from previous visits. PA Sonnenburg noted that Ms. Gray reported

"constant, sharp, shooting, stabbing, burning, throbbing and aching" pain in the lower

back and hips. She also noted that Ms. Gray reported medication, heat, and ice help the

pain. PA Sonnenburg refilled Ms. Gray's prescriptions for gabapentin, Flexeril, Norco,

and Valium. 137

On May 1, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Heather Bell, PT, ATP, at Providence Sports

Medicine and Rehabilitation Therapies for a wheelchair assessment. PT Bell noted that

Ms. Gray "presented to the appointment using a single-point cane." She also noted that

Ms. Gray "was able to move all extremities against gravity." PT Bell also "[o]bserved

functional strength is 4-/5 throughout," and that Ms. Gray's range of motion was "[w]ithin

functional limits in all extremities." At the visit, PT Bell determined that "a four-wheeled

¹³⁵ A.R. 1567.

¹³⁶ A.R. 1566.

¹³⁷ A.R. 1605–09.

walker for home mobility and a power scooter for community access are the most

reasonable and cost-effective options to meet her needs."138

On May 3, 2013, Ms. Gray saw ANP Farrell. Ms. Gray reported, "I think I'm

broken." ANP Farrell noted a depressed mood, but "brighter affect towards end of

session." She noted Cluster B traits. Her prognosis was fair and guarded. 139

On May 16, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chythlook, M.D., at PFMC, for follow up on

high blood pressure and "social security paperwork." Her blood pressure was 150/100 at

that visit. 140

On May 21, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chandler for medication refills. She reported

"feeling more pain on more days and thinks maybe her current treatment plan is sub-

optimal." Dr. Chandler refilled her Norco and Valium prescriptions. 141

On June 5, 2013, Ms. Gray visited Deborah Green, R.N., at PFMC, for a blood

pressure check, which was 120/86 that day. RN Green noted Ms. Gray disclosed "rather

random medication dosing and gives long inconsistent answers about her use of prn

meds including phenergen, cyclobenzaprine, zaleplon,

hydrocodone/acetaminophen." RN Green also noted that Ms. Gray reported that she had

¹³⁸ A.R. 1664–67.

¹³⁹ A.R. 1534.

¹⁴⁰ A.R. 1594–96. Dr. Chythlook noted that Ms. Gray had been taking Lisinopril 10 mg, but had

"not been regularly checking [blood pressure] at home." He increased her dosage to 20 mg. A.R.

1594-95.

¹⁴¹ A.R. 1610–14.

not "increased her dose of lisinopril from 10 mg to 20 mg as instructed at her [May 16,

2013] appointment."142

On June 6, 2013, Ms. Gray saw LCSW Lundquist. She noted that Ms. Gray had

an "agitated, depressed affect" and that she presented "somewhat labial today but

engaged well." ¹⁴³ On the same day, Ms. Gray saw William Chythlook, M.D., at the

emergency department at Providence for numbness of the face and chronic back pain.

Dr. Chythlook did not recommend brain imaging or further neurological workup at the

visit. 144

On June 12, 2013, Ms. Gray had a physical work performance evaluation by

Kathryn Kerris, O.T., at Providence Sports Medicine & Rehabilitation Therapies. OT

Kerris concluded that Ms. Gray would be able to perform sedentary work for an 8-hour

day/40-hour week and that she could exert "up to 10 pounds of force occasionally . . .

and/or a negligible amount of force frequently." "Sedentary work involves sitting most of

the time, but may involve walking or standing for brief periods of time." OT Kerris noted

that Ms. Gray "self-limited on 12% of the 17 tasks" and "if the self-limiting exceeds 20%,

then psychosocial and/or motivational factors are affecting test results." She also noted

that Ms. Gray "has a high degree of unresolved pain" and that "she struggles to do daily

¹⁴² A.R. 1592–93.

¹⁴³ A.R. 1565.

¹⁴⁴ A.R. 1485–89. While in the emergency department, Ms. Gray reported starting on lisinopril 10 mg one month prior. Ms. Gray reported that her blood pressure was 150/98 at a medical visit on

June 5, 2013 "so her Lisinopril dose was increased to 20 mg." A.R. 1484.

living skills, her walking speed is very slow and she has had multiple falls." OT Kerris

added, "[s]he will need a good ergonomic workstation if and when she goes back to work,

which is adjustable and has good arm support."145

On June 13, 2013, Ms. Gray attended a counseling session with LCSW Lundquist.

She noted that Ms. Gray presented "somewhat labial today in mood but engaged well."

That same day, Ms. Gray saw ANP Farrell for medication review. ANP Farrell reported

that Ms. Gray had a normal appearance, orientation, memory, attention and

concentration, and thought content, but abnormal mood, affect, and speech. 146

On June 14, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Bruck Clift, M.D., at PFMC, for follow up after

going to the emergency department on June 6, 2013. Dr. Clift noted that Ms. Gray's

diastolic blood pressure had been elevated since earlier in the year. At the visit, her blood

pressure was 120/86. Ms. Gray reported continuing with the increased dose of lisinopril

20 mg since the emergency department visit. 147

On June 18, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chandler for a follow up visit and medication

refill. Dr. Chandler wrote, Ms. Gray "has scoliosis but no othe[r] diagnosis." 148

¹⁴⁵ A.R. 1465–74.

¹⁴⁶ A.R. 1532, 1564.

¹⁴⁷ A.R. 1592–93.

¹⁴⁸ A.R. 1615–19.

On June 27, 2013, Ms. Gray attended a counseling session with LCSW Lundquist.

She noted that Ms. Gray presented "somewhat labial today in mood but engaged well." 149

On July 1, 2013, Ms. Gray went to PFMC for a blood pressure check and social

security paperwork. Her blood pressure was 102/72 at that visit. She reported increased

pain in the "mid-back and down into the legs" at the visit. 150

On July 2, 2013, Rachel Samuelson, M.D., a family practitioner, conducted a

physical capacity evaluation of Ms. Gray. She opined that Ms. Gray could lift five and ten

pounds occasionally, reach frequently, bend occasionally, and never squat or climb. She

opined that Ms. Gray could sit frequently for a total of 2-4 hours, stand up to 33%

continuously for a total of 2 hours, and walk up to 33% continuously, all with breaks, in an

8-hour work day. Dr. Samuelson indicated that Ms. Gray's medications moderately

restricted her ability to drive, but that she was physically able to travel by bus. Dr.

Samuelson also stated that Ms. Gray "has pain, though there is no clear medical

explanation for this pain." Her prognosis was "unclear at this point." 151

On August 5, 2013, Kenneth Pervier, M.D., a neurologist, evaluated Ms. Gray. He

noted that Ms. Gray "had no indication of pain while sitting quietly for the better part of 20

minutes before she even shifted once on a hard wooden chair." He also noted that she

was "[a]lert, oriented to person, place, date and time" and "had a smiling, very active

¹⁴⁹ A.R. 1563.

¹⁵⁰ A.R. 1590–91.

¹⁵¹ A.R. 1524–28.

disposition, despite a problem of constant pain for years." He noted "decreased effort on

the motor exam in all four extremities" and a "negative straight leg raising test while

sitting." Yet Ms. Gray "had exquisite pain to almost fingertip pressure touching along the

paraspinal musculature anywhere from the neck down to the sacral region, and she would

jerk [to] pain jolts that she felt when being touched." Dr. Pervier opined that "[t]his level

of stimulus should not have caused pain whatsoever in this patient's case." He remarked

that Ms. Gray "had no difficulty . . . bending over grossly while sitting at the waist, over

her knees, in order to reach down and put her shoes and socks back on; this is a bit

inconsistent and the patient showed no pain manifestations in the face or otherwise, or

checking motions secondary to any pain while she was doing this." Dr. Pervier noted that

"without question [Ms. Gray's chronic pain] has a nonorganic factor to it." He

recommended that "she continue with pain management with a psychiatrist or

psychologist who deals with chronic conversion-type symptomatology and symptom

augmentation symptomatology involved in her case chronically as well."152

On August 13, 2013, ANP Farrell completed a health status guestionnaire. In it,

she diagnosed Ms. Gray with major depressive disorder, mild and chronic pain. ANP

Farrell opined that Ms. Gray's medical conditions prevent her from working either full or

part time. She indicated that Ms. Gray's inability to work would be expected to continue

for six months or more. ANP Farrell noted that Ms. Gray's medications cause side effects

¹⁵² A.R. 1500–1502.

impacting her ability to work, including "sedation, dizziness, and [reduced] concentration."

ANP Farrell recommended physical therapy and a pain management consultation. 153

On August 26, 2013, ANP Farrell completed a second questionnaire regarding Ms.

Gray's mental ability to do work-related activities. She indicated that Ms. Gray's ability to

understand and remember simple and complex instructions, make judgments on simple

and complex work-related decisions, and carry out complex instructions, were mildly

affected by her mental impairment. ANP Farrell noted that "at times [Ms. Gray] is forgetful

due to medication side effects" and that she "is experiencing daily fatigue due to

insomnia."154

Also on August 26, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chandler for a follow up visit. He

reported that Ms. Gray "states her leg spasms are becoming more frequent and her feet

are starting to get a 'tingly' feeling." Ms. Gray inquired about a possible increase in her

medications. Dr. Chandler refilled her Ambien, diazepam, Flexeril, gabapentin, Ibuprofen,

Norco, Phenergan, and Valium, with no change. He added a topical compound cream

treatment. 155

On August 27, 2013, Ms. Gray applied for a permanent disabled parking

identification. Marta Lasater, M.D., completed the physician portion of the permit

application. Dr. Lasater opined that Ms. Gray was unable to walk two hundred feet without

¹⁵³ A.R. 1529–31.

¹⁵⁴ A.R. 1575–77.

¹⁵⁵ A.R. 1620–24.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 43 of 90

stopping to rest, was unable to walk without the use of an assistive device, and was

severely limited in her ability to walk due to an arthritic, neurological, or orthopedic

condition; she opined the disability was permanent. 156

On August 28, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Tom Grissom, M.D., at the Algone Institute, for

a second opinion regarding her low back pain. Upon examination, he reported Ms. Gray

showed "5/5 normal muscle strength" in the bilateral lower extremities and a "broad-based

and waddling" gait. Dr. Grissom reported that Ms. Gray was "[o]riented x3 with

appropriate mood and affect and able to articulate well with normal speech/language,

rate, volume and coherence." He diagnosed Ms. Gray with degenerative joint disease of

the spinal facet joint and recommended a discogram. Dr. Grissom also ordered an MRI

and x-rays of the lumbar spine. 157 He did not prescribe any medications at that visit.

On September 24, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Chandler for follow up and medication

refills. Ms. Gray reported pain that was "sharp, shooting, stabbing, burning, aching,

pinching, and throbbing." She indicated that the pain was "generally made worse by

bending, lifting, standing, sitting, walking, climbing stairs, straining, and driving." He

refilled the Norco prescription; however, the toxicology report from the visit did not detect

any Norco in Ms. Gray's system. He recommended that Ms. Gray discuss with Dr.

Grissom if she should take a transdermal patch for pain relief. 158

¹⁵⁶ A.R. 1725.

¹⁵⁷ A.R.1581–83.

¹⁵⁸ A.R. 1625–31, 2023–24.

On September 25, 2013, Ms. Gray saw ANP Farrell. ANP Farrell reported that Ms.

Gray had abnormal attention and concentration and insight and judgment, but normal

orientation, memory, language, mood, affect, speech, thought processes, and thought

content. ANP Farrell also noted that Ms. Gray "did not mention pain during this

session."159

On September 30, 2013, Ms. Gray underwent "[l]umbar spine CT post

discography" with Dr. Grissom. The examiner reported "[n]o disc degeneration, protrusion

. . . [n]o annular tear" at L3-L4, "grade 1 disc degeneration at L4-L5," and "[g]rade 1 disc

degeneration with subtle annular bulge at L5-S1. No discrete disc bulge or annular tear

is apparent." Dr. Grissom prescribed 11 Fentanyl patches (25mcg/hr) to Ms. Gray for the

next 30 days. 160 He did not prescribe any Norco.

On October 14, 2013, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Grissom for follow up after the lumbar

discogram. Ms. Gray reported pain "exacerbated for about a week after the procedure."

Upon examination, Ms. Gray exhibited normal 5/5 muscle strength in the bilateral lower

extremities, a normal gait, "pain with lumbar lateral bending, pain with lumbar rotation and

pain with facet maneuvers." She was oriented x3 with "appropriate mood and affect and

able to articulate well with normal speech/language, rate, volume and coherence." Dr.

¹⁵⁹ A.R. 1598.

¹⁶⁰ A.R. 1579–80, 1699–70.

Grissom prescribed 30 more Fentanyl patches at an increased dosage (50mcg/hr). He

also recommended that Ms. Gray undergo an endoscopic discectomy procedure. 161

On November 11, 2013, Cheryl Fitzgerald, PA-C, from Algone, completed a health

status report form for Ms. Gray. She identified Ms. Gray's diagnoses as degenerative

disc disease, lumbar and cervical, and chronic lumbar radiculopathy. PA Fitzgerald

indicated that Ms. Gray would not be able to work full time or part time for six months.

She also opined that Ms. Gray's medications caused drowsiness. She recommended

pain management, physical therapy, a possible spinal cord stimulator, and a functional

assessment. 162 PA Fitzgerald ordered physical therapy "for core strengthening and water

therapy."163

On December 6, 2013, Ms. Gray saw PA Fitzgerald at Algone for a follow up exam

and medication refill. On examination, Ms. Gray's muscle strength and gait were

normal.¹⁶⁴ PA Fitzgerald prescribed 150 Norco tablets (10-325mg) for Ms. Gray on that

date; she also filled her Fentanyl prescription, 11 patches at 50mcgg/hr.

On December 27, 2013, Ms. Gray saw PA Fitzgerald. Ms. Gray reported lower

back pain. She reported increased pain with "prolonged sitting, transitioning from sitting

¹⁶¹ A.R. 1646–47.

¹⁶² A.R. 1661–62.

¹⁶³ A.R. 1733. There is no evidence in the record of physical therapy following the November 11,

2013 appointment.

¹⁶⁴ A.R. 1645.

to standing, and standing," but relief "somewhat with changes in position and medication."

PA Fitzgerald noted that Ms. Gray ambulated "with use of a four-wheeled walker slow and

cautiously." PA Fitzgerald diagnosed Ms. Gray with high risk medication use, neck pain,

degenerative joint disease of the spinal facet joint, chronic lumbar radiculopathy, low back

pain, and carpal tunnel syndrome of the left wrist. Ms. Gray reported the Fentanyl does

not seem to be working as well for the past month. PA Fitzgerald increased Ms. Gray's

Fentanyl dosage from 50 to 75 mcg and prescribed 150 Norco tablets (10-325mg). A

drug screen was ordered but its results are not in the record. 165

On January 22, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Grissom. He performed "L4-5 and L5-S1

left-sided endoscopic discectomy under fluoroscopic and direct visualization." He refilled

the Norco and Fentanyl prescriptions. 166

On January 29, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Briana Cranmer, M.D., at PFMC. Dr. Cranmer

assessed Ms. Gray with bilateral lower extremity edema. At the visit, Dr. Cranmer

observed that Ms. Gray was not in "acute distress but appears uncomfortable," that she

was ambulating with difficulty, alert and oriented, but with "flattened affect, tearful." Ms.

Gray reported that she was "concerned that she has hit the 200 lb mark" and that she

"eats even when she's not hungry." 167

¹⁶⁵ A.R. 1640–41.

¹⁶⁶ A.R. 1632–33, 1826–27.

¹⁶⁷ A.R. 1585–89.

On February 12, 2014, Ms. Gray visited Dr. Grissom for a follow up exam. She

reported "that she has not noticed any changes in her pain and states she still has days

where moving around is not an option but she is hopeful." She also reported that "[p]ain

increased with prolonged sitting, transitioning from sitting to standing, and standing," that

the pain was relieved "somewhat with changes in position and medication," and "Norco

offers relief in conjunction with [the] Fentanyl patch." Dr. Grissom increased the strength

of the Fentanyl patches to over 100 mcg/hr. He also prescribed 150 Norco tablets (10-

325mg).¹⁶⁸ On the same date, Dr. Grissom wrote a letter stating that Ms. Gray "continues"

to have ongoing issues with her lumbar discs which originated 12/2011" and that "it is

unclear when/if she'll be able to return to work." 169

On February 17, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Samuelson at PFMC for follow up. Ms.

Gray reported continued leg swelling and "sometimes her hands as well," and reported

that the "palms of her hands and soles of her feet are tingly and painful." Dr. Samuelson

assessed Ms. Gray with "[e]xtremity edema, with tingling [in] the soles and palms," but

noted that it was "[u]nclear what this is due to." 170

¹⁶⁸ A.R. 1704–06. He prescribed 7 patches of 25mcg/hr for the next 18 days and 11 patches of

100mcg/hr for the next 30 days.

¹⁶⁹ A.R. 1637.

¹⁷⁰ A.R. 2069–71.

On February 18, 2014, Ms. Gray received a bilateral lower extremity deep vein

thrombosis study. The study showed "no right or left lower extremity deep vein

thrombosis."171

On March 6, 2014, Ms. Gray saw PA Fitzgerald for a follow up exam and

prescription refill. Upon examination, Ms. Gray showed "5/5 normal muscle strength" in

the bilateral lower extremities, a normal gait, and "2+ pitting edema to the knee." PA

Fitzgerald reported that Ms. Gray was "[c]ooperative and in no apparent distress" and

"[o]riented x3 with appropriate mood and affect and able to articulate well with normal

speech/language, volume and coherence." PA Fitzgerald diagnosed Ms. Gray with low

back pain, chronic lumbar radiculopathy, peripheral edema, and long-term current use of

opiate analgesic. She prescribed 150 Norco tablets (10-325 mg) and 11 patches of

Fentanyl at 100mcgy/hr.¹⁷²

On April 1, 2014, Ms. Gray returned to PA Fitzgerald at Algone for medication refills.

She also reported lower back pain and neck pain. PA Fitzgerald observed that Ms. Gray

had "normal posture" and used a walker. Ms. Gray was alert and oriented x3 "with

appropriate mood and affect." Ms. Gray reported that opioid therapy was helpful, but that

"she has not had any kind of relief" from endoscopic microdiscetomy. PA Fitzgerald

opined that Ms. Gray's "symptoms are consistent with neuropathic pain." OxyContin and

¹⁷¹ A.R. 2091.

¹⁷² A.R. 1694–96.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 49 of 90

oxycodone were both prescribed at this visit, but not fentanyl. PA Fitzgerald also referred

Ms. Gray to four to six weeks of physical therapy, 3 sessions a week. 173

On April 14, 2014, Ms. Gray visited PA Fitzgerald for medications follow up. Ms.

Gray reported that "[a]fter her last visit she 'got tired of taking pills' so went off all of her

medications 'cold turkey,'" but that she was "back on the medications and is feeling better."

Her edema had resolved.¹⁷⁴

On April 17, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Amy Hoger, PA, at PFMC. Ms. Gray reported

"[n]umbness in [the] hands" and bottom of the feet for several months, her fingers were

"not working at times," and that she has to "stop eating due to trouble with finger

movement," but that she was not seeing a neurologist, had no recent injury, and had no

other new symptoms. Upon examination, PA Hoger observed that Ms. Gray had a normal

affect and speech pattern, answered questions appropriately, was able to follow two step

commands, and was resting calmly at the visit. She noted that, with encouragement, Ms.

Gray had normal range of motion and strength bilaterally in her upper and lower

extremities.¹⁷⁵

On April 29, 2014, Ms. Gray saw PA Fitzgerald. She reported lower back pain and

carpal tunnel syndrome, including hand tingling and numbness. PA Fitzgerald observed

that Ms. Gray was alert, "cooperative and in no apparent distress," able to "articulate well

¹⁷³ A.R. 1753–58, 1723–24. There is no record of physical therapy after this order until June 2014.

¹⁷⁴ A.R. 1688–90.

¹⁷⁵ A.R. 2072–73.

with normal speech/language, rate, volume and coherence," with appropriate mood and

affect, and "[o]riented x 3." Regarding Ms. Gray's hands, PA Fitzgerald noted no redness,

edema, or deformity, no "thenar eminence atrophy," with a "[d]ecreased grip on right

compared to left." PA Fitzgerald prescribed 150 Norco tables (10-325mg) and 11 patches

of fentanyl (100mgc/hr). She also wrote a prescription for "cockup splints" to wear at night

"until she sees the neurologist for nerve conduction studies." The record also indicates

a plan for a drug screen, but there are no such screening results in the record.

On May 27, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Matthew Peterson, M.D., at Algone, for

medication refills of the Norco tablets and fentanyl patches. He noted that he was

"[u]ncertain of [the] source of pain" and that Ms. Gray "continued to have both low back

and bilateral leg pain with leg weakness to the point she uses a walker." That same

date, PA Fitzgerald completed a health status form. She indicated that Ms. Gray was

unable to work full time or part time for twelve months. PA Fitzgerald wrote that Ms. Gray

should "continue physical therapy twice a week, continue pain management," and obtain

a functional assessment. 178

Beginning June 5, 2014, Ms. Gray attended physical therapy sessions at East Side

Physical Therapy. 179

¹⁷⁶ A.R. 1707–09.

¹⁷⁷ A.R. 1721–22.

¹⁷⁸ A.R. 1710–11.

¹⁷⁹ A.R. 1728. The record shows that Ms. Gray attended physical therapy sessions on June 5, 9,

18, 23, 25, 30, and July 2, 7, and 9, 2014. She canceled appointments on December 11, 2013

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 51 of 90

On June 18, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Peterson for a follow up. She reported

increased pain due to a fall. Her physical and neuropsychiatric exams were similar to

previous visits at Algone. 180 Dr. Peterson again noted that he was uncertain of the source

of Ms. Gray's pain. He also noted "[n]o aberrant behavior." He started Ms. Gray on

Percocet in place of the Norco, and continued the fentanyl. 181

On July 25, 2014, Dr. Grissom inserted a "[d]ual-lead spinal cord stimulator trial

utilizing a Boston Scientific system."182

On July 30, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Ellenson for a neurological evaluation. Dr.

Ellenson diagnosed carpal tunnel syndrome and noted that Ms. Gray's "examination was

normal except for her painful gait and persistent back pain. Nerve conduction studies

reveal mild bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome worse on the right." 183

On August 1, 2014, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Peterson at Algone to have her

spinal cord stimulator leads "looked at and re-dressed." He discontinued the Percocet

and prescribed 28 tablets of oxycodone (20mg) with no refills.¹⁸⁴

and on May 20, June 11 and 16, 2014.

¹⁸⁰ At multiple visits, providers at Algone observed that Ms. Gray was alert and cooperative, able to "articulate well with normal speech/language, rate, volume and coherence," with appropriate

mood and affect, and "[o]riented x 3."

¹⁸¹ A.R. 1718–20.

¹⁸² A.R. 1734–35.

¹⁸³ A.R. 2095–2100.

¹⁸⁴ A.R. 1772–74.

On August 4, 2014, Ms. Gray followed up at Algone. The spinal cord stimulator

was removed and her oxycodone prescription was refilled for fourteen more days. 185

On August 26, 2014, Ms. Gray visited Dr. Grissom. She reported that her "current

[opioid] regimen has been effective," but that her pain that day was a "10." She queried

whether "she might get a little bit more relief in her pain scales if she changes the

[Fentanyl] patch every 48 hours." Dr. Grissom observed normal coordination, a normal

gait "using [a] walker," no edema, a normal bilateral peripheral vascular lower extremity

inspection, normal attention span, concentration, speech/language, rate, volume and

coherence, and appropriate mood and affect. He diagnosed her with degenerative joint

disease of the spinal facet joint, arthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome of the left wrist,

peripheral edema, bulge of lumbar disc without myelopathy, neck pain, chronic lumbar

radiculopathy, low back pain, long-term use of opiate analgesic, and narcotic withdrawal.

He ordered a rheumatology panel, changed the Fentanyl prescription to 1 patch every 48

hours, and prescribed 90 more 20 mg tablets of oxycodone for 30 days. 186

On August 29, 2014, Ms. Gray had an MRI of her cervical spine. The MRI showed

"C6-C7 left foraminal and extraforaminal disc protrusion and osteophyte formation

resulting in severe stenosis of the left subarticular zone and left neural foramen," and "C7-

¹⁸⁵ A.R. 1850–52.

¹⁸⁶ A.R. 1853–57.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 53 of 90

T1 small right foraminal disk protrusion contributing to mild foraminal stenosis," and

"reversal of cervical lordosis." 187

On September 19, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Peterson at Algone. He added a

diagnosis of cervical radiculopathy after reviewing the MRI results from August 29, 2014.

He prescribed an additional 90 tablets of 20 mg of oxycodone and 15 more 100 mcg/hr

Fentanyl patches for the next 30 days. 188

On October 21, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Grissom for a "recheck of chronic opioid

management. He reported that Ms. Gray was "very emotional today and in a lot of pain."

He also reported that the "current regimen has been effective." Ms. Gray reported her

insurance could only cover the Fentanyl patches every 56 hours, not the 48 hours

prescribed. He refilled the Fentanyl at 15 patches for 30 days and 90 tablets of 20 mg

oxycodone for 30 days. 189

On November 19, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Peterson at Algone for medication

refills.190

On November 24, 2014, Ms. Gray saw Claire Stoltz, M.D., at PFMC, for left hip,

groin, and buttock pain that she reported had radiated down her leg for the past three

days. Dr. Stoltz noted that Ms. Gray's reported left groin pain was of "unclear etiology at

¹⁸⁷ A.R. 1736–37.

¹⁸⁸ A.R. 1858–62.

¹⁸⁹ A.R. 1863–66.

¹⁹⁰ A.R. 1867–70.

this time given her concurrent and complex back pain." She also noted that Ms. Gray

was anxious and frustrated, "occasionally tearful," and in "moderate distress" during the

visit. She noted that Ms. Gray was "currently on fentanyl patches and is planning on

restarting gabapentin and methadone after tapering the fentanyl[I]." Dr. Stoltz reported

that she informed Ms. Gray that she needed to involve her chronic pain doctor as "[w]e

should not be adjusting her pain meds."191 Also on November 24, 2014, Ms. Gray had an

x-ray of her left hip. The x-ray showed "[n]ormal radiographic appearance of the hip," that

the "hip is anatomically aligned and has normal morphology," and the soft tissues "are

normal."192

On December 2, 2014, Ms. Gray visited Zachary Kile, PA-C, at Algone. She

reported increased pain. Upon examination, PA Kile noted that Ms. Gray had good, pain-

free range of motion of the bilateral hips with internal/external rotation, mild pain to

palpation of the GT Bursa, and "NV intact with negative Homan's sign." 193

On December 10, 2014 and January 7, 2015, Ms. Gray visited PA Kile. He refilled

and adjusted medications. He indicated that Ms. Gray was at "low risk for opiate

abuse."194

¹⁹¹ A.R. 2074–76.

¹⁹² A.R. 2092.

¹⁹³ A.R. 1871–74.

¹⁹⁴ A.R. 1875–78, 1879–82. At each of these visits, PA Kile prescribed 4 Methadone tablets per

day(10mg) as well as 3 Oxycodone tablets per day(20mg); there is no reference to Fentanyl.

On February 3, 2015, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Grissom for medication refills. He refilled

the Oxycodone prescription and added 2 MS Contin tablets a day (30 mg). He added

piriformis syndrome to her list of diagnoses. 195

On March 4, April 1, April 27, and May 26, 2015, Ms. Gray saw care providers at

Algone for medication refills and adjustments. 196

On June 4, 2015, Dr. Grissom completed a health status report form for the State

of Alaska based on his May 26, 2015 examination of Ms. Gray. He listed Ms. Gray's

diagnoses as chronic lumbar radiculopathy, polyarthralgia, degenerative joint disease of

the spinal facet joint, carpal tunnel left wrist, and cervical radiculopathy. Dr. Grissom

opined that Ms. Gray could not work full time or part time. He specified that medication

side effects may cause sedation/impaired mentation, dizziness, and vomiting that may

impact Ms. Gray's ability to participate in a work or training environment. 197

On June 29, 2015, Ms. Gray saw Jenny Uphus, LPN, at Algone. LPN Uphus noted

that Ms. Gray "is receiving opioids for neck pain, back pain, and fibromyalgia." She also

noted that Ms. Gray "is having severe leg pain today and is having a hard time getting up

¹⁹⁵ A.R. 1883–87.

¹⁹⁶ A.R. 1759–62, 1764–67, 1888–95. On April 1, 2014, Dr. Grissom increased the MS Contin to

1 tablet a day at 60mg and refilled the Oxycodone. On April 16, 2015, PA Kile wrote a letter

stating, "Due to her medical conditions, she is considered to be fully disabled." PA Kile concluded that "[c]urrently [Ms. Gray] is unable to manage her pain with the use of interventional procedures listed above and her pain is not well managed with her current opioid treatment." A.R. 1763. On

May 26, 2015, Dr. Grissom increased the MS Contin to 3 tablets a day at 60 mg and refilled the

Oxycodone. A.R. 1746-47.

¹⁹⁷ A.R. 1741–44.

and walking." LPN Uphus refilled the Oxycodone prescription and refilled the MS Contin

prescription of 3 tablets a day (60mg). She also ordered massage therapy. 198

On July 14, 2015 and August 5, 2015, Ms. Gray saw Pebbles Shanley, M.D., at

PFMC. Dr. Shanley reviewed "significant medical, surgical, social history, medications

and allergies" at the visit. She also discussed fibromyalgia with Ms. Gray, noting "this is

a new diagnosis from her pain management clinic."199

On July 28, 2015, Ms. Gray visited Dr. Grissom. At the visit, Ms. Gray denied "any

improvement in pain." Dr. Grissom refilled Ms. Gray's opioid medications.²⁰⁰

On August 20, 2015, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Grissom for medication refills. She reported

at this visit that her "current [opioid] regimen has been adequate." 201

On October 29, 2015, Ms. Gray followed up at Algone. She reported that her

"current [opioid] regimen has been adequate and ineffective." Her dosage of MS Contin

was reduced to 1 tablet/day of 30 mg.²⁰²

On December 16, 2015, Ms. Gray had an x-ray of her lumbar spine. The x-ray

showed the "[l]umbar vertebral bodies are anatomically aligned, vertebral body heights

are normal, and there are no fractures seen." In comparison to the January 2012 x-ray,

¹⁹⁸ A.R. 1768–71.

¹⁹⁹ A.R. 2077–81.

²⁰⁰ A.R. 1775–78.

²⁰¹ A.R. 1779–81.

²⁰² A.R. 1782–83. The record from this visit appears to be incomplete.

"no new acute appearing bony changes [were] seen." The x-ray also showed that the

invertebral disc spaces were normal, pars interarticularis intact, no suspicious osteolytic

or biastic lesions, and the visualized soft tissues appeared normal.²⁰³

On December 22, 2015, Dr. Grissom wrote a letter opining that Ms. Gray "is fully

disabled." He explained she "is unable to manage her pain with the use of interventional

procedures" and that her pain "is not well managed with her current opioid treatment." He

also reported that she is unable to physically work and is limited in her physical

capabilities. She cannot walk long distances, sit for a prolonged time, manipulate stairs,

lift, bend, or drive a motor vehicle.²⁰⁴

On January 7, 2016, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Shanley at PFMC for "daily headaches."

Dr. Shanley diagnosed her with "chronic migraine without aura without status

migrainosus, not intractable."205

On January 14, 2016, Ms. Gray saw PA Fitzgerald. PA Fitzgerald reviewed the

lumbar spine x-rays "which were read out as normal." Strength testing of the lower

extremities was 5/5 and equal bilaterally. Ms. Gray had normal posture, affect, speech,

thought content, and perception. PA Fitzgerald diagnosed Ms. Gray with chronic lumbar

²⁰³ A.R. 1917.

²⁰⁴ A.R. 1923.

²⁰⁵ A.R. 1936–40, 2081–82.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 58 of 90

radiculopathy, facet arthopathy, and long term current use of opiate analgesic. She

adjusted and refilled Ms. Gray's medications at the visit.²⁰⁶

On February 24, 2016, Ms. Gray saw David Penn, M.D., at PFMC, for daily

headaches with "[m]igraine-like . . . sensitivity to light and sound."207

On March 4, 2016, Ms. Gray received a cervical medial branch block at C3-C6 on

the right.²⁰⁸

On March 15, 2016, Ms. Gray visited PA Fitzgerald. She stated MS Contin "does

not work at all and is a waste of money and time." She denied any improvement in pain

from the recent medial branch block at C3-6. PA Fitzgerald noted that the new MRI

showed mild degenerative changes at L3-4 with a left paracentral disc protrusion causing

mild left foraminal stenosis and progression of degenerative changes at L4-5. PA

Fitzgerald noted there was "[n]othing that really explains all of her pain." Ms. Gray stated

that she "does not feel like the morphine is helping with her pain." PA Fitzgerald

"[d]iscussed that [Ms. Gray's] pain medications could be exacerbating her pain";

accordingly, PA Fitzgerald reduced the MS Contin prescription. ²⁰⁹

²⁰⁶ A.R. 1944–48. There may be some missing records. The prescription includes 3 tablets/day of Oxycodone (20mg) and 3 tablets a day of MS Contin (60 mg), the higher amount previously

prescribed to Ms. Gray.

²⁰⁷ A.R. 1949–51, 2084–85.

²⁰⁸ A.R. 1952–53.

²⁰⁹ A.R. 1957–62. The record has conflicting start dates for morphine sulfate 60 mg. However,

the record does not show a prescription for morphine before March 22, 2016. A.R. 1951, 1960,

2034, 2102.

On March 30, 2016, Ms. Gray saw Chelsey Jacobs, PA-C, at PFMC, for chronic

headaches. PA Jacobs reported that Ms. Gray was interested in being referred for

counseling and that she had stopped taking trazodone for insomnia over one month

before the appointment. PA Jacobs diagnosed Ms. Gray with chronic intractable

headache, unspecified; insomnia, unspecified; depression; and other chronic pain.²¹⁰

On April 6, 2016, Ms. Gray followed up with PA Jacobs. PA Jacobs adjusted her

medications at the visit.²¹¹

On April 11, 2016, Ms. Gray saw Dr. Ellenson at Alaska Neurology Center. He

noted that she reported daily headaches, but that "[h]er examination shows a painful

appearing gait and (sic) but is otherwise normal." Dr. Ellenson reported that he "explained

the concept of medication overuse headaches" and wrote he was "optimistic her

migraines will improve with the combination of topiramate and discontinuation of pain

medication."212

On April 15, 2016, Dr. Grissom wrote a letter opining that Ms. Gray "is unable to

manage her pain with the use of interventional procedures only."213

²¹⁰ A.R. 2085–89.

²¹¹ A.R. 2089.

²¹² A.R. 2101-05.

²¹³ A.R. 1954–56.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 60 of 90

On June 9, 2016, Ms. Gray saw PA Fitzgerald for medication adjustments and

refills. The morphine was discontinued and replaced with 60 tablets of Opana ER 15 mg,

another opioid pain medication.²¹⁴

On July 26, 2016, Ms. Gray visited Dr. Shanley at PFMC for headaches and a

neuropsychological referral. Ms. Gray reported having "depressed mood, anxiety

symptoms as well as memory difficulty and decreased concentration."215

On August 2, 2016, Ms. Gray followed up with Dr. Ellenson to adjust her

medications for daily headaches.²¹⁶

On August 18, 2016, Ms. Gray saw Russell Cherry, PsyD, for a neuropsychological

evaluation. Dr. Cherry conducted an interview with Ms. Gray and performed a battery of

academic, mood, behavior, and adaptive behavior tests. Ms. Gray "did not list

medications and had difficulty recalling them, with the exception of amitriptyline." She

presented as "tired and possibly sedated/groggy." She reported panic attacks and severe

depression, significant agoraphobia, and a marked change in personality and mood, all

arising after her 2011 injury. Dr. Cherry noted that the test findings "are considered only

marginally valid due to the patient failing two different internal validity checks indicating

invalid performance, as well as due to her failing two brief screening measures of

effort/motivation, where she performed below adults with mild dementia or mental

²¹⁴ A.R. 2028–37.

²¹⁵ A.R. 2089.

²¹⁶ A.R. 2106–10.

retardation, which is implausible." He noted Ms. Gray's "extreme overreporting of implausible cognitive/neurological symptoms." Dr. Cherry diagnosed Ms. Gray with major depressive disorder, recurrent and severe; Posttraumatic Stress Disorder ("PTSD"); anxiety, unspecified; and adverse medication effects. He provisionally diagnosed Ms. Gray with bipolar disorder, not elsewhere classified ("NEC"); and somatoform disorder, NEC. Specifically, Dr. Cherry noted "[w]ith regard to provisional diagnosis of Somatoform Disorder NEC, the referral source questioned somatic health issues." He also noted the "numerous technically invalid and implausibly low scores on neuropsychological testing is common to somatoform populations, the patient's responses on psychological testing were prototypical for diagnosis of somatoform disorder, and the patient's history of significant abuse and depression and varied anxiety would greatly predispose her toward somatic expression of stress/depression/anxiety." He noted that Ms. Gray "is receiving far too many sedating medications" and in his opinion, "the pain center where [Ms. Gray] is being seen has a tendency for over-sedating patients." He recommended the ongoing receipt of social security and related services, resumption of individual therapy, and consultation with an attorney regarding qualification for additional benefits due to her 2011 work accident. Dr. Cherry also recommended working with a physical therapist on chronic pain and sleep accommodations, reviewing sedating medications, receiving housing

assistance and a service animal, participation in a chronic pain group, future participation

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill Decision and Order

Page 62 of 90

in a PTSD support group or anxiety group, and reviewing the need for a repeat sleep

study "if sedating medications can be reduced in the future."217

Hearing Testimony on September 26, 2016

Ms. Gray attended the September 26, 2016 hearing with her attorney, Christopher

Dellert. She testified that she was "unable to walk unassisted" because of leg weakness.

She explained that the radiographic ablation procedure, discogram, microdiscectomy,

multiple injections, spinal cord stimulator, physical therapy, and chiropractic treatments

had not resulted in any kind of long-term improvement, and in fact her pain had increased

in the years following her 2011 injury. She testified that at the time of the hearing her only

treatment was pain medications and that these were "somewhat effective." She testified

that she could not sit or stand for long periods of time due to pain. She testified that she

could do "[r]egular personal hygiene things; set up; laundry; vacuum; light duty

housework; mainly sit up, sit up in the house because I end up having to do a lot of laying,"

but after performing light duty housework or "normal stuff," she was "in tremendous pain,

for the next day or two."218

²¹⁷ A.R. 2111–127.

²¹⁸ A.R. 71–75. Ms. Gray's initial hearing was July 9, 2013. She testified without a representative.

She provided similar testimony to the September 26, 2016 hearing regarding her back pain. She testified that pain medication was effective. She used a cane and walker at the time, testified to having trouble walking, standing, and sitting for long periods of time, and that she was "unable to drive." She testified that she could shower on her own. She needed assistance "getting out of bed and getting to the restroom, help getting dressed." A.R. 121–28. At the hearing on February 13, 2014, Ms. Gray testified that since the last hearing, "things have gotten worse" and that her

pain was more intense. She reported that on some days she needs assistance bathing, dressing, fixing food, and getting to the restroom. A.R. 94-102. On June 10, 2016, Ms. Gray requested a

continuance to find representation. A.R. 86–87.

Robert Sklaroff, M.D., testified as a medical expert at the hearing based on his

review of the medical record.²¹⁹ He opined that Ms. Gray "should be able to stand, sit or

walk, any one of them, up to six hours during a normal eight-hour day, with normal

breaks," that she should be able to "push, pull, squat, bend, reach . . . lift, at least, 50

pounds occasionally, 25 pounds frequently." Dr. Sklaroff also opined that she should not

be at heights or on ropes, scaffolds, ladders, or hazardous machinery. He did not "see

anything in the record" that supported Ms. Gray's need to use a walker.²²⁰

Margaret Moore, Ph.D., also testified as a medical expert at the hearing based on

her review of the records.²²¹ She opined that "there is really very little in the way of formal

mental health treatment. This is a Claimant who saw a therapist for several months in

2013; had some medication management services in that relative time frame in 2013; and

then [had Dr. Cherry's] evaluation solicited . . . very recently." Dr. Moore opined that Ms.

Gray's mental impairment was "moderate and it's primarily, I think, the medication." She

quoted Dr. Cherry's evaluation that "nearly all of [Ms. Gray's] issues are not the products

of brain dysfunction but of various sedating medicines or medications to the point of

almost being obtundent." Dr. Moore opined, "I think we really have someone here who is

just clearly overusing medicines and experiences the side effects from those" and that

the narcotic pain prescriptions she was receiving were "inappropriate." Dr. Moore also

²¹⁹ A.R. 2040–51.

²²⁰ A.R. 53–60.

²²¹ A.R. 2038–39.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 64 of 90

opined that she did not see a "clear, formal diagnosis" of somatoform disorder in the

medical record. She critiqued Dr. Cherry's evaluation, find it "amazing that Dr. Cherry

would go ahead and make the formal diagnoses that he did" in light of Ms. Gray's failed

internal validity assessments at the Cherry evaluation. In Dr. Moore's view, Ms. Gray is

"probably overly dependent on prescription medications that compromise her ability to

focus but I really do not see a good cause for post-traumatic stress disorder, nor

necessarily severe depression." Dr. Moore would recognize a level of depression, a mild

form of anxiety, and would consider a diagnosis of substance dependence. She opined

that Ms. Gray had mild limitations in daily living, mild to moderate social impairments,

moderate impairment of concentration, persistence, and pace, due primarily to

medication, and no evidence of episodes of decompensation.²²²

Function Reports

On July 11, 2014, Ms. Gray completed a function report. She stated that she was

"in pain all the time." She reported that she needed help dressing, using the restroom,

and caring for her hair, but could prepare "quick meals" and do light dusting and pick up.

She reported that she could walk ten to twenty steps before stopping and regularly used

a walker, wheelchair, motor scooter, cane, and brace/splint. She also reported that her

"mood has changed" and that she was "easily frustrated, irritated and angry." 223

²²² A.R. 60–69.

²²³ A.R. 586–93. Ms. Gray's previous function report, completed on October 30, 2012, is consistent with the July 11, 2014 report. In the 2012 report, Ms. Gray stated that she was "in constant pain that is only slightly under control w[ith] prescribed narcotics" and that she was

"unable to stand, sit, or walk for longer than 15-20 min[ute]s." She reported that she could prepare

On July 12, 2014, Ms. Gray's friend, Viola Raye Smith, provided a function report

on Ms. Gray's behalf. She reported that Ms. Gray was in "constant pain" and that her

sleep is "broken and not restful." She reported that Ms. Gray needed assistance with

personal care and does not cook, do housework, or drive. She also stated that "the

amount of medication she takes does not allow her to drive."224

On July 13, 2014, Ms. Gray's fiancé, Craig Welch, provided a function report. He

reported that Ms. Gray was unable to work due to her injuries and daily pain. He reported

that her medications make her sleepy and "sometimes keep her from sleeping." He

reported that he and Ms. Gray's daughter assist Ms. Gray with personal care, food

preparation, and household chores, but that Ms. Gray could pay bills, count change,

handle a savings account, and use checks. He also reported that Ms. Gray walks with a

cane for assistance; she also uses a walker, scooter, and a brace/splint. Mr. Welch drives

her to appointments due to medication and pain.²²⁵

Ms. Gray's son, Derric Echey, also provided a function report on July 13, 2014. He

reported that Ms. Gray could not work because of "daily pain" and that her medications

make her very drowsy. He also reported that Ms. Gray needs assistance with personal

cereal, sandwiches, and vegetables, that she could not do any house or yard work, but could drive "on very rare occasions," shop at stores, pay bills, and count change. She indicated that lifting, squatting, bending, standing, reaching, walking, sitting, kneeling, and stair climbing were

all affected by her 2011 injury. A.R. 487-94.

²²⁴ A.R. 594–601. Friends Terri Pilcher and Katrina Osborne also completed third-party function reports that are each similar to Ms. Smith's account of Ms. Gray's limitations. A.R. 569-585.

²²⁵ A.R. 610–17.

care, does some light housework, and can pay bills and count change, but does not

drive.226

IV. DISCUSSION

Ms. Gray asserts in her opening brief that "the ALJ erred (1) in finding that [Ms.

Gray]'s pain disorder was not a severe impairment at Step Two; (2) in his weighing of [Ms.

Gray]'s allegations about the severity and limiting effects of her impairments; and (3) in

his weighing of the medical opinion evidence."227 The Commissioner disagrees.228

A. <u>Severe Mental Impairment</u>

Ms. Gray alleges that "the ALJ erred in finding that [she] did not have a severe

mental impairment that contributed to her symptoms." Specifically, she asserts that her

"psychological pain, whether a chronic pain syndrome or somatoform disorder, results

in more than minimal impact on her ability to perform basic work activities."229 The

Commissioner argues that the ALJ did not commit legal error at step two. Specifically,

the Commissioner asserts that Ms. Gray "has not provided any credible evidence of how

she was significantly limited by somatoform disorder/pain disorder that lasted at least

twelve continuous months." Further, the Commissioner asserts that "it was harmless

error, at most, that the ALJ did not specifically identify a somatoform/pain disorder at

²²⁶ A.R. 602–09.

²²⁷ Docket 18 at 1.

²²⁸ Docket 22.

²²⁹ Docket 18 at 6.

step two of the sequential evaluation process because he did in fact include multiple

limitations pertaining to a pain disorder within his RFC assessment."230

1. Legal Standard

The Social Security regulations define severe impairment as an impairment which

significantly limits a claimant's "ability to do basic work activities." 231 Step two is a "de

minimis screening device to dispose of groundless claims."²³² Further, to be severe, the

impairment must "result from anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities

that can be shown by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic

techniques."233

2. Analysis

The ALJ found that a "somatoform disorder is not a medically determinable

impairment for [Ms. Gray]." He noted that testifying medical expert Dr. Moore found "no

reason" to make a somatoform disorder diagnosis and "no clear or formal diagnosis was

²³⁰ Docket 22 at 14–15.

²³¹ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1521, 416.921; see also Webb v. Barnhart, 433 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir. 2005) (an ALJ must have substantial evidence to find that the medical evidence clearly establishes that the claimant lacks a medically severe impairment or combination of impairments).

²³² Smolen v. Chater, 80 F.3d 1273, 1290 (9th Cir. 1996).

²³³ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1521, 416.921.

made of somatoform disorder under section 12.08²³⁴ of the Listings."²³⁵

Although the ALJ determined that somatoform disorder was not a medically determinable impairment for Ms. Gray, he still reviewed and analyzed treatment notes and findings discussing the potentially psychological elements of Ms. Gray's pain symptoms. The record does not contain objective medical evidence demonstrating a formal somatoform diagnosis. Moreover, ALJ Hebda did not end the sequential analysis at step two; he addressed Ms. Gray's pain symptoms throughout the decision and considered pain in determining her RFC.²³⁶ For example, the ALJ cited Dr. Cant's observation that "when [Ms. Gray] was distracted, she did not complain of pain while the doctor was pressing on the lumbar spine and right sided paraspinal muscles. However, later when Dr. Cant asked if this pressing was painful, the claimant winced."²³⁷ Additionally, the ALJ noted that Dr. Pervier "also observed the claimant had no appearance of pain until she shifted onto her right hip after 20 minutes" and concluded that Ms. Gray's limitations were "magnified symptom-wise, based on non-organic

²³⁴ The ALJ's citation to Listing 12.08 (Personality Disorders) rather than Listing 12.07 (Somatic Symptom Disorders) is harmless error as the requirements for Paragraph B of Listing 12.07 and 12.08 are identical. *Turner v. Berryhill*, 705 Fed.Appx. 495, 498 (9th Cir. 2017); *Brown-Hunter v. Colvin*, 806 F.3d 487, 492 (9th Cir. 2015).

²³⁵ A.R. 22. *See also* 20 C.F.R. Pt. 404, Subpt. P, App. 1, § 12.07 (effective August 22, 2017 to March 13, 2018) (*Somatic Symptom and Related Disorders* are "[p]hysical symptoms or deficits that are not intentionally produced or feigned, and that, following clinical investigation, cannot be fully explained by a general medical conditions, another mental disorder, the direct effects of a substance, or a culturally sanctioned behavior or experience").

²³⁶ Cf. Webb, 433 F.3d at 687 (ALJ erroneously ended inquiry at step two).

²³⁷ A.R. 847.

features."238 The ALJ also noted independent medical examiner Dr. Bald's239 finding that

"[t]he only explanation for [Ms. Gray's] current condition is related to a fairly dramatic

psychogenic case of persistent pain and self-imposed disability."²⁴⁰ He noted that Dr.

Moore determined that Dr. Cherry's conclusions "were based on an evaluation of

marginal validity with [Ms. Gray] trying to look as disabled as she could."241 The ALJ

also noted that Dr. Cherry did not diagnose somatoform disorder, but instead listed it as

a provisional or "rule out" diagnosis.²⁴² Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that

substantial evidence in the record as whole supports the ALJ's finding that Ms. Gray did

not have a severe impairment of somatoform disorder.

Even if the ALJ erred by finding that somatoform disorder was not a medically

determinable impairment for Ms. Gray, such error was harmless. The ALJ analyzed the

evidence in the record regarding the psychological element of Ms. Gray's pain allegations

and considered her pain symptoms in determining her RFC.

B. Ms. Gray's Credibility

Ms. Gray alleges that the ALJ "did not give clear and convincing reasons for

finding [Ms. Gray]'s allegations not fully credible." Specifically, she asserts that "the

²³⁸ A.R. 1500.

²³⁹ The ALJ cited "Douglas Bell, M.D.," but the record shows "Douglas Bald, M.D.," is the correct

name. A.R. 1086-97.

²⁴⁰ A.R. 27, 1086–97.

²⁴¹ A.R. 22.

²⁴² A.R. 2122.

ALJ's finding that [her] allegations were inconsistent with the medical evidence was not

based on the correct legal standard." Further, Ms. Gray claims that the ALJ "erred in

basing his finding of inconsistency on the opinion of the independent medical examiner

and a notation that treatment had been effective."243 The Commissioner asserts that the

ALJ "properly determined, based on the totality of the record, that [Ms. Gray]'s subjective

allegations were not entirely credible." The Commissioner argues that "[i]n the present

case, the reliable evidence supports [Ms. Gray]'s allegations that she experienced some

limitations, but does not support [Ms. Gray]'s allegations that she is incapable of

working."244

1. Legal Standard

An ALJ's credibility assessment has two steps.²⁴⁵ First, the ALJ determines

whether the claimant has presented objective medical evidence of an underlying

impairment that "could reasonably be expected to produce the pain or other symptoms

alleged."246 Second, "if the claimant has produced that evidence, and the ALJ has not

determined that the claimant is malingering, the ALJ must provide 'specific, clear and

convincing reasons for rejecting the claimant's testimony regarding the severity of the

²⁴³ Docket 18 at 12.

²⁴⁴ Docket 22 at 6, 12.

²⁴⁵Treichler v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec. Admin., 775 F.3d 1090, 1102 (9th Cir. 2014).

²⁴⁶ Lingenfelter v. Astrue, 504 F.3d 1028,1036 (quoting Bunnell v. Sullivan, 947 F.2d 341, 344 (9th

Cir. 1991) (en banc)).

claimant's symptoms."247

In the first step, the claimant "need not show that her impairment could reasonably

be expected to cause the severity of the symptom she has alleged; she need only show

that it could reasonably have caused some degree of the symptom."²⁴⁸ On this point,

the ALJ held that Ms. Gray's degenerative disc disease of the lumbar and cervical

spines, obesity, hypertension, headache, migraine headache, depression NOS, anxiety

NOS, personality disorder/cluster B traits, and prescription narcotic overuse, were

medically determinable severe impairments that could reasonably be expected to cause

pain symptoms.²⁴⁹

In the second step, the ALJ evaluates the intensity and persistence of a claimant's

symptoms by considering "all of the available evidence, including [the claimant's] medical

history, the medical signs and laboratory findings, and statements about how [the

claimant's] symptoms affect [him]."250 If a claimant produces objective medical evidence

of an underlying impairment, the ALJ may reject testimony regarding the claimant's

subjective pain or the intensity of symptoms, but must provide "specific, clear and

²⁴⁷ Treichler, 775 F.3d at 1102 (quoting Smolen v. Chater, 80 F.3d 1273, 1281 (9th Cir.1996)).

²⁴⁸ Smolen, 80 F.3d at 1282.

²⁴⁹ A.R. 19.

²⁵⁰ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1529(c)(1), 416.929(c)(1). See also 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1529(c)(3), 416.929(c)(3) (effective June 13, 2011 to March 26, 2017) (important indicators of the intensity and

persistence of a claimant's symptoms include information such as "what may precipitate or aggravate your symptoms, what medications, treatments or other methods you use to alleviate

them, and how the symptoms may affect your pattern of daily living.").

convincing reasons for doing so."²⁵¹ The ALJ is required to "specifically identify the testimony from a claimant she or he finds not to be credible and explain what evidence undermines [that] testimony"; general findings are insufficient.²⁵² Here, the ALJ found Ms. Gray's "statements concerning the intensity, persistence and limiting effects" of her current medically determinable impairments were not "entirely consistent with the medical evidence and other evidence in the record."

2. Analysis

The ALJ provided several reasons for his adverse credibility determination. First, the ALJ noted that "[m]edical findings are persistently the same and normal." The record fully supports this finding. Throughout the record, Ms. Gray's treating physicians documented that while Ms. Gray reported significant pain, MRIs and x-rays were essentially normal, with scoliosis in the thoracic and lumbar spine and mild disc degeneration and stenosis. Additionally, her range of motion and motor strength were unaffected and she was frequently advised by providers to pursue physical therapy. Treatment notes indicate that chiropractic care and pain medications

²⁵¹ Smolen, 80 F.3d at 1281.

²⁵² Treichler, 775 F.3d at 1102 (quoting *Holohan v. Massanari*, 246 F.3d 1195, 1208 (9th Cir. 2001)); *Lester v. Chater*, 81 F.3d 821, 834 (9th Cir. 1995).

²⁵³ A.R. 26.

²⁵⁴ A.R. 26–27, 787–88, 990, 1227–30, 1579–80, 1699–70, 1736–37, 1917, 1957–62.

²⁵⁵ A.R. 26–27, 777–79, 791, 831, 847–51, 865, 869, 928–31, 967–71, 1330–31, 1479–84, 1581–83, 1646–47, 1661–62, 1694–96, 1710–11, 2072–73.

provided effective pain relief.256

The ALJ also found that Ms. Gray's allegations of pain and pain behaviors were

inconsistent with objective findings.²⁵⁷ In addition to the opinions of Drs. Cant, Bald,

and Pervier, there are many other reports of inconsistencies by providers in the medical

record. For example, PA Fulp noted at one examination that he had "no great

explanation as to why [Ms. Gray] is having such significant limited mobility and pain

with any type of mobility. She generally has normal imaging."258 In her evaluation of

Ms. Gray, Dr. Samuelson noted that although Ms. Gray had pain, "there is no clear

medical explanation for this pain."259 Dr. Peterson at Algone noted that he was

"[u]ncertain of [the] source of pain" in Ms. Gray's low back and legs. 260 At a visit on

March 15, 2016, PA Fitzgerald noted "[n]othing that really explains all of her pain." 261

Third, the ALJ determined that the "record shows failure by [Ms. Gray] to follow

medical recommendations."262 This finding is also fully supported by substantial evidence

²⁵⁶ A.R. 981, 985–88, 991–1003, 1011–1047, 1051, 1073, 1076, 1079, 1144, 1178, 1180, 1182, 1356–60, 1605–09, 1704–06, 1759–62, 1764–67, 1779–81, 1853–57, 1875–82, 1888–95, 1944–

48.

²⁵⁷ A.R. 27.

²⁵⁸ A.R. 928–31.

²⁵⁹ A.R. 1524–28.

²⁶⁰ A.R. 1718–22.

²⁶¹ A.R. 1957–62.

²⁶² A.R. 27.

in the record. Treatment notes indicate inconsistent dosing by Ms. Gray and a failure to

follow physicians' advice. As the ALJ found, Ms. Gray reported not filling prescriptions

after the December 29, 2011 emergency room visit, "because she doesn't like to take

medications." At the same visit with Dr. Brown from PMFC, Ms. Gray also reported that

she was not taking any medications, including diabetic medications, with no reason

given.²⁶³ Additionally, treatment notes show that Dr. Wagner repeatedly declined refills

of Ms. Gray's pain medications.²⁶⁴ Twice, drug screens for Ms. Gray's prescribed

medications showed Norco was not detected.²⁶⁵ Providers noted in the record that Ms.

Gray was unable to follow her medication regimen, had "random medication dosing," and

inconsistent answers regarding medications.²⁶⁶ PA Fitzgerald diagnosed her with high

risk medication use and at one visit, noted that Ms. Gray had stated she had stopped

taking her medications because she "got tired of taking pills." ²⁶⁷

Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that the ALJ provided specific, clear, and

convincing reasons supported by substantial evidence in the record for his determination

that Ms. Gray's allegations regarding the severity and functional impact of her pain

symptoms were not wholly credible.

²⁶³ A.R. 27, 814–17,

²⁶⁴ A.R. 843–44, 1388, 1453–55,

²⁶⁵ A.R. 1388, 1625–31, 2023–24.

²⁶⁶ A.R. 1536, 1570, 1593.

²⁶⁷ A.R. 1640–41, 1688–90.

C. <u>Medical Opinions and Other Examining Sources</u>

Ms. Gray asserts that the ALJ failed to "give specific and legitimate reasons supported by substantial evidence in the record for his weighing of the acceptable medical source opinions." She alleges that the ALJ erred by giving "little weight" to the opinions of Drs. Samuelson, Grissom, and Chandler and by "considering the opinions in isolation of the other evidence in the record, including their own treatment notes." Additionally, Ms. Gray argues that the ALJ "did not give germane reasons in support of his weighing of the opinion evidence from the treating and examining 'other' sources." Specifically, she asserts that the ALJ failed to address Dr. Chalifour's "opinion at all in the decision at hand," failed to "offer a germane reason for giving [OT Kerris's] opinion little weight," and erred in "treating [PA] Fitzgerald's opinion as if it existed in a vacuum."

1. Legal Standard.

"Regardless of its source, [the SSA] will evaluate every medical opinion [it] receive[s]."²⁶⁹ Medical opinions come from three types of sources: those who treat the claimant; those who examine but do not treat the claimant; and those who neither examine nor treat the claimant. "As a general rule, more weight should be given to the opinion of a treating source than to the opinion of doctors who do not treat the claimant."²⁷⁰

²⁶⁸ Docket 18 at 15–23.

²⁶⁹ 20 C.F.R. § 404.1527(c), 416.927(c). These sections apply to claims filed before March 27, 2017.

²⁷⁰ *Garrison v. Colvin*, 759 F.3d 995, 1012 (9th Cir. 2014) (quoting *Lester v. Chater*, 81 F.3d 821, 830 (9th Cir. 1995)).

The medical opinion of a claimant's treating physician is given "controlling weight" so long

as it "is well-supported by medically acceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic

techniques and is not inconsistent with the other substantial evidence in [the claimant's]

case record."271

In the Ninth Circuit, "[t]o reject the uncontradicted opinion of a treating or examining

doctor, an ALJ must state clear and convincing reasons that are supported by substantial

evidence."272 Even "if a treating or examining doctor's opinion is contradicted by another

doctor's opinion, an ALJ may only reject it by providing specific and legitimate reasons

supported by substantial evidence."273 This can be done by "setting out a detailed and

thorough summary of the facts and conflicting clinical evidence, stating his interpretation

thereof, and making findings."²⁷⁴ And, the "opinion of a nonexamining physician cannot

by itself constitute substantial evidence that justifies the rejection of the opinion of . . . a

treating physician."275

Factors relevant to evaluating any medical opinion include: (1) the consistency of

the medical opinion with the record as a whole; (2) the physician's area of specialization;

²⁷¹ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1527(c)(2), 416.927(c)(2).

²⁷² Trevizo v. Berryhill, 871 F.3d 664, 675 (9th Cir. 2017) (quoting Bayliss v. Barnhart, 427 F.3d

1211, 1216 (9th Cir. 2005)).

²⁷³ Revels v. Berryhill, 874 F.3d 648, 654 (9th Cir. 2017).

²⁷⁴ Reddick, 157 F.3d at 725 (citing Magallanes v. Bowen, 881 F.2d 747, 751 (9th Cir. 1989)).

²⁷⁵ Lester v. Chater, 81 F.3d 821, 831 (9th Cir. 1995).

(3) the supportability of the physician's opinion through relevant evidence; and (4) other

relevant factors, such as the physician's degree of familiarity with the SSA's disability

process and with other information in the record.²⁷⁶

The SSA also permits a claimant to provide evidence from non-physician sources

as to the severity of an impairment and how it affects a claimant's ability to work, including

evidence from a nurse practitioner, physician assistant (PA), chiropractor, or therapist,

including a physical therapist.²⁷⁷ The ALJ may discount opinions from these "other

sources" if the ALJ "gives reasons germane to each witness for doing so."278

2. Dr. Chandler

On October 29, 2012, Ms. Gray first saw Dr. Chandler for an initial evaluation.²⁷⁹

She next saw Dr. Chandler on November 26, 2012.280 That same day, Dr. Chandler

opined that Ms. Gray was unable to walk two hundred feet without stopping to rest and

was severely limited in her ability to walk due to an arthritic, neurological, or orthopedic

condition. He limited the disability for purposes of a disabled parking identification to six

²⁷⁶ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1513a(b), 404.1527(c)(2), 416.913a(b), 416.927(c)(2). These sections apply to claims filed before March 27, 2017. See §§ 404.614, 416.325.

²⁷⁷ 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.1513(d), 416.913(d). These sections apply to claims filed before March 27,

2017.

²⁷⁸ Turner v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 613 F.3d 1217, 1224 (9th Cir. 2010) (quoting Lewis v. Apfel,

236 F.3d 503, 511 (9th Cir. 2001)).

²⁷⁹ A.R. 1227–29.

²⁸⁰ A.R. 1336–40.

months.²⁸¹ On December 11, 2012, Dr. Chandler completed a health status form for the

State of Alaska based on his October 29, 2012 examination of Ms. Gray. He opined that

Ms. Gray could not work full-time for more than twelve months.²⁸² The ALJ gave Dr.

Chandler's opinions "little weight" because the ALJ concluded that Dr. Chandler "provided

vague reasons for supposed difficulties in walking and cited no objective medical

findings."283

Dr. Chandler's opinions regarding Ms. Gray's ability to walk were contradicted by

Dr. Sklaroff, a non-examining medical expert who testified that he found nothing in his

review of the record that supported Ms. Gray's need for an assistive device for ambulation

he opined it would be desirable for Ms. Gray to "throw away the crutches" as it would

enhance her capacity to function.²⁸⁴ Therefore, the ALJ was required to provide specific

and legitimate reasons for rejecting Dr. Chandler's opinions, based on substantial

evidence in the record.²⁸⁵

²⁸¹ A.R. 1335.

²⁸² A.R. 1330–31.

²⁸³ A.R. 30.

²⁸⁴ A.R. 57.

Chandler's November and December 2012 opinions. *See Lester v. Chater*, 81 F.3d 821, 831 (9th Cir. 1995) (The "opinion of a nonexamining physician cannot by itself constitute substantial evidence that justifies the rejection of the opinion of . . . a treating physician."); see also Thomas

Dr. Sklaroff's testimony alone is not substantial evidence justifying the rejection of Dr.

v. Barnhart, 278 F.3d 947, 957 (9th Cir. 2002) (the "opinions of non-treating or non-examining physicians may also serve as substantial evidence when the opinions are consistent with

independent clinical findings or other evidence in the record.").

The ALJ's specific reasons for rejecting Dr. Chandler's opinions regarding Ms. Gray's walking ability are supported by substantial evidence in the record. An ALJ may reject a medical opinion, including a treating physician's opinion, if it is brief, conclusory and inadequately supported by clinical evidence.²⁸⁶ Dr. Chandler's opinions regarding Ms. Gray's walking ability were cursory opinions based on his first two visits with her.²⁸⁷ The ALJ noted that Dr. Chandler provided "vague reasons" for Ms. Gray's walking difficulties and did not cite to clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques in his treatment notes.²⁸⁸

3. Dr. Samuelson

Dr. Samuelson was one of Ms. Gray's treating physicians at PFMC, although it appears from the record that Ms. Gray only visited Dr. Samuelson on two occasions.²⁸⁹ The ALJ gave "little weight" to Dr. Samuelson's conclusions regarding Ms. Gray's restrictions "in such activities as lifting and carrying" because the doctor did not provide objective medical evidence for her conclusions.²⁹⁰

²⁸⁶ Thomas v. Barnhart, 278 F.3d at 957.

²⁸⁷ A.R. 1227–29, 1336–40. *See also Nyman v. Heckler,* 779 F.2d 528, 531 (9th Cir. 1985) ("Conclusory opinions by medical experts regarding the ultimate question of disability are not binding on the ALJ." (internal citation omitted)). Dr. Chandler did take x-rays at his initial visit, which showed relatively mild degenerative changes.

²⁸⁸ Molina v. Astrue, 674 F.3d 1104, 1111 (9th Cir. 2012) (citing Holohan v. Massanari, 246 F.3d 1195, 1202 (9th Cir. 2001) ("[T]he regulations give more weight to opinions that are explained than to those that are not.").

²⁸⁹ The record shows Ms. Gray visited Dr. Samuelson on July 2, 2013 and February 17, 2014. A.R. 1524–28, 2069–71.

²⁹⁰ A.R. 30.

Dr. Samuelson performed a physical capacity evaluation of Ms. Gray on July 2013,

her first visit with that doctor. On that day, Dr. Samuelson opined that Ms. Gray could lift

up to ten pounds occasionally, was unable to squat or climb, could bend occasionally and

reach frequently, and use her feet and legs for pushing and pulling activities for limited

periods of time.²⁹¹ These opinions were contradicted by the testimony of Dr. Sklaroff at

the September 2016 hearing. Specifically, Dr. Sklaroff opined that Ms. Gray should be

able "push, pull, squat, bend, reach, etcetera; lift, at least, 50 pounds occasionally, 25

pounds frequently." ²⁹² As with Dr. Chandler, the ALJ was required to provide specific and

legitimate reasons supported by substantial evidence for rejecting Dr. Samuelson's

opinions regarding Ms. Gray's physical capabilities.

Here, the ALJ's specific reason for rejecting Dr. Samuelson's opinions regarding

Ms. Gray's restrictions in activities such as lifting and carrying, is supported by substantial

evidence in the record. The answers provided by Dr. Samuelson in the physical capacity

evaluation questionnaire of July 2013 were primarily based on subjective reports of pain

by Ms. Gray. Indeed, as the ALJ points out, Dr. Samuelson notes that Ms. Gray "has

pain, though there is no clear medical explanation for this pain," while also noting that the

MRI of the thoracic spine was normal and the x-ray of the lumbar spine showed no

fractures and scoliosis.²⁹³

²⁹¹ A.R. 30, 1524–28.

²⁹² A.R. 32, 58.

²⁹³ A.R. 30, 1524–25.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, Gray v. Berryhill

Decision and Order

Page 81 of 90

Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that the ALJ provided specific, legitimate reasons, supported by substantial evidence, for rejecting Dr. Samuelson's opinion regarding Ms. Gray's restrictions of activities such as carrying and lifting.

4. Dr. Grissom

Dr. Grissom began treating Ms. Gray in August of 2013.²⁹⁴ At Ms. Gray's initial visit, Dr. Grissom reviewed Ms. Gray's diagnostic studies and conducted a physical exam.²⁹⁵ He later performed a discectomy, inserted a spinal cord stimulator trial, and prescribed opioid pain medications.²⁹⁶ He diagnosed Ms. Gray with chronic lumbar radiculopathy, polyarthralgia, degenerative joint disease of the spinal facet joint, carpal tunnel left wrist, and cervical radiculopathy.²⁹⁷ After a follow up exam on February 12, 2014, Dr. Grissom wrote that it was unclear if or when Ms. Gray would be able to return to work. In a letter and health status form on May 26, 2015, he opined that Ms. Gray could not work full or part time, that she was disabled "due to her medical conditions," and was limited "on her physical capabilities such as walking long distances, sitting for a prolonged time, manipulating stairs (patient uses a walker), lifting and bending, and driving a motor vehicle."²⁹⁸

²⁹⁴ A.R. 1581–83.

²⁹⁵ A.R. 1813–14.

²⁹⁶ A.R. 1632–33, 1734–35, 1826–27, 1853–57, 1863–66, 1883–87.

²⁹⁷ A.R. 1741–44.

²⁹⁸ A.R. 1637, 1704–06, 1738, 1741–44.

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order Page 82 of 90

The ALJ gave Dr. Grissom's opinions "little weight" because "[t]hey provide limited

information about alleged limitations without providing an explanation for the limitations.

Thus, Dr. Grissom is yet another doctor who failed to cite objective medical findings."299

Again, his opinions regarding Ms. Gray's physical capabilities were contradicted by the

testimony of Dr. Sklaroff and again, the ALJ was required to provide specific and legitimate

reasons, supported by substantial evidence for rejecting Dr. Grissom's medical opinions.

An ALJ "may discredit treating physicians' opinions that are conclusory, brief an

unsupported by the record as a whole or by objective medical findings."300 But rejecting

an opinion by a treating physician on a check-box form simply because it contains almost

no details or explanation is not a specific and legitimate reason to reject that opinion if

there are extensive treatment notes by that treating physician that support that opinion.³⁰¹

Here, the ALJ failed to acknowledge or address Dr. Grissom's treatment notes in rejecting

that doctor's opinions. Although Dr. Grissom's forms did not list objective medical findings

or provide an extensive explanation for Ms. Gray's limitations in the two letters and health

status form, based on his medical expertise, his treatment notes, and treatment history,

Dr. Grissom provided an adequate explanation for his opinions regarding Ms. Gray's

limitations.³⁰² Because substantial evidence does not support the specific reason the ALJ

²⁹⁹ A.R. 31.

³⁰⁰ Batson v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec. Admin., 359 F.3d 1190, 1195 (9th Cir. 2004).

³⁰¹ See Burrell v. Colvin, 775. F.3d 1133, 1140 (9th Cir. 2014).

³⁰² Cf. Ghanim v. Colvin, 763 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2014) (reversing ALJ's discrediting of treating

gave for discounting Dr. Grissom's opinions, the ALJ erred in rejecting Dr. Grissom's opinion.

5. Dr. Chalifour

Dr. Chalifour was Ms. Gray's chiropractor beginning February 1, 2012.³⁰³ As such, the ALJ was required to take into account evidence from Dr. Chalifour "unless [he] expressly determine[d] to disregard such testimony" and gave reasons for doing so.³⁰⁴ At the same time, the ALJ is not required to address "every piece of evidence."³⁰⁵ Here, the ALJ considered Dr. Chalifour's chart notes and concluded that "the information from the chiropractor was the claimant's condition was improving."³⁰⁶ Although the ALJ did not specifically address Dr. Chalifour's opinions regarding Ms. Gray's inability to work, he provided germane reasons for concluding that the evidence from Dr. Chalifour demonstrated an improvement in Ms. Gray's physical condition.

6. OT Kerris

OT Kerris, of Providence Sports Medicine & Rehabilitation Therapies, evaluated Ms. Gray in June 2013.³⁰⁷ As the ALJ noted, OT Kerris opined that Ms. Gray could

physician whose treatment notes were consistent with provider's opinions, but recognizing that a conflict between treatment notes and the provider's opinions may constitute an adequate reason to discredit the opinions).

³⁰³ A.R. 985–98.

³⁰⁴ Lewis v. Apfel, 236 F.3d 503, 511 (9th Cir. 2001).

³⁰⁵ Howard ex rel. Wolff v. Barnhart, 341 F.3d 1006, 1012 (9th Cir. 2003).

³⁰⁶ A.R. 27.

³⁰⁷ A.R. 1465–74.

tolerate a sedentary level of work, could lift ten pounds and carry five pounds unilaterally,

and although unable to squat, Ms. Gray could stair climb, rotate her trunk while sitting or

standing, and kneel occasionally. OT Kerris also opined that Ms. Gray's self-limiting

behavior was within normal limits.³⁰⁸ OT Kerris was an examining source as the record

shows she only saw Ms. Gray for the evaluation. The ALJ gave OT Kerris's opinion "little

weight" because he determined that she "did not provide objective medical [evidence] for

[her] conclusions regarding the restrictions in such activities as lifting and carrying."309 OT

Kerris's opinions regarding Ms. Gray's activities such as lifting and carrying were

contradicted by the testimony of the medical expert, Dr. Sklaroff.³¹⁰

The ALJ considered OT Kerris's opinions in his RFC determination. For the

reasons set forth above, the Court finds that the ALJ provided germane reasons for

rejecting OT Kerris's opinion regarding Ms. Gray's restrictions of activities such as

carrying and lifting.

7. PA Fitzgerald

PA Fitzgerald, at Algone, treated Ms. Gray regularly from November 2013 through

March of 2016.311 On November 11, 2013, PA Fitzgerald diagnosed Ms. Gray with

³⁰⁸ A.R. 30, 1465–74.

³⁰⁹ A.R. 30.

³¹⁰ A.R. 58.

³¹¹ A.R. 1640–41,1645, 1661–62, 1688–90, 1694–96, 1707–11, 1723–24, 1753–58, 1944–48,

1957–62.

degenerative disk disease and chronic lumbar radiculopathy. She opined that Ms. Gray

would not be able to work full or part-time for six months and that Ms. Gray's medications

caused drowsiness.312 In May of 2014, PA Fitzgerald opined that Ms. Gray would not be

able to work for twelve months.³¹³ The ALJ gave her opinions little weight "due to the little

information provided." He noted specifically that PA Fitzgerald "may have concluded the

claimant could not work, but she provided no citation to evidence to support her

conclusion."314

Although PA Fitzgerald did not specifically list objective medical findings or provide

an extensive explanation for Ms. Gray's limitations in the health status forms she filled out

in November 2013 and May 2014, based on her treatment notes, and treatment history,

PA Fitzgerald provided an adequate explanation for Ms. Gray's limitations. Rejecting a

cursory form when there are extensive treatment notes prepared by the provider in the

record simply because the form itself did not contain an explanation is not a germane

reason to reject the opinions set out in the form. Therefore, the ALJ erred in rejecting PA

Fitzgerald's opinions.

D. Scope of Remand

The "ordinary remand rule" applies to disability cases. Under this rule, if "the

reviewing court simply cannot evaluate the challenged agency action on the basis of the

³¹² A.R. 31, 1661–62.

³¹³ A.R. 31, 1710–11.

³¹⁴ A.R. 31.

record before it, the proper course, except in rare circumstances, is to remand to the agency for additional investigation or explanation."315 The court follows a three-step analysis to determine whether the case raises the "rare circumstances" that allow a court to exercise its discretion to remand for an award of benefits. "First, [the court] must conclude that 'the ALJ has failed to provide legally sufficient reasons for rejecting evidence, whether claimant testimony or medical opinion." Second, [the court] must conclude that 'the record has been fully developed and further administrative proceedings would serve no useful purpose."317 "Where there is conflicting evidence, and not all essential factual issues have been resolved, a remand for an award of benefits is inappropriate."318 "Third, [the court] must conclude that 'if the improperly discredited evidence were credited as true, the ALJ would be required to find the claimant disabled on remand."319 But, "even if all three requirements are met, [the court] retain[s] 'flexibility' in determining the appropriate remedy" and "may remand on an open record for further proceedings 'when the record as a whole creates serious doubt as to whether the claimant is, in fact, disabled within the meaning of the Social Security Act."320

³¹⁵ Treichler, 775 F.3d at 1099 (quoting Fla. Power & Light Co. v. Lorion, 470 U.S. 729, 744 (1985)).

Case No. 3:17-cv-00126-SLG, *Gray v. Berryhill* Decision and Order Page 87 of 90

³¹⁶ Brown-Hunter v. Colvin, 806 F.3d 487, 495 (9th Cir. 2015) (quoting Garrison, 759 F.3d at 1020).

³¹⁷ *Id.* (quoting *Garrison*, 759 F.3d at 1020).

³¹⁸ *Treichler*, 775 F.3d at 1101.

³¹⁹ Brown-Hunter, 806 F.3d at 495 (quoting Garrison, 759 F.3d at 1021).

³²⁰ *Id.* (quoting *Garrison*, 759 F.3d at 1021).

Ms. Gray argues that all three conditions are met in this case. The Commissioner

responds that "even if the ALJ had committed the errors [Ms. Gray] identifies, an award

of benefits would be an inappropriate remedy" because "the medical record raises serious

doubts as to whether [Ms. Gray] is disabled."321

Here, the Court has found that the ALJ did not provide legally sufficient reasons for

rejecting the opinions of Dr. Grissom and PA Fitzgerald. Second, the record has been

extensively developed. It contains hundreds of pages of treatment notes from medical

visits from December 2011 through 2016, as well as additional records prior to Ms. Gray's

alleged onset date. It contains numerous health status reports, imaging results, and

medical evaluations. It includes testimony from Ms. Gray about her symptoms and

testimony from two medical experts at the September 2016 hearing. Also included are

multiple third-party functions reports. Third, if Dr. Grissom's and PA Fitzgerald's disability

opinions are credited as true, the ALJ would have been required to find Ms. Gray to be

disabled. Thus, all three conditions to credit-as-true have been satisfied.

But the Court agrees with the Commissioner that a remand for immediate benefits

is not warranted in this case.³²² The record contains objective medical findings that are

consistently within normal limits and inconsistent with disability pain as well as a

continuing failure by Ms. Gray to follow medical recommendations. The record also

³²¹ Docket 22 at 22–23.

³²² The Ninth Circuit has clarified that courts will "remand for further proceedings when, even though all conditions of the credit-as-true rule are satisfied, an evaluation of the record as a whole creates serious doubt that a claimant is, in fact, disabled." *Garrison v. Colvin*, 759 F.3d 995, 1021

(9th Cir. 2014); Burrell v. Colvin, 775 F.3d 1133, 1141 (9th Cir. 2014).

contains concerning inconsistencies in Ms. Gray's reports of pain. The Ninth Circuit has

"previously awarded benefits without further administrative proceedings only when the

record clearly contradicted an ALJ's conclusory findings and no substantial evidence

within the record supported the reasons provided by the ALJ for denial of benefits."323

Based on this Court's review of the record as a whole, that is not the case here. Thus,

even if the three conditions to credit-as-true have been met here, the "rare circumstances"

that could warrant a remand for the calculation and award of benefits are not present. To

the contrary, the Court's evaluation of the entire record creates serious doubt as to

whether Ms. Gray is, in fact, totally disabled.³²⁴ Therefore, the case will be remanded for

additional proceedings.

V. ORDER

The Court, having carefully reviewed the administrative record, finds that the ALJ's

reasons for rejecting the opinions of Dr. Grissom and PA Fitzgerald are not free from legal

error. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Ms. Gray's request for relief at Docket 1 is

GRANTED IN PART as set forth herein, the Commissioner's final decision is VACATED,

and the case is REMANDED to the SSA for further proceedings consistent with this

decision.

323 Leon v. Berryhill, 874 F.3d 1130, 1135 (9th Cir. 2017).

³²⁴ See Trevizo v. Berryhill, 862 F.3d 987, 999 n.4 (9th Cir. 2017) ("[W]e rely only on the ALJ's stated bases for rejecting Trevizo's disability claims. Because the ALJ did not provide these explanations herself as a reason to reject Dr. Galhotra's opinion, the district court erred in looking

explanations herself as a reason to reject Dr. Galhotra's opinion, the district court erred in looking to the remainder of the record to support the ALJ's decision, and we cannot affirm on those

grounds.") (internal citations omitted).

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter a final judgment accordingly.

DATED this 14th day of August, 2018 at Anchorage, Alaska.

<u>/s/ Sharon L. Gleason</u> UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE