

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Don L. Meeker,

Petitioner,

vs.

Terry L. Stewart,

Respondent.

No. CV 05-1654-PHX-ROS

ORDER

On January 25, 2006, Petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus . (Doc. 1)
On November 17, 2006, Magistrate Judge Glenda E. Edmonds issued a report and
Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that the petition be denied. (Doc. 13) No
objections were filed by either party.

The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). It is "clear that the
district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations *de novo if
objection is made*, but not otherwise." United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121
(9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) (emphasis in original); Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219,
1126 (D. Ariz. 2003) ("Following Reyna-Tapia, this Court concludes that *de novo* review of
factual and legal issues is required if objections are made, "but not otherwise."). District
courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject

1 of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). No objection having been
2 made, the Court will adopt the R&R in full.

3 Accordingly,

4 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 13) is **ADOPTED**,
5 Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**, and this case is **DISMISSED**
6 **WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

7
8 DATED this 1st day of December, 2006.

9
10
11 
12 _____
13 Roslyn O. Silver
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28