| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA |
| 8 | Level Level McElevel Level) No CW OC 0005 DUX SMM (UCE) |
| 9 | Joseph Lowell McElyea, Jr.,) No.CIV 06-0885-PHX-SMM (HCE) |
| 10 | Petitioner,) MEMORANDUM OF DECISION AND |
| 11 | vs.) ORDER |
| 12 | Dora B. Schriro, et al., |
| 13 | Respondents.) |
| 14 | Dending hefers the Court is Detitioner's Detition for Writ of Hebees Corrus pursuent |
| 15 | Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. #1). The matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Hector C. |
| 16 | Estrada for a Report and Recommendation (Doc. #3). On December 31, 2008, the Magistrate |
| 17 | Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court (Doc. #34). To date, no |
| 18 | objections have been filed. |
| 19 | STANDARD OF REVIEW |
| 20 | The Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or |
| 21 | recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, |
| 22 | 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have ten days from the service of a copy of the |
| 23 | Magistrate's recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. |
| 24 | 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's |
| 25 | recommendation relieves the Court of conducting <i>de novo</i> review of the Magistrate Judge's |
| 26 | factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, |
| 27 | 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a Magistrate Judge's conclusion "is |
| 28 | |
| | |

| 1 | a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal." |
|----------|---|
| 2 | <u>Id.</u> |
| 3 | DISCUSSION |
| 4 | Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and no |
| 5 | Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and adopts |
| 6 | the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. |
| 7 | CONCLUSION |
| 8 | For the reasons set forth, |
| 9 | IT IS ORDERED that the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the |
| 10 | Magistrate Judge (Doc. #34). |
| 11 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus |
| 12 | is DENIED and DISMISSED , terminating this case. The Clerk of the Court shall enter |
| 13 | judgment accordingly. |
| 14 | DATED this 29 th day of January, 2009. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Then the mulance |
| 17 | Stephen M. McNamee United States District Judge |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 26 | |
| 26 27 | |
| 27 28 | |
| 20 | - 2 - |
| | |