

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Nathaniel Shaun Robert Vargas,	)	No. CV-07-0005-PHX-GMS (JM)
Petitioner,	)	<b>ORDER</b>
v.	)	
Mary Hennessey, et al.,	)	
Respondents.	)	

---

Pending before the Court are Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and United States Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Marshall’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”). Dkt. ## 1 and 21. The R&R recommends that the Court dismiss with prejudice. Dkt. # 21 at 6. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had ten days to file objections to the R&R and that failure to file timely objections could be considered a waiver of the right to obtain review of the R&R. *Id.* (citing 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003)).


The parties did not file objections, which relieves the Court of its obligation to review the R&R. *See Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d at 1121; *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (“[Section 636(b)(1)] does not . . . require any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to.”). The Court has nonetheless reviewed the R&R and finds that it is well-taken. The Court will

1 accept the R&R and dismiss with prejudice the Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating  
2 that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or  
3 recommendations made by the magistrate”); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (“The district judge may  
4 accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return  
5 the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions.”).

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 7 1. Magistrate Judge Jacqueline Marshall’s R&R (Dkt. # 21) is **ACCEPTED**.  
8 2. Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Dkt. # 1) is **DISMISSED**  
9 **WITH PREJUDICE**.  
10 3. The Clerk of Court shall **TERMINATE** this action.

11 DATED this 20th day of April, 2009.

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
15 G. Murray Snow  
16 United States District Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28