

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Martico Muldrow,  
Petitioner,

vs.

Dora Schriro, et al.,  
Respondents.

) No.CIV 07-1631-PHX-SMM (ECV)

)  
)  
) **MEMORANDUM OF DECISION AND ORDER**  
)  
)

Pending before the Court is Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. #1). The matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Edward C. Voss for a Report and Recommendation (Doc. #2). On December 4, 2008, the Magistrate Judge filed a Report and Recommendation with this Court (Doc. #17). To date, no objections have been filed.

**STANDARD OF REVIEW**

The Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); see Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991). Parties have ten days from the service of a copy of the Magistrate’s recommendation within which to file specific written objections to the Court. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6, 72. Failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s recommendation relieves the Court of conducting *de novo* review of the Magistrate Judge’s factual findings and waives all objections to those findings on appeal. See Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998). A failure to object to a Magistrate Judge’s conclusion “is

1 a factor to be weighed in considering the propriety of finding waiver of an issue on appeal.”

2 Id.

3 **DISCUSSION**

4 Having reviewed the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, and no  
5 Objections having been made by any party thereto, the Court hereby incorporates and adopts  
6 the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation.

7 **CONCLUSION**

8 For the reasons set forth,

9 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation of the  
10 Magistrate Judge (Doc. #17).

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus  
12 is **DENIED**, terminating this case. The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

13 DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2009.

14  
15   
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 Stephen M. McNamee  
18 United States District Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28