

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8

9 Manuel de Jesus Ortega Melendres, on
10 behalf of himself and all others similarly
11 situated; et al.

12 Plaintiffs,

13 and

14 United States of America,

15 Plaintiff-Intervenor,

16 v.

17 Joseph M. Arpaio, in his official capacity as
18 Sheriff of Maricopa County, Arizona; et al.

19 Defendants.

No. CV-07-2513-PHX-GMS

**ORDER RE VICTIM
COMPENSATION**

20 Pending before the Court is the Parties' Joint Notice of Stipulated Judgment for
21 the Victim Compensation Plan (Doc. 1747). Both parties acknowledge that
22 compensation to the victims of a contempt falls within the legitimate scope of a civil
23 contempt proceeding. Nevertheless, at the outset of the contempt hearings, the Court
24 expressed concern that to the extent that damages were different than compensation,
25 damages might be more appropriately pursued in a class action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
26 § 1983.

27 Perhaps as a result, the parties entered negotiations to determine an appropriate
28 alternative procedure by which victims might achieve compensation. In other words,

1 victims would have the right to opt to participate in the compensation procedure or,
2 alternatively assert their rights in a separate § 1983 action or otherwise.

3 The Joint Notice indicates both the substantial matters on which the parties have
4 reached agreement as to victims' compensation as well as the few remaining areas on
5 which they were unable to reach agreement. In light of their extensive negotiated
6 agreement, the Joint Notice also set forth the separate issues pertaining to the
7 compensation plan on which each desired to preserve appellate rights. The parties also
8 set forth separate forms of the compensation plan, each incorporating their proposals
9 where they could not otherwise agree.¹ They then asked the Court to rule on the
10 provisions of their plan upon which they could not agree in light of the Court's earlier
11 guidance on the questions.

12 The principle topic on which the parties could not reach agreement was over the
13 rate of compensation to be paid for wrongful incarceration under their compensation
14 plan—with Plaintiffs and Defendants recommending different rates. At a hearing, the
15 Court expressed that Plaintiffs had not set forth a sufficient evidentiary basis on which it
16 could enter an order resolving this disagreement. In a supplemental response, Plaintiffs
17 suggest that the notice be modified to inform potential participants of their right to apply
18 to either the Court or the Plan Administrator for individualized or representative damage
19 hearings to achieve their compensation. They also agreed to a cap of \$10,000 for the
20 duration aspect of the compensation resulting from the detention, without that cap
21 affecting a claimant's ability to receive other damages arising from his or her detention.
22 In response, the County while otherwise objecting to the Plaintiff's proposals, accepted
23 the \$10,000 cap.

24 While the Court wishes to provide a reasonable compensation plan for victim
25 claimants, it cannot conclude that it now has an evidentiary basis to resolve the dispute.
26 Further, the rate offered by the County, (with the increased compensation cap for

27 ¹ These provisions were supplemented by Defendants' notice of errata. (Doc. 1749), and
28 apparently by the parties' other supplemental briefing. (Docs. 1772, 1784).

1 detention of \$10,000 agreed to by the parties in the supplemental briefing) may not be an
2 unreasonable rate for victims who wish to claim it rather than going through the
3 necessary dislocations offered by a regular or class action lawsuit. The method suggested
4 by Plaintiffs is akin to multiple separate claims for damages. The Court declines to take
5 it up, but will enter a compensation order that will provide a method for victims who
6 wish to pursue it to achieve substantial compensation for the Sheriff's contempts
7 consistent with the matters agreed to by all parties. The Plaintiffs also request that the
8 Court enter judgment jointly and severally against the individual non-party contemnors.
9 Nevertheless Plaintiffs provide insufficient legal authority for such a step. Sheriff Arpaio
10 is a defendant in his official capacity only. None of the other non-party contemnors are
11 even a party. Even assuming the Court had the authority to make its judgment against
12 Sheriff Arpaio in his official capacity applicable to him and the others in their personal
13 capacities; it would seem to provide only a symbolic benefit at best. As a practical matter
14 most if not all of the individual contemnors would be unable to shoulder the expense
15 involved even of notice, let alone payment, of the compensation amounts. Where the
16 County is a willing participant to provide for compensation, payment is guaranteed here
17 for those who opt in to the payment procedure. It is therefore ordered that:

18 **I. Third-Party, Neutral Claims Administrator**

- 19 A. BrownGreer is designated to serve as a neutral, third-party administrator to
20 manage the Notice and Claims Processing Plan to compensate individuals
21 who suffered injury as a result of any violations by the MCSO of the
22 Court's December 23, 2011 Preliminary Injunction Order.
23 B. BrownGreer's fees will be paid by Defendants at rates specified in the price
24 list attached to both parties' proposals in Doc. 1747.

25 **II. Eligibility**

- 26 A. Participation in this scheme for victim compensation is voluntary and is
27 intended as an alternative for eligible individuals to any other means
28 available for obtaining relief for injuries resulting from alleged violations of
the Court's Preliminary Injunction. Claimants who submit claims and are
determined to be eligible to participate in the plan must waive and

1 extinguish any right they might otherwise have to obtain relief for the same
2 conduct through any other avenue. The rights of any individual who does
3 not participate in the compensation plan will not be affected.

4 B. Individuals who have submitted a claim regarding the same conduct in
5 another forum and received a determination, or those who have a pending
6 claim in another forum, are not eligible to participate in this program. If the
7 individual has a pending claim in another forum, he or she must withdraw
8 such a claim in order to participate in this alternative compensation scheme.
9 As with all other individuals who choose to seek remedies through this
10 compensation scheme, those who withdraw a claim pending in another
11 forum in order to submit an application under this scheme will be required
12 to waive and extinguish any right they might otherwise have to obtain relief
13 for the same conduct through any other avenue.

14 C. Compensation under this program will be available to those asserting that
15 their constitutional rights were violated as a result of detention by MCSO in
16 violation of the Court's Preliminary Injunction from December 23, 2011 to
17 May 24, 2013.

18 D. Individuals detained in violation of the Court's Preliminary Injunction will
19 be eligible for compensation, including in any operation in which MCSO
20 detained persons when they had no basis to do so under state law and
21 transported them somewhere in a motor vehicle in Maricopa County.

22 **III. Compensation Fund**

23 The Board of Supervisors will create a fund of \$500,000 for payment of claims
24 adjudicated in favor of claimants. In the event that amount is exhausted through the
25 payment of claims and is insufficient to provide compensation to all successful claimants,
26 additional claims adjudicated in favor of claimants will be honored and timely paid by the
27 County through further allocations if necessary. If all claims adjudicated in favor of
28 claimants are fully paid out and there remains an unspent sum in the original or any
supplemental allocated funds, such amount will revert to the County.

1 **IV. Notice Plan**

- 2 A. BrownGreer will be provided with a budget of \$200,000 to spend on notice
3 and outreach to potentially eligible individuals about the availability of
4 compensation. BrownGreer will utilize its expertise to determine how
5 monies allocated for notice can most effectively be employed to maximize
6 the likelihood that potential claimants will be reached.
- 7 B. The notice plan may include use of radio, digital/online and print
8 advertising, earned media placements, and partnership with non-
9 governmental organizations and embassies. It should target individuals in
10 at least Maricopa County, along the U.S./Mexico Border and in Mexico.
11 Notice will be provided in English and Spanish, with a heavy focus on
12 Spanish-language media and sites.
- 13 C. BrownGreer will consult with the Parties in the development of the notice
14 plan and the text of any notices, press releases or scripts developed. The
15 cost for any such services will be paid out of the notice budget provided for
16 in IV.A. above.
- 17 D. BrownGreer will develop a claim website for the case, a toll-free phone
18 number and an email account, to provide information about how to make a
19 claim. The cost for any such services will be paid out of the notice budget
20 provided for in IV.A. above.
- 21 E. Individual notice will be provided to any individuals identified by the
22 Parties as potentially eligible for compensation for whom a current address
23 can be found, *i.e.*, through commercially available database services, and
24 other methods. All costs for such services will be paid out of the notice
25 budget provided for in IV.A. above.

26 **V. Claims Adjudication Plan**

- 27 A. Claims must be initiated within 365 days from the first issuance of program
28 notice by BrownGreer through any public media outlet (which will also be
the date when BrownGreer will be ready to begin receiving applications).
- B. BrownGreer will be provided a sum of \$75,000 in start-up fees to
implement the claims processing program.
- C. All materials must be available in English and Spanish, and any other
languages as needed. Language should be calculated to be understandable
to individuals who will be making claims.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

D. In all cases, it is claimant’s burden to establish their entitlement to compensation by a preponderance of the evidence. BrownGreer will be responsible for evaluating the credibility and competency of evidence and witnesses, and determining the appropriate weight to be assigned to evidence adduced.

E. The Parties recognize that available documentation and testimony may already establish a case that some individuals were subject to violations of the Preliminary Injunction. Thus, a multi-step and multi-track system ensures that the burden on claimants for whom such uncontested evidence exists is reduced and the resources committed to this program are used efficiently.

F. **Claim Initiation Form.** Claimants will first be required to complete a claim initiation form. This form would ask for the following basic information:

1. Contact information: current address and phone number where individual can be reached
2. Identity information: name, name provided to MCSO (if different), DOB and reliable proof of identity
3. Details of encounter: date in the applicable time period or 30-day date range if precise date is unknown, type of encounter (traffic stop, other), and names, address and telephone number of others in vehicle (if known)
4. Approximate length of detention by MCSO. (In cases involving transfer to ICE/CBP, claimant to provide length of detention up until release to ICE/CBP custody)
5. Whether claimant will request compensation for additional harms listed in Section V.J.6.a below (using check boxes)
6. The form will be signed under oath. Claimants will also sign an acknowledgement and agreement that participation in this program, extinguishes all other rights they may have to pursue claims against Defendants based upon the same conduct by MCSO
7. The form will provide claimants with notice as to their confidentiality rights under the program, including any exceptions to confidentiality, *e.g.*, what and with whom information may be shared and for what purpose

1 8. The form will also state that claimants are responsible for any tax
2 reporting responsibilities that arise out of receiving compensation
3 through this mechanism

4 G. **Track Determination.** Within 21 days after a Claim Initiation Form is
5 filed, BrownGreer will make a determination as to whether the claimant
6 meets the eligibility requirements for participation in the program and, if
7 so, what Track (A or B) his or her claim will fall under. BrownGreer will
8 send any claimants determined not to be eligible for the program a Notice
9 of Ineligibility, and a follow-up form to eligible claimants and information
10 as appropriate.

11 1. Counsel for the Parties will agree in advance on the list of
12 prequalified candidates and provide these names and related
13 information to BrownGreer.

14 2. If BrownGreer determines, based on the information in the claim
15 initiation form, that the person is not eligible to participate in the
16 program, *e.g.*, because s/he was detained outside the eligible period
17 or the conduct complained of is outside the scope of this case, then
18 BrownGreer will inform the individual in writing of his/her
19 ineligibility for participation in this program and that no rights that
20 the individual may have to pursue relief through other avenues have
21 been extinguished.

22 H. **Track A.** These individuals are “prequalified” to receive compensation and
23 will be awarded the minimum amount as set forth in Section VI.A, unless
24 they are requesting compensation for additional harms. The information
25 provided in the Claim Initiation Form will be deemed to have met these
26 claimants’ burden, except as to any claim for any harm(s) other than for the
27 detention itself. Individuals whose claims would otherwise be assigned to
28 Track A, but who are seeking compensation for any such additional harm(s)
shall be assigned to Track B.

1. Prequalified claimants include any person identified in HSU
spreadsheets as not arrested or detained on suspicion of conduct in
violation of state criminal law, and transferred to ICE/CBP, in the
applicable time period, as well as any other individuals that counsel
for Parties can agree appear to have been subject to violations of the
Preliminary Injunction based on available documentation, including
MCSO incident reports, CAD data and records from the U.S.
Department of Homeland Security (DHS).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. BrownGreer will process claims for only those prequalified claimants who complete and submit a Claim Initiation Form.

I. **Track B.** All individuals who do not fit into Track A will be placed in Track B. These individuals must submit additional claim forms and any supporting documentation necessary to gather the information in Section V.J below.

1. Claimants will be provided with contact information for Plaintiffs' counsel, and informed they may retain other counsel if they desire.

J. **Burden of Proof for Individuals in Track B.**

1. BrownGreer must be persuaded that a claimant has shown an entitlement to some portion or all of the compensation claimed with credible and competent evidence, including that s/he was detained in violation of the Preliminary Injunction, the length of the detention, and the fact, nature, and extent of any additional compensable injury. A claimant's statement, made under oath, shall be considered admissible evidence.

2. *Establishing a prima facie case of a preliminary injunction violation.* In order to establish eligibility for compensation because the claimant was detained in violation of the Preliminary Injunction in the relevant date range and shift the burden to the MCSO to rebut the claimant's prima facie case, the claimant must provide the following information under oath:

- a. Identity information: name, name provided to MCSO (if different), DOB and reliable proof of identity
- b. Details of encounter: date (or 30-day date range if precise date is unknown), type of encounter (traffic stop, other)
- c. Approximate location of encounter with officer(s) (e.g., Highway 89, approximately 3 miles north of Fountain Hills)
- d. Reason given by MCSO officer(s) for detention (if any)
- e. Evidence that MCSO suspected unlawful presence, e.g., questioning about immigration status, ICE/CBP inquiry or turned over to ICE/CBP, including details about what happened, e.g., if ICE/CBP came to site of detention or MCSO transferred claimant to ICE/CBP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- f. Approximate length of detention by MCSO (in cases involving transfer to ICE/CBP, claimant to provide length of detention up until release to ICE/CBP custody)
 - g. Whether claimant was arrested
 - h. Testimony or other evidence that the detaining agency s/he encountered was MCSO (e.g., presence of an MCSO marked patrol vehicle, description of the uniform officer was wearing, etc.)
3. ***Additional buttressing information for Track B claimants*** (helpful, not required, but may be considered in weighing PFC elements to determine whether the required elements have been established)
- a. Name/badge number of MCSO officer(s) initiating encounter
 - b. Physical description of MCSO officer(s) present at the encounter
 - c. If encounter was initiated as a traffic stop, the name of the driver and/or owner of the vehicle stopped, license plate number of vehicle stopped, and/or description of vehicle (e.g., blue 1999 Chevrolet van)
 - d. Any documentation pertaining to encounter with MCSO officers and/or the claimant's detention
 - e. Identification documentation that was provided to MCSO at the time of the encounter, if it still exists
 - f. Sworn statements of witnesses to the events described by claimant
4. If a claim form is returned to BrownGreer and appears incomplete, BrownGreer will return the form to the claimant with instructions to correct the deficiency and return the form within 30 days of receipt. If the form remains incomplete at that point, BrownGreer will evaluate it "as is."
5. ***MCSO's Burden to Rebut PFC for Track B Claimants***
- a. If claimant meets the PFC threshold, MCSO may come forward with credible, competent evidence that casts doubt on one or more elements of the claim within 60 days of receiving access to a complete file from BrownGreer. Should MCSO require

1 additional time, it may make an application to BrownGreer to
2 have an additional 60 days (up to 120 days total), which
3 BrownGreer will grant provided it is for a reasonable cause (*i.e.*,
4 high volume of claims).

5 b. Examples of evidence that can satisfy MCSO's burden to come
6 forward with rebuttal evidence include:

7 i. Attestation that MCSO has no record of the encounter alleged
8 by claimant in cases where the MCSO would otherwise have
9 such records

10 ii. Testimonial, sworn statements or other evidence that
11 encounter alleged by claimant did not occur

12 iii. Documentation showing that claimant's encounter with
13 MCSO officers was, in some significant way, other than as
14 represented by claimant

15 iv. Testimonial or other evidence that the length of detention was
16 not as represented by claimant

17 c. In any cases where MCSO opts to rebut a case, notice and a copy
18 of what MCSO submits will be provided to the claimant if he or
19 she is not represented by counsel, or any counsel who has entered
20 an appearance and is representing the claimant with respect to his
21 or her claim. Claimants and, where applicable, his or her counsel
22 will have 60 days to respond, but may request an extension of 60
23 additional days (up to 120 days total), which BrownGreer will
24 grant provided it is for a reasonable reason.

25 **6. *Establishing eligibility for compensation for additional injury***

26 a. BrownGreer will consider evidence of the following additional
27 injuries in determining the final award amount:

28 i. Damages arising out of physical harm and/or severe
emotional distress that was proximately caused by the
detention, including, but not limited to –

1. Ongoing physical harm that occurred as a result of
detention and pain and suffering, if any, arising
directly out of the physical injury sustained by the
claimant

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 2. Medical bills paid or other out of pocket costs that arose as a result of physical/emotional harm caused by detention
- 3. Severe emotional distress that occurred as a result of detention and associated costs, if the claimant can establish by credible and competent evidence physical manifestation and the need for treatment (*i.e.*, claimant suffered shock or mental anguish manifested by a physical injury)
- ii. Lost Property - value of property confiscated and expenses incurred as a result of the confiscation and in trying to get it back
 - 1. Car impounded - loss of time / money in getting car back
 - 2. Money taken
 - 3. Credit/debit cards taken
 - 4. Identification taken - loss of time/money in getting legitimate and lawful identification returned or replaced (not including driver's licenses seized because suspended)
 - 5. Other items
- iii. Detention by ICE/CBP is \$35 for each segment of 20 minutes. Without affecting a claimant's ability to receive other damages arising from his or her detention, a claimant may not receive more than \$10,000 as compensation for the duration of the detention.
- iv. Lost wages, foregone employment opportunities or loss of job
 - 1. Dollar amount of wages lost as a result of being detained (must be supported by pertinent documentation, e.g., pay stubs from pre-detention employment)

1 Claimant will be given notice if he or she or their witness are to
2 be interviewed, and may be represented by Plaintiffs' counsel or
3 their own representative. For witnesses not in Maricopa County,
4 efforts will be made to accommodate their interview, such as
interviews by Skype or other video conference technology.

5 b. Interviews will be limited to 30 minutes, and both parties may
6 submit questions to BrownGreer to ask, although BrownGreer
7 has the authority to ask additional questions to enable them to
determine the veracity of the claims.

8 **VI. Minimum Compensation for Detention**

9 A. Claimants will be awarded a base amount of \$500 for detention lasting up
10 to one hour, if the individual is detained past 20 minutes. Claimants will be
11 awarded an additional base amount of \$35 for each additional 20 minute
12 segment of detention thereafter (or any portion thereof). Without affecting
13 a claimant's ability to receive other damages arising from his or her
detention, a claimant may not receive more than \$10,000 as compensation
for the duration of the detention.

14 B. These base amounts are in addition to any compensation that BrownGreer
15 may award for additional injury under Section V.J.6.a.

16 **VII. No Appeal.** Any party has the ability to request reconsideration of BrownGreer's
17 decision by BrownGreer, but otherwise has no right of appeal.

18 **VIII. Award Disbursement.** Defendants will set up an account to which BrownGreer
19 will have access for the purpose of paying out claims adjudicated in favor of
20 claimants, with at least monthly accounting to the County showing all
21 disbursements made.

22 **IX. Confidentiality.** A protective order shall be sought to maintain the confidentiality
23 of personally identifying information of claimants and other individuals mentioned
24 in or who submit evidence in support of claimants' applications, as well as
25 confidential documents from the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS)
26
27
28

1 and its components. Other information, such as the claim amounts will not be
2 subject to a protective order.

3
4 **X. Program Reporting.** BrownGreer will create an online reporting portal where the
5 parties can access claim tracking and processing information, including processing
6 times, and create downloadable reports. BrownGreer will also be available to
7 directly provide any reports to the Court, if necessary, at no additional cost, other
8 than reasonable travel expenditures.

9
10 **XI. Attorneys' Fees.** If claimant successfully pursues compensation through the use
11 of an attorney on a Track B claim, that attorney will be entitled to fees, not to
12 exceed \$750, and not more than the amount the claim award, so long as an MCSO
13 attorney participated in the claims process. MCSO will be considered to have
14 used an attorney in the claims process if it files an objection or otherwise
15 participates in the claims process and: (1) an attorney representing MCSO makes
16 an appearance before BrownGreer; or (2) indicates on the objection/response form
17 to BrownGreer that it used an attorney.

18
19
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21
22 Dated this 19th day of August, 2016.

23
24 
25

Honorable G. Murray Snow
26 United States District Judge
27
28