

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WO

JKM

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Keo Chamroeun,
Petitioner,

vs.

Katrina Kane,
Respondent.

) No. CV 07-2614-PHX-SMM (ECV)

) **ORDER**

On December 26, 2007, Petitioner Keo Chamroeun (A71-013-021) filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 seeking his immediate release from custody on the grounds that his detention with no prospect that his removal would be effected in the reasonably foreseeable future was not authorized by law. See Zadvydas v. Davis, 533 U.S. 678 (2001) (when there is no reasonable likelihood that a foreign government will accept an alien’s return in the reasonably foreseeable future, the INS may not detain the alien for more than the presumptively reasonable period of six months).

Respondent has filed a Suggestion of Mootness (Doc. #3) asserting that Petitioner was issued a Release Notification on January 18, 2008 and that he is, therefore, no longer subject to detention. A habeas corpus “petitioner’s release from detention under an order of supervision ‘moots his challenge to the legality of his extended detention.’” Abdala v. INS, 488 F.3d 1061, 1064 (9th Cir. 2007) (quoting Riley v. INS, 310 F.3d 1253, 1256-57 (10th Cir. 2002)). Accordingly, Petitioner will be required to show good cause why this action should not be dismissed as moot.

