Kruska v. Ochoa et al Doc. 149

1	wo
2	
3	
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
6	
7	JAN E. KRUSKA,) No. CV 08-0054-PHX-SMM
8 9	Plaintiff, ORDER
10	V.)
11	PERVERTED JUSTICE) FOUNDATION INCORPORATED, et.) al.,)
12 13	Defendants.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Recover Expenses (Doc. 107). Plaintiff requests that she receive reimbursement of \$285, which represents the costs for filing a response to Defendants April and David Butler's Motion to Summary Judgment. The legal basis for the expenses sought appears to be "Arizona L.R.Civ.P. Rule 9(A)(2)." However, no such local rule exists in the District of Arizona. Plaintiff also references the rule dealing with substitution of counsel, however, such rule does not provide for the recovery of expenses sought by Plaintiff. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED DENYING Plaintiff's Motion to Recover Expenses
23	
24	(Doc. 107). DATED this 4 th day of February 2000
25	DATED this 4 th day of February, 2009.
26	The factor of the same of the
27	Stephen M. McNamee
28	United States District Judge