

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WO

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Luis Fernando Ortega Garcia,) No. CV 08-0758-PHX-DGC (ECV)
Petitioner,) **ORDER**
vs.)
Dora Schriro, et al.,)
Respondents.)

On April 21, 2008, Petitioner Luis Fernando Ortega Garcia, who was then-confined in the Diamondback Correctional Facility in Watonga, Oklahoma, filed a *pro se* Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. 1, 3.) In an Order filed on May 21, 2008, the Court dismissed the Petition as impermissibly second or successive and judgment was entered the same day. (Doc. 5, 6.) Petitioner has filed a “Request for Permission to File Habeas Corpus” in this closed case. (Doc. 7.) That request will be denied without prejudice and the Clerk of Court will send Petitioner the form to seek leave to file a second or successive habeas corpus petition from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

I. Background

Petitioner was convicted in Maricopa County Superior Court, case # CR2002-012353, of three counts each of armed robbery and kidnaping, and one count of unlawful flight from a law enforcement vehicle. He was sentenced to six concurrent 18-year sentences and a consecutive 1.5 year sentence.

II. Prior Habeas Action

On August 26, 2005, Petitioner filed a § 2254 petition, *Garcia v. Fizer*, CV 05-2593-PHX-DGC (ECV). On December 22, 2006, the Court dismissed that petition with prejudice.

1 (Doc. 14, 19, 20 in CV 05-2593-PHX-DGC.) Petitioner did not appeal.


2 On April 21, 2008, Petitioner commenced this case by filing a second habeas petition.
3 (Doc. 1.) As the Court explained in its Order dismissing this action, “[b]efore a second or
4 successive [habeas] application . . . is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in
5 the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the
6 application.” 28 U.S.C. § 2244(3)(A). Because Petitioner filed a previous habeas petition
7 (CV05-2592) which was denied with prejudice, Petitioner must obtain certification from the
8 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals before filing a second or successive habeas petition. This
9 Court lacks power to grant such certification. Accordingly, Petitioner’s request will be
10 denied but the Clerk of Court will provide Petitioner with the form to submit to the Ninth
11 Circuit Court of Appeals to seek certification to file a second or successive habeas petition.

12 **IT IS ORDERED:**

13 (1) Petitioner’s request for permission to file habeas corpus is **denied** without
14 prejudice. (Doc. 7.)

15 (2) The Clerk of Court must provide Petitioner with a copy of the form
16 recommended by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals for filing an Application for Leave to
17 File Second or Successive Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or Motion Under 28 U.S.C.
18 § 2255.

19 DATED this 30th day of October, 2012.

20
21 

22
23

David G. Campbell
United States District Judge

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SECOND OR SUCCESSIVE
PETITION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 OR § 2255**

Docket Number _____ (to be provided by court)

Petitioner's name _____

Prisoner registration number _____

Address _____

Instructions - Read Carefully

1. This application, whether handwritten or typewritten, must be legible and signed by the petitioner under penalty of perjury. An original and five (5) copies must be provided to the Clerk. The application must comply with 9th Circuit Rule 22-3, which is attached to this form.
2. All questions must be answered concisely and in relationship to the questioned asked on this form.
3. The petitioner **shall** serve a copy of this application and any attachments on respondent and must complete and file a proof of service with this application.
4. The petitioner **shall** attach to this application copies of the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and the district court's opinion in any prior federal habeas proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or § 2255 or state why such documents are unavailable to petitioner.

_____ This form has not been officially adopted by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, but prisoners are encouraged to use this form to comply with Ninth Circuit Rule 22-3 pending the completion of official rule-making procedures. Questions should be directed to the Office of Staff Attorneys, Motions/Pro Se Unit, (415) 556-9890

You Must Answer the Following Questions:

1. What conviction(s) are you challenging?

2. In what court(s) were you convicted of these crime(s)?

3. What was the date of each of your conviction(s) and what is the length of each sentence?

For questions (4) through (9), provide information separately for each of your previous section 2254 or 2255 proceedings. Use additional pages if necessary.

4. With respect to **each** conviction and sentence, have you ever filed a petition or motion for habeas corpus relief in federal court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 or § 2255? Yes No

(a) In which federal district court did you file a petition or motion?

(b) What was the docket number? _____

(c) On what date did you file the petition/motion? _____

5. What grounds were raised in your previous habeas proceeding? (list all grounds and issues previously raised in that petition/motion)

6. Did the district court hold an evidentiary hearing? Yes No

7. How did the district court rule on your petition/motion?

a. District court **dismissed** petition/motion,
if yes, on what grounds? _____

District court **denied** petition/motion;

District court **granted** relief;
if yes, on what claims and what was the relief?

(attach copies of all reports and orders issued by the district court)

8. On what date did the district court decide your petition/motion?

9. Did you file an appeal from that disposition? Yes No

(a) What was the docket number of your appeal? _____

(b) How did the court of appeals decide your appeal? _____

10. State concisely each and every ground or issue you wish to raise in your current petition or motion for habeas relief. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground or issue.

11. For each ground raised, was it raised in the state courts? If so, what did the state courts rule and when?

12. For each ground/issue raised, was this claim raised in any prior federal petition/motion? (list each ground separately)

13. For each ground/issue raised, does this claim rely on a new rule of constitutional law? (list each ground separately and give case name and citation for each new rule of law)

14. For each ground/issue raised, does this claim rely on newly discovered evidence? What is the evidence? Why has this newly discovered evidence not been previously available to you? (list each ground separately)

15. For each ground/issue raised, does the newly discovered evidence establish your innocence? How?

16. For each ground/issue raised, does the newly discovered evidence establish a federal constitutional error? Which provision of the Constitution was violated and how?

17. Provide any other basis for your motion not previously stated.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Proof of Service on Respondent MUST be Attached.

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

95 Seventh Street
San Francisco, CA 94103

NINTH CIRCUIT RULE 22-3

(a) Applications.

Any petitioner seeking leave to file a second or successive 2254 petition or 2255 motion in the district court must file an application in the Court of Appeals demonstrating entitlement to such leave under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244 or 2255. An original and five copies of the application must be filed with the Clerk of the Court of Appeals. No filing fee is required. If a second or successive petition or motion, or an application for leave to file such a petition or motion, is mistakenly submitted to the district court, the district court shall refer it to the court of appeals.

The application must:

- (1) include a copy of the second or successive 2254 petition or 2255 motion which the applicant seeks to file in the district court; and
- (2) state as to each claim presented whether it previously has been raised in any state or federal court and, if so, the name of the court and the date of the order disposing of such claim(s); and
- (3) state how the requirements of sections 2244(b) or 2255 have been satisfied.

(b) Excerpts of Record.

If reasonably available to the petitioner, the application must include copies of all relevant state court orders and decisions and all dispositive district court orders in prior federal proceedings. If excerpts of record filed by petitioner are incomplete, respondent may file a supplemental excerpt of record.

(c) Service.

The petitioner must serve a copy of the application and all attachments on the respondent, and must attach a certificate of service to the application filed with the court.

(d) Response.

In noncapital cases, no response is required unless ordered by the court. In capital cases where an execution date is scheduled and no stay is in place, respondent shall respond to the application and file supplemental excerpts as soon as practicable. Otherwise, in capital cases, respondent shall respond and file supplemental excerpts within ten days of the date the application is served.

(e) Decision.

The application will be determined by a three-judge panel. In capital cases where an execution date is scheduled and no stay is in place, the court will grant or deny the application, and state its reasons therefore, as soon as practicable.

(f) Stays of Execution.

If an execution date is scheduled and no stay is in place, any judge may, if necessary, enter a stay of execution, see Circuit Rule 22-2(e), but the question will be presented to the panel as soon as practicable. If the court grants leave to file a second or successive application, the court shall stay petitioner's execution pending disposition of the second or successive petition by the district court.