EXHIBIT C

	1	Cynthia A. Ricketts (Arizona Bar No. 012668)		
	2	<u>cindy.ricketts@dlapiper.com</u> Shane D. Gosdis (Arizona Bar No. 022471)		
	3	shane.gosdis@dlapiper.com		
	4	DLA Piper LLP (US) 2415 East Camelback Road, Suite 700		
	5	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Telephone: (480) 606-5100 Facsimile: (480) 606-5101		
	6			
	7	Attorneys for Defendants Costar Realty Information, Inc., and Costar Group, Inc.		
	8	IN THE UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT	
	9			
. 1	10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
1	11	PETER STROJNIK, an individual,) Case No. 2:08-CV-01276-PHX-SRB (E-File Case)	
1	12	Plaintiff,) AFFIDAVIT OF CYNTHIA A.	
1	13	**) RICKETTS IN SUPPORT OF	
. 1	14	V.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ANAWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEESAND EXPENSES	
1	15	THE COSTAR REALTY INFORMATION, INC., a Corporation; COSTAR GROUP,)	
- 1	16	INC.,	Before: Honorable Susan R. Bolton	
1	17	Defendants.) }	
1	18)	
1	19	STATE OF ARIZONA) ss:		
2	20	County of Maricopa)		
2	21	Cynthia A. Ricketts, being duly sworn, states:		
2	22	1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Arizona. I am over		
2	23	the age of 18 years and am, in all respects, competent to make this affidavit. This		
2	24	affidavit is based upon my personal knowledge, and if called upon to testify, I could and would competently testify to the following.		
2	25			
2	26			
	27			
2	28	attorneys for Defendants The Costar Realty	Information, Inc. and Costar Group, Inc.	

("Defendants").

- 3. In my capacity as an attorney for Defendants, I am familiar with the nature of this action, the pleadings and other papers that have been filed by the parties, and I have reviewed each of the billing statements submitted to Defendants by DLA Piper.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit B to Defendants' Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses contains a detailed description of the services provided by DLA Piper attorneys in connection with Defendants' efforts to obtain the dismissal of this action. Exhibit B states the date the work was performed, identifies the individual who performed it, describes the work performed, and states the amount of time the attorneys and legal assistants spent on the work performed. The information for this exhibit was taken directly from the billing statements DLA Piper submitted to Defendants in connection with obtaining the dismissal of Plaintiff's action. All of the time identified in Exhibit B reasonably relates to Defendants' efforts to obtain the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint. DLA Piper's billing statements were prepared from the contemporaneous time records of the various timekeepers identified herein and in Exhibit B, and accurately reflect the fees incurred relating to DLA Piper's efforts to obtain the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 5. DLA Piper has billed Defendants a total of \$26,616.50 for fees generated on Defendants' behalf in obtaining the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint. Defendants have paid these fees to DLA Piper for these services performed on their behalf.
- 6. Exhibit B does not include the fees that DLA Piper incurred in connection with meeting and conferring with counsel defending the similar copycat actions filed by Plaintiff. Exhibit B also does not include any attorneys' fees that were incurred to prepare Defendants' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses. Defendants seek

\$1,961.63 as reimbursement for online research, filing fees, and Express Mail expenses, all of which were incurred in connection with obtaining dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint, and are identified in Exhibit B. Defendants do not seek any costs incurred in the preparation of Defendants' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses.

- 7. As an attorney at DLA Piper, I have consulted with other attorneys with regard to the prevailing market rates in Phoenix, Arizona, and have reviewed fee applications submitted by various law firms in Phoenix, Arizona. Based on this, I believe that I am familiar with the prevailing market rates in Phoenix, Arizona. Based on this, the rates that DLA Piper has charged Defendants to obtain dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint are reasonable for the services provided and are consistent with the prevailing market rates for other attorneys' with similar experience in Phoenix, Arizona.
- 8. DLA Piper's fee agreement with Defendants requires Defendants to pay the hourly rates that DLA Piper charges to Defendants for DLA Piper's services, which are DLA Piper's standard hourly rates, and Defendants have paid DLA Piper's standard hourly rates for the services provided in connection with obtaining the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint. Those hourly rates are set forth below.
- 9. I graduated from Smith College in 1986 and Arizona State University Law School in 1989, where I was a member of the Order of the Coif and an Associate Editor of the Arizona State Law Journal. I have been practicing commercial litigation since that time and have significant trial experience. I practiced at Squire Sanders & Dempsey from 1990 until 2006, and became a partner with Squire Sanders & Dempsey in 1999. I joined DLA Piper as a partner in 2006 and have practiced with DLA Piper since that time. During the time that I performed the services on behalf of Defendants described in Exhibit

B, my billing rate was \$580.00 per hour. Defendants were charged and have agreed to pay (and have paid) this rate for my services in this matter.

- 10. Shane D. Gosdis is a litigation associate with DLA Piper. He received his Bachelor of Science from the University of Utah in 2000 and graduated from Cornell Law School in 2003. At Cornell, he was a Symposium Editor of the *Cornell Law Review*. Mr. Gosdis practices commercial litigation. His billing rate was \$450 per hour during the time that he performed the services on behalf of Defendants described in Exhibit B. Defendants were charged and have agreed to pay (and have paid) this rate for his services in this matter.
- 11. Camilla J. Butler is a litigation associate with DLA Piper. She received his Bachelor of Science from the Arizona State University in 2002 and graduated from University of Arizona Law School in 2006. Ms. Butler practices commercial litigation. Her billing rate was \$315.00 per hour during the time that he performed the services on behalf of Defendants described in Exhibit B. Defendants were charged and have agreed to pay (and have paid) this rate for her services in this matter.
- 12. Deb Rutschman is a paralegal with DLA Piper. Ms. Rutschman has over eighteen years of experience as a legal assistant. Ms. Rutschman's billing rate was \$245.00 per hour during the time she performed the services on behalf of Defendants described in Exhibit B. Defendants were charged and have agreed to pay (and have paid) this rate for her services in this matter.
- 13. In my capacity as a partner at DLA Piper working on this matter on Defendants' behalf, I have exercised billing judgment by authorizing the writing off of 16.70 hours of time amounting to \$6,421.50 in legal fees that were spent to successfully

1	obtain the dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint, but which amount was not charged to		
2	Defendants. Defendants do not seek to recover any of this time from Plaintiff.		
3			
4	Further, affiant sayeth not.		
5	Cynthia A. Ricketts		
6			
7	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of January, 2009, by		
8	Cynthia A. Ricketts.		
9	OFFICIAL SEAL MICHELE A. MAUL		
10	MARICOPA COUNTY NOTARY PUBLIC - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY Notational Notational Notational		
11	24 Table 112, 2010		
12	My Commission Expires:		
13	March 12, 2010		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
1920			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

28