

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

6

7

8

Douglas A. Stutheit,

)

No. CV-08-02157-PHX-LOA

9

Plaintiff,

)

**NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT
AND ORDER**

10

vs.

)

11

Nationwide Insurance Sales Company,
LLC dba Scottsdale Insurance Company,

)

12

Defendant.

)

13

14

Pursuant to Local Rule ("LRCiv") 3.8(a), Rules of Practice, effective
December 1, 2007, all civil cases are, and will be, randomly assigned to a U.S. district
judge or to a U.S. magistrate judge. This matter has been assigned to the undersigned U.S.
Magistrate Judge.

18

19

As a result of the aforesaid Local Rule, if all parties consent in writing, the
case will remain with the assigned magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c)(1) for
all purposes, including trial and final entry of judgment. If any party chooses the district
judge option, the case will be randomly reassigned to a U.S. district judge. To either
consent to the assigned magistrate judge or to elect to have the case heard before a
district judge, the appropriate section of the form, entitled Consent To Exercise Of
Jurisdiction By United States Magistrate Judge¹, must be completed, signed and filed.

25

26

¹The consent/election form may be obtained directly from the Clerk of the Court or
by accessing the District of Arizona's web site at www.azd.uscourts.gov. To find the
consent/election form on the District's web site, click on "Local Rules" at the top of the page,
then click on "forms" on the left side of the page and then click on and print the appropriate

27

28

1 The party filing the case or removing it to this Court is responsible for serving all parties
2 with the consent forms. Each party must file a completed consent form and certificate of
3 service with the Clerk of the Court not later than 20 days after entry of appearance, and
4 must serve a copy by mail or hand delivery upon all parties of record in the case.

5 Any party is free to withhold consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction
6 without adverse consequences. 28 U.S.C. 636(c)(2); Rule 73(b), Fed.R.Civ.P.; *Anderson*
7 *v. Woodcreek Venture Ltd.*, 351 F.3d 911, 913-14 (9th Cir. 2003) (pointing out that
8 consent is the "touchstone of magistrate judge jurisdiction" under 28 U.S.C. §636(c). "A
9 party to a federal civil case has, subject to some exceptions, a constitutional right to
10 proceed before an Article III judge." *Dixon v. Ylst*, 990 F.2d 478, 479 (9th Cir. 1993)
11 (citing *Pacemaker Diagnostic Clinic of Am. Inc. v. Instromedix, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 537, 541
12 (9th Cir. 1984) (*en banc*)).

13 A review of the Court's file indicates that Defendant Nationwide Insurance
14 Sales Company, LLC dba Scottsdale Insurance Company filed a Notice of Removal on
15 November 21, 2008.

16 Defendant Nationwide Insurance Sales Company, LLC dba Scottsdale
17 Insurance Company shall have until **December 12, 2008**, within which to make its
18 selection to either consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction or elect to proceed before a
19 U. S. district judge. The Court's docket reflects the appropriate consent form was
20 electronically transmitted to all counsel on November 24, 2008 by the Clerk's office.

21 Accordingly,

22 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Defendant Nationwide Insurance Sales
23 Company, LLC dba Scottsdale Insurance Company shall file on or before **December 12,**
24 **2008** its written election to either consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction or elect to
25 proceed before a United States district judge.

26
27 _____
28 form.


1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall either consent to proceed
2 before a magistrate judge or elect to proceed before a district judge by **December 12,**
3 **2008.**

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that counsel and any party, if
5 unrepresented, shall hereinafter comply with the Rules of Practice for the United States
6 District Court for the District of Arizona, as amended on December 1, 2007. The
7 District's Rules of Practice may be found on the District Court's internet web page at
8 www.azd.uscourts.gov/. All other rules may be found as www.uscourts.gov/rules/. The
9 fact that a party is acting *pro se* does not discharge this party's duties to "abide by the
10 rules of the court in which he litigates." *Carter v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, 784
11 F.2d 1006, 1008 (9th Cir. 1986).

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that counsel and any party, if
13 unrepresented, shall use the above caption, number and initials until further order of the
14 Court.

15 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that defense counsel shall use proper
16 capitalization in all future captions as mandated by LRCiv 7.1(a)(3).

17 DATED this 26th day of November, 2008.

18
19
20 
21 Lawrence O. Anderson
22 United States Magistrate Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28