

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Kevin A. Osborn,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
Ivan Bartos, et. al.,  
Defendants.

No. CV-08-2193-PHX-ROS (JRI)

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation (“R&R”). (Doc. 280).

A district judge “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Where any party has filed timely objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendations, the district court’s review of the part objected to is to be *de novo*. *Id.*; *see also United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003); *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (“Following *Reyna-Tapia*, this Court concludes that *de novo* review of factual and legal issues is required if objections are made, but not otherwise.”) (internal quotations and citations omitted).

The R&R was issued January 12, 2012. No objections being made, the Court will adopt the Report and Recommendation in full.


Accordingly,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS ORDERED** the Report and Recommendation (**Doc. 280**) is **ADOPTED**.

**IT IS ORDERED** Defendants Unknown Parties (John Does and Jane Does) are  
**DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

DATED this 3rd day of February, 2012.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Roslyn O. Silver  
Chief United States District Judge