

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9 Qi Yao Chen,)	No. CV 09-0451-PHX-JAT (JRI)
10 Petitioner,)	ORDER
11 vs.)	
12)	
13 Department of Homeland Security, et al.,)	
14 Respondents.)	
15 _____)	

16 Pending before the Court is Petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
17 (“Petition”) (Doc. #1) filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The Magistrate Judge issued a
18 Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) (Doc. #13) recommending that the Petition be
19 dismissed without prejudice.

20 Neither party has filed objections to the R&R. Accordingly, the Court hereby accepts
21 the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district courts are not
22 required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection”
23 (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en*
24 *banc*) (“statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge’s
25 findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise” (emphasis
26 in original)); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).

27 Accordingly,

28

