

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

7

8

Jodell Martinelli; Stephanie Booth; Melia )  
Perry; Abbigail King; Nicole Kersanty; )  
and Ruth Ross, on behalf of themselves )  
and all others similarly situated, )

No. CV-09-529-PHX-DGC

10

11

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER**

12

vs.

13

Petland, Inc.; and The Hunte Corporation,)

14

Defendants.)

15

16

17

On August 7, 2009, the Court issued an order granting Defendants’ motions to dismiss. Dkt. #49. The Court dismissed the complaint on the ground that it failed to meet the pleading requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *Id.* at 3-10. The Court dismissed the complaint without prejudice and gave Plaintiffs until August 29, 2009 to file an amended complaint. *Id.* at 10-11.

22

Plaintiffs have filed a motion for extension of time to file an amended complaint. Dkt. #50. The motion has been fully briefed. Dkt. ##51-52. The Court concludes that the requested two-week extension of time is reasonable, that Plaintiffs have shown good cause, and that Defendants will not be unfairly prejudiced. The Court will therefore grant Plaintiffs’ motion.

27

**IT IS ORDERED:**

28


1. Plaintiffs’ motion for extension of time to file amended complaint (Dkt. #50)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

is **granted**.

2. Plaintiffs shall have until **September 11, 2009** to file an amended complaint.

DATED this 27th day of August, 2009.



---

David G. Campbell  
United States District Judge