

203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000)("section 1915(e) applies to all in forma pauperis
complaints"). In addition, section 1915(e) requires dismissal of in forma pauperis complaints
that fail to state a claim, are frivolous or malicious, or seek monetary relief against a
defendant who is immune from such relief. See id. ("It is also clear that section 1915(e) not
only permits but requires a district court to dismiss an in forma pauperis complaint if it fails
to state a claim.") Therefore, this Court must dismiss an in forma pauperis compliant if it fails
to state a claim or if it is frivolous or malicious.

8 "[A] complaint, containing both factual allegations and legal conclusions, is frivolous
9 where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319,
10 325 (1989). Furthermore, "a finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts
11 alleged rise to the level of the irrational or wholly incredible, whether or not there are
12 judicially recognized facts available to contradict them." <u>Denton v. Hernandez</u>, 504 U.S. 25,
13 33 (1992).

14 **II.**

Discussion

First, Plaintiff's Complaint makes no specific allegation of federal court jurisdiction. Plaintiff merely states that "the court has jurisdiction and the claim needs no new grounds of jurisdiction to support it." The Court, however, does not "already [have] jurisdiction" <u>see</u> Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a)(1), and therefore Plaintiff's Complaint must contain "a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction." See id.

20 Next, after reviewing Plaintiff's Complaint, the Court determines that it is frivolous. Plaintiff alleges the following: On August 13, 2007, Plaintiff mailed his plan entitled "The 21 22 Ultimate Solution for Terrorism" to Laura Bush "that could defeat and subdue terrorism." 23 According to Plaintiff, his plan "provided a means for stopping the nightly television war 24 news that was causing President Bush to be so unpopular." Plaintiff alleges that shortly after 25 mailing his plan to Mrs. Bush, "the nightly Iraq war news stories had vanished" and 26 "President Bush's popularity began to rise." According to Plaintiff's Complaint, "President 27 Bush wasted no time in implementing the plan that can defeat terrorism "

28

Plaintiff alleges that he "sent many requests to President Bush and Laura Bush for a small
 government (sic) in the amount of fifteen million dollars" as compensation for his "plan that
 President Bush used to defeat terrorism and to reverse his unpopular circumstances."
 Plaintiff states that because the President "ignored and disregarded" Plaintiff's request for
 compensation, he filed the instant lawsuit requesting judgment in the amount of fifteen
 million dollars.

While perhaps one could believe that Plaintiff sent his plan to Laura Bush, as he has
alleged, the remainder of Plaintiff's allegations "rise to the level of the irrational or wholly
incredible." See Denton, 504 U.S. at 33. Accordingly, the Court finds that Plaintiff's
Complaint is frivolous, and thus it must be dismissed. See Lopez, 203 F.3d at 1127.

In addition, the President of the United States is invested with absolute immunity from suit
 for damages. <u>See Mitchell v. Forsyth</u>, 472 U.S. 511, 521 (1985). Such immunity provides
 yet another basis for dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint.

14 **III.** Conclusion

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15 Accordingly, based on the foregoing,

16 **IT IS ORDERED** denying Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis. (Doc. # 3)

17 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing the Clerk of the Court to enter judgment19 accordingly.

20 DATED this 29th day of April, 2009.

lary H. Murgula States District Judg