

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,	)	No. CV 09-1338-PHX-EHC (JRI)
Plaintiff/Respondent,	)	No. CR 08-0193-PHX-EHC
vs.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
Jesus Felix Payan,	)	
Defendant/Movant.	)	

---

On June 22, 2009, Movant filed a Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence under 28 U.S.C. § 2255.<sup>1</sup> The United States has filed a Response. The matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Jay R. Irwin who filed a Report and Recommendation on February 5, 2010 recommending that the Motion be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute (Dkt. 10 - CIV 09-1338).

The district court reviews de novo the portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to which there is a filed objection. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) ("a judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report, ..., to which objection is made."); see also United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir.

---

<sup>1</sup>A civil action is opened when a motion is filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 and all subsequent filings are docketed in the civil case. The § 2255 motion is also filed in the underlying criminal case.

1 2003). The district court is not required to review any issue that is not the subject of an  
2 objection. Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219 (D. Ariz. 2003), citing Thomas v.  
3 Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

4 Movant has not filed an objection to the Report and Recommendation. The docket  
5 sheet shows that the Report and Recommendation was mailed to Movant at his address of  
6 record on February 5, 2010 and that the mail was returned as undeliverable on February 22,  
7 2010 (Dkt. 11). The Report and Recommendation will be adopted as the Order of the Court.

8 Accordingly,

9 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Dkt.  
10 10 - CIV 09-1338-PHX-EHC (JRI)) is adopted in full, including the denial of a Certificate  
11 of Appealability.

12 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Motion to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct  
13 Sentence is dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute.

14 DATED this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010.

15  
16 

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Earl H. Carroll  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28