

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9

Matthew D. Diamond; Susan E. Diamond,)

No. CV-09-1593-PHX-FJM

10

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER**

11

vs.

12

One West Bank, et al.,

13

Defendants.

14

15

16

17

We now have before us plaintiff Matthew Diamond’s motion for reconsideration (doc. 62) of our order dated April 29, 2010, in which we granted plaintiff’s motion for preliminary injunction and ordered plaintiff to post a bond in the amount of \$30,000 as security pursuant to Rule 65(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. (doc. 61). We also have before us OneWest Bank’s response (doc. 65).

22

Plaintiff contends that he is financially unable to post a bond in the full amount of \$30,000 and instead suggests that he be allowed to pay into the court monthly payments in the amount of \$2,142.85 for a period of 14 months until the \$30,000 bond amount is fully satisfied.

26

We conclude that these monthly payments, plus the security interest OneWest maintains by virtue of the deed of trust on the property is sufficient security under Rule 65, Fed. R. Civ. P.

28

