

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9

Bobbie Glen Cole Scott,

)

No.

CV 09-1882-PHX-JAT (Lead)

10

Plaintiff,

)

CV 09-1883-PHX-JAT (Cons)

CV 09-1884-PHX-JAT (Cons)

11

vs.

)

CV 09-1885-PHX-JAT (Cons)

ORDER

12

State of Arizona; United States of
America,

)

13

Defendants.

)

14

15

16

17

Consistent with this Court’s prior order, Plaintiff has re-filed his request to proceed in forma pauperis. Also pending are Plaintiff’s two motions to amend the Complaint. The Court will address the motions to amend the Complaint first.

20

Because Plaintiff has not amended his Complaint once as permitted by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, the Court will grant leave to amend. However, Plaintiff is cautioned that any amendment completely supersedes his previous Complaint. *See Dowdy v. Raman*, 2008 WL 1901330, *3 (E.D. Cal. 2008). Plaintiff has not lodged a proposed amended complaint. Therefore, Plaintiff will be given 30 days from the date of this Order to file a first amended complaint that contains all claims against all defendants that he wishes to raise in these four consolidated actions. In other words, the first amended complaint must cover, in a signal document, all issues for all four consolidated cases.

28

