

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

7

8

9

Gina Marie Klosterman, a married
woman,

No. CV-09-2068-PHX-DGC

10

Plaintiff,

ORDER

11

vs.

12

Michael J. Astrue, Commissioner of
Social Security,

13

14

Defendant.

15

16

Plaintiff seeks judicial review of the administrative decision denying her application for social security benefits. Dkt. #1. Plaintiff has filed both an opening brief (Dkt. #13) and a motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #14). The Court’s local rules provide for the filing of opening and answering briefs “rather than the filing of motions/cross-motions for summary judgment.” LRCiv 16.1(a)-(b); *see* Dkt. #6 ¶¶ 1-2. The opening brief “must set forth all errors which Plaintiff contends entitle [her] to relief.” LRCiv 16.1(a).

22

Because Plaintiff has filed a lengthy opening brief addressing alleged errors on the part of the ALJ (Dkt. #13), the Court will deny Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #14) as moot and as improper under Local Rule 16.1.

25

IT IS ORDERED:

26

1. Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #14) is **denied**.


27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2. The Court will address the arguments made in the parties' briefs (Dkt. ##13, 15, 17) in a separate order.

DATED this 29th day of March, 2010.



David G. Campbell
United States District Judge