

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9

Eva E. Rios,)

10

Plaintiff,)

No. CIV 09-2323-PHX-DKD

11

vs.)

ORDER

12

Rozlin Financial Group, Inc.,)

13

Defendant.)

14

15

16

Plaintiff filed this lawsuit on November 4, 2009 (Doc. #1). A review of the file indicates

17

this was the only pleading filed by plaintiff. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) states:

18

(m) Time Limit for Service. If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court — on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff — must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. This subdivision (m) does not apply to service in a foreign country under Rule 4(f) or 4(j)(1).

19

20

21

22

LRCiv 41.1, Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of

23

Arizona provides that "[c]ases which have had no proceedings for six (6) or more months may

24

be dismissed by the Court for want of prosecution."

25

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff shall have twenty (20) days from the

26

date of the filing of this Order to show good cause why this case should not be dismissed

27

pursuant to LRCiv 41.1, Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of

28

1 Arizona, for want of prosecution and Rule 4(m), F.R.Civ.P. If plaintiff fails to comply with this
2 Order, this action shall be dismissed.

3 DATED this 7th day of May, 2010.

4 

5 _____
6 David K. Duncan
7 United States Magistrate Judge
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28