

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

7

8

9

10 Billy Taylor,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 AFS Technologies, Inc.; Kurien and  
14 Prasanna Jacob; Walter and Jane Doe  
15 Barandarian; Kimberly and John Doe  
16 Curtis; Rebecca and Jason Barr; Andi  
17 and John Doe Romano; and the  
18 Argentum Group,

19 Defendants.

No. CV-09-2567-PHX-DGC

**ORDER**

18

19 Plaintiff Billy Taylor brought this employment law action by filing a pro se complaint  
20 against Defendants on December 8, 2009. Dkt. #1. The amended complaint asserts race  
21 discrimination and retaliation claims under Title VII and 42 U.S.C. § 1981. Dkt. #94.  
22 Defendant AFS Technologies, Inc. has filed tortious interference counterclaims. Dkt. #28.

23 Plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to exceed page limit. Dkt. #137. He asserts that  
24 he is ready to file a summary judgment motion and needs eight pages more than the allotted  
25 seventeen in order to fully articulate the facts in support of summary judgment. Plaintiff  
26 does not describe the nature of his summary judgment motion. Nor does he explain why 25  
27 pages are needed to demonstrate that certain undisputed material facts entitle him to  
28 judgment as a matter of law.

