

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

7

8

9

Monica Sandschafer; Guillermina)
Bethancourt de Pichardo; Ayensa Millan;)
Joel Nelson,

No. 10-180-PHX-JAT

10

ORDER

11

Plaintiffs,

12

vs.

13

Maricopa County Board of Supervisor)
Andrew Kunasek, et al.,

14

15

Defendants.

16

17

Defendants have filed a Motion to Consolidate the case pending here with a case before Judge Wake, No. CV 09-2603-PHX-NVW. (Doc. #8.) Judge Wake normally would rule on the Motion because he has the first-filed case, but he referred the issue to the undersigned for decision. (Doc. #35.)

21

Pursuant to Local Rule of Civil Procedure 42.1(a), a party may file a motion to transfer a case or cases to a single Judge if two or more cases are pending before different Judges and the party believes that such cases: “(1) arise from substantially the same transaction or event; (2) involve substantially the same parties or property; (3) involve the same patent, trademark, or copyright; (4) call for determination of substantially the same question of law; or (5) for any other reason would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different Judges.”

28

