1	wo
2	
3	
4	
5	NOT FOR PUBLICATION
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	Impact Financial Services, LLC, ) No. CV-10-00070-PHX-FJM
10	Plaintiff, ORDER
11	vs.
12	Six400 Check Solutions, LLC, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	
15	)
16	
17	The court has before it defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. 21) and plaintiff's
18	response (doc. 23). Defendants did not file a reply. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint
19	(doc. 22) along with its response, and we granted the parties' stipulation for additional time
20	in which to file a responsive pleading to the amended complaint (doc. 25). Accordingly, <b>IT</b>
21	IS ORDERED DENYING defendants' motion to dismiss (doc. 21) on grounds of mootness.
22	DATED this 7 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.
23	
24	Frederick J. Martone
25	United States District Judge
26	
27	
28	