

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY
 ATTEST
 By Darion Payne on Jan 22, 2010

FOR THE UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
 on
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Jan 07, 2010

FILED
 CLERK'S OFFICE

**IN RE: ZICAM COLD REMEDY MARKETING,
 SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS
 LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2096

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FILED	<input type="checkbox"/>	LODGED
<input type="checkbox"/>	RECEIVED	<input type="checkbox"/>	COPY
JAN 22 2010			
CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
BY			DEPUTY

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-4)

On October 9, 2009, the Panel transferred eight civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See ___ F.Supp. 2d ___ (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 28 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Arizona. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Frederick J. Martone.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Arizona and assigned to Judge Martone.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Arizona for the reasons stated in the order of October 9, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Frederick J. Martone.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jan 22, 2010

CLERK'S OFFICE
 UNITED STATES
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION


FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
 Clerk of the Panel

I hereby attest and certify on 1.22.10
 that the foregoing document is a full, true and correct
 copy of the original on file in my office and in my custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA



Deputy

**IN RE: ZICAM COLD REMEDY MARKETING,
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2096

SCHEDULE CTO-4 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN	
CAN 3 09-5512	Andrew R. Neilson v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
FLORIDA SOUTHERN	
FLS 0 09-61848	Lana Eichel v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc.
FLS 1 09-23735	Sharon Davis, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
HAWAII	
HI 1 09-604	Mark Kwiatkowski v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
HI 1 09-607	Tamela Wilcox v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
IDAHO	
ID 2 09-624	Mumtaz A. Fargo v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
ILLINOIS NORTHERN	
ILN 1 09-7225	Brandon Webb, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
MINNESOTA	
MN 0 09-3207	Quint Bridenstine v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
NEW YORK EASTERN	
NYE 2 09-5268	Marianne Woods, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
TENNESSEE EASTERN	
TNE 3 09-536	William J. Reich v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
TEXAS SOUTHERN	
TXS 4 09-3682	Johnny Mikolas v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.