

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**WO**

**SC**

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Karl Louis Guillen,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

Quincy Owens, et al.,  
Defendants.

) No. CV 10-0226-PHX-MHM (LOA)

) **ORDER**

Plaintiff Karl Louis Guillen, who is confined in the Special Management Unit (SMU) I of the Arizona State Prison Complex-Eyman, in Florence, Arizona, commenced this action by filing a motion for leave to exceed the page limit in his *pro se* civil rights Complaint, which is brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983; a motion to defer filing fees until September 15, 2010; and an Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. (Doc.# 1, 3, 4, 5.) The Court granted Plaintiff's motion to exceed the page limit and ordered the Complaint filed. (Doc.# 8.) The Court denied the motion to defer filing fees and denied as incomplete his *in forma pauperis* application with leave to pay the filing fee or to file a properly complete *in forma pauperis* application within 30 days. (Id.) Shortly before the filing of that Order, Plaintiff filed a motion to expedite this case. (Doc.# 7.) The Court will deny that motion where Plaintiff has not yet paid the filing fee or filed a properly complete *in forma pauperis* application.

/ / /

1 **Warnings**

2 **A. Address Changes**

3 Plaintiff must file and serve a notice of a change of address in accordance with Rule  
4 83.3(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff must not include a motion for other  
5 relief with a notice of change of address. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this  
6 action.

7 **B. Copies**

8 Plaintiff must submit an additional copy of every filing for use by the Court. See  
9 LRCiv 5.4. Failure to comply may result in the filing being stricken without further notice  
10 to Plaintiff.


11 **C. Possible Dismissal**

12 If Plaintiff fails to timely comply with every provision of the March 26, 2010 Order,  
13 doc.# 8), and these warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. See  
14 Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (a district court may dismiss an  
15 action for failure to comply with any order of the Court).

16 **IT IS ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion to expedite is **denied**. (Doc.# 7.)

17 DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2010.

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

Mary H. Murgula  
United States District Judge