1	WO
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	DLC DermaCare LLC, an Arizona) No. CV-10-333-PHX-DGC)
10	Plaintiff,) ORDER
11	VS.)
12	Sixta Castillo, R.N., et al.,
13) Defendants.
14)
15	DLC DermaCare LLC began franchising dermatology clinics in 2004. It brought
16	suit against numerous franchisees, their spouses, and certain other defendants in early 2010.
17	Doc. 1. The Court has granted multiple motions to compel arbitration and dismiss based on
18	arbitration provisions in the relevant franchise agreements. See Docs. 59, 145. Defendants
19	Gautam and Anjana Samadder and John and Latha Johnson have filed a motion to dismiss
20	on the same ground. Doc. 148. No response has been filed, and the time for filing one has
21	passed. See LRCiv 7.2(c); Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d).
22	Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.2(i) provides that where the adverse party fails to file
23	the required responsive memorandum, "such non-compliance may be deemed a consent to
24	the granting of the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion summarily."
25	Pursuant to that rule, and for good cause appearing, the Court will grant Defendants' motion.
26	IT IS ORDERED:
27	1. Defendants' motion to dismiss pending arbitration (Doc. 148) is granted .
28	2. Plaintiff and Defendants Gautam and Anjana Samadder and John and Latha
	Johnson are directed to comply with the mediation and arbitration provisions

set forth in the relevant franchise agreement. See Doc. 114-1. 3. The claims asserted against Defendants Gautam and Anjana Samadder and John and Latha Johnson are **dismissed**. DATED this 27th day of January, 2011. and G. Campbell David G. Campbell United States District Judge - 2 -