I. Statutory Screening of Prisoner Complaints

The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or an officer or an employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if a plaintiff has raised claims that are legally frivolous or malicious, that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).

A pleading must contain a "short and plain statement of the claim *showing* that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2) (emphasis added). While Rule 8 does not demand detailed factual allegations, "it demands more than an unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation." <u>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</u>, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). "Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice." Id.

"[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." <u>Id.</u> (quoting <u>Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly</u>, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). A claim is plausible "when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." <u>Id.</u> "Determining whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief [is] . . . a context-specific task that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense." <u>Id.</u> at 1950. Thus, although a plaintiff's specific factual allegations may be consistent with a constitutional claim, a court must assess whether there are other "more likely explanations" for a defendant's conduct. <u>Id.</u> at 1951.

But as the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit has instructed, courts must "continue to construe *pro se* filings liberally." Hebbe v. Pliler, 627 F.3d 338, 342 (9th Cir. 2010). A "complaint [filed by a *pro se* prisoner] 'must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers." Id. (quoting Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007) (*per curiam*)).

. . .

II. First Amended Complaint

In his two-count First Amended Complaint, Plaintiff sues Arizona Department of Corrections Director Charles L. Ryan.

In Count One, Plaintiff claims that his First Amendment rights are violated by Defendant Ryan's policy of prohibiting inmates from receiving adult sexually explicit publications. Plaintiff alleges that the prohibition includes a ban on all "education books and magazines, religious education books and magazines, and books and magazines for education purpose and leisure, comic books, and comic and cartoon art work magazines" that depict in part or completely, drawings of nudity, photographs of art work with nudity, or photographs from a friend or wife that depict partial nudity or simply a person in a bathing suit. Plaintiff claims that the ban does not serve an educational purpose or further rehabilitation.

In Count Two, Plaintiff claims that the ban violates his Fourteenth Amendment due process and equal protection rights.

Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

III. Failure to State a Claim

Plaintiff has not alleged any facts in Count Two that support his claim that Defendant Ryan's policy violates his Fourteenth Amendment due process and equal protection rights. Plaintiff has therefore failed to state a claim in Count Two and Count Two will be dismissed.

IV. Claims for Which an Answer Will be Required

Liberally construed, Plaintiff has adequately stated a First Amendment claim against Defendant Ryan in Count One. The Court will require Defendant Ryan to answer Count One.

V. Motions

On June 5, 2012, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction. Plaintiff seeks an order requiring Defendant Ryan to "show cause why his said policy in D.O. 914 and its amendments thereto are legal under state and federal standards[.]"

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The purpose of preliminary injunctive relief is to preserve the status quo. Regents of the University of California v. A.B.C., Inc., 747 F.2d 511, 515 (9th Cir. 1974). For a preliminary injunction, a party must show either (1) a likelihood of success on the merits and the possibility of irreparable injury, or (2) that serious questions going to the merits were raised and the balance of hardships tips sharply in his favor. Sun Microsystems, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp., 188 F.3d 1115, 1119 (9th Cir. 1999) (quotation omitted) These factors represent two points on a sliding scale, so that "the greater the relative hardship to the moving party, the less probability of success must be shown." Id. (citation omitted). Similarly, to demonstrate entitlement to a temporary restraining order, Plaintiff must show by specific facts that he is in danger of immediate and irreparable harm. Fed.R.Civ.P. 65(b).

In this case, Plaintiff does not seek relief which would preserve the status quo. Plaintiff asks simply that the Court order Defendant to demonstrate why his policy regarding nudity in publications received by inmates is legal. This is essentially the purpose of requiring Defendant to answer Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint; Plaintiff's requested relief does nothing to prevent the irreparable harm (denial of publications) that Plaintiff asserts in his Motion. Accordingly, the Court will deny without prejudice Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction.

Plaintiff has also filed a "Motion for Disclosure" in which he seeks the names of Arizona Department of Corrections employees. Plaintiff's motion is premature and will be denied. Defendant has not yet been served or filed an answer in this action. After service is completed and Defendant files an answer, the Court will issue a scheduling order that sets the time for the parties to conduct discovery.

VI. Warnings

Α. Release

Plaintiff must pay the unpaid balance of the filing fee within 120 days of his release. Also, within 30 days of his release, he must either (1) notify the Court that he intends to pay the balance or (2) show good cause, in writing, why he cannot. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action.

B. Address Changes

Plaintiff must file and serve a notice of a change of address in accordance with Rule 83.3(d) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff must not include a motion for other relief with a notice of change of address. Failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action.

C. Copies

Plaintiff must serve Defendant, or counsel if an appearance has been entered, a copy of every document that he files. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(a). Each filing must include a certificate stating that a copy of the filing was served. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(d). Also, Plaintiff must submit an additional copy of every filing for use by the Court. See LRCiv 5.4. Failure to comply may result in the filing being stricken without further notice to Plaintiff.

D. Possible Dismissal

If Plaintiff fails to timely comply with every provision of this Order, including these warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (a district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the Court).

IT IS ORDERED:

- (1) Plaintiff's June 5, 2012 Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 23) is **denied** without prejudice.
 - (2) Plaintiff's June 5, 2012 Motion for Disclosure (Doc. 25) is **denied**.
 - (3) Count Two is **dismissed** without prejudice.
 - (4) Defendant Ryan must answer Count One of the First Amended Complaint.
- (5) The Clerk of Court must send Plaintiff a service packet including the First Amended Complaint (Doc. 22), this Order, and both summons and request for waiver forms for Defendant Ryan.
- 27 II.
- 28 | ...

- (6) Plaintiff must complete¹ and return the service packet to the Clerk of Court within 21 days of the date of filing of this Order. The United States Marshal will not provide service of process if Plaintiff fails to comply with this Order.
- (7) If Plaintiff does not either obtain a waiver of service of the summons or complete service of the Summons and First Amended Complaint on Defendant within 120 days of the filing of the Complaint or within 60 days of the filing of this Order, whichever is later, the action may be dismissed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m); LRCiv 16.2(b)(2)(B)(i).
- (8) The United States Marshal must retain the Summons, a copy of the First Amended Complaint, and a copy of this Order for future use.
- (9) The United States Marshal must notify Defendant of the commencement of this action and request waiver of service of the summons pursuant to Rule 4(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The notice to Defendant must include a copy of this Order. The Marshal must immediately file signed waivers of service of the summons. If a waiver of service of summons is returned as undeliverable or is not returned by Defendant within 30 days from the date the request for waiver was sent by the Marshal, the Marshal must:
 - (a) personally serve copies of the Summons, First Amended Complaint, and this Order upon Defendant pursuant to Rule 4(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and
 - (b) within 10 days after personal service is effected, file the return of service for Defendant, along with evidence of the attempt to secure a waiver of service of the summons and of the costs subsequently incurred in effecting service upon Defendant. The costs of service must be enumerated on the return of service form (USM-285) and must include the costs incurred by the Marshal for photocopying additional copies of the Summons, First Amended Complaint, or this Order and for preparing new process

¹If a Defendant is an officer or employee of the Arizona Department of Corrections, Plaintiff must list the address of the specific institution where the officer or employee works. Service cannot be effected on an officer or employee at the Central Office of the Arizona Department of Corrections unless the officer or employee works there.

1	receipt and return forms (USM-285), if required. Costs of service will be taxed
2	against the personally served Defendant pursuant to Rule 4(d)(2) of the Federal Rules
3	of Civil Procedure, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
4	(10) If Defendant agrees to waive service of the Summons and First Amended
5	Complaint, he must return the signed waiver forms to the United States Marshal, not
6	the Plaintiff.
7	(11) Defendant must answer the First Amended Complaint or otherwise respond by
8	appropriate motion within the time provided by the applicable provisions of Rule 12(a) of
9	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
10	(12) This matter is referred to Magistrate Judge James F. Metcalf pursuant to Rules
11	72.1 and 72.2 of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure for all pretrial proceedings as authorized
12	under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).
13	DATED this 6th day of August, 2012.
14	# Www. Sugar
15	A. Muray Snow
16	United States District Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	