

**A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**  
**ATTEST**  
 By Dana Stewart on Mar 23, 2010

---

FOR THE UNITED STATES  
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES  
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
 on  
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Mar 08, 2010  
 FILED  
 CLERK'S OFFICE

**IN RE: ZICAM COLD REMEDY MARKETING,  
 SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS  
 LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2096

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> LODGED
<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED	<input type="checkbox"/> COPY
<b>MAR 23 2010</b>	
CLERK U S DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
BY _____	DEPUTY

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-6)**

On October 9, 2009, the Panel transferred eight civil actions to the United States District Court for the District of Arizona for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 655 F.Supp.2d 1371 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 45 additional actions have been transferred to the District of Arizona. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Frederick J. Martone.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Arizona and assigned to Judge Martone.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Arizona for the reasons stated in the order of October 9, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Frederick J. Martone.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 14 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 14-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
 Clerk of the Panel


Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

**Mar 23, 2010**

CLERK'S OFFICE  
 UNITED STATES  
 JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
 MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

I hereby attest and certify on 3.23.10 that the foregoing document is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in my office and in my custody.

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

By  Deputy

**IN RE: ZICAM COLD REMEDY MARKETING,  
SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS  
LIABILITY LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2096

**SCHEDULE CTO-6 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
<b>CALIFORNIA CENTRAL</b>	
CAC 2 10-488	Debra Collins v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
CAC 2 10-489	Paul Kersanty v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>CALIFORNIA EASTERN</b>	
CAE 1 10-240	Derek Alexander v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>CONNECTICUT</b>	
CT 3 10-148	Mahieddine Adjal, et al., v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
CT 3 10-220	Mary Ellen Wetteman v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>FLORIDA SOUTHERN</b>	
FLS 2 10-14032	Wanda Everett, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>IOWA NORTHERN</b>	
IAN 1 10-9	Rhonda Gelo v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>IDAHO</b>	
ID 2 10-58	Allison Campbell v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc.
ID 2 10-59	Nancy Cooper v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
ID 2 10-60	Mary Jo Moore v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
ID 3 10-79	Marilyn Henderson v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>ILLINOIS SOUTHERN</b>	
ILS 3 10-75	Mary Pat Bollone v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
ILS 3 10-76	Jennifer Glisson v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>INDIANA SOUTHERN</b>	
INS 1 10-76	Daniel Goodall, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.
<b>MONTANA</b>	
MT 6 10-3	Jeffrey B. Miller, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**MDL No. 2096 - Schedule CTO-6 Tag-Along Actions (Continued)**

**NORTH CAROLINA MIDDLE**

NCM 1 10-126 Rosanne Kirsten Magers v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**NORTH DAKOTA**

ND 3 10-7 Sharon Rostad v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**NEW YORK SOUTHERN**

NYS 1 10-1312 Paul C. Buck, Jr. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**TEXAS NORTHERN**

TXN 3 10-296 John E. Touhey v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**TEXAS SOUTHERN**

TXS 4 10-271 Eugene Thomas Stanley v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**VIRGINIA EASTERN**

VAE 2 10-79 Debora Pope v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**WASHINGTON WESTERN**

WAW 3 10-5104 Verna Jean Chancellor, et al. v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

**WYOMING**

WY 1 10-18 Sara Jardine v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.

WY 2 10-16 Stephen Alan Gosbee v. Matrixx Initiatives, Inc., et al.