

1 **WO**

2

3

4

5

6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8

9

Oliver Reishus and Roxanne Brinker,  
husband and wife,

No. CV-10-0760-PHX-LOA

10

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER**

11

vs.

12

13

Efran Almaraz and Alejandra Estrada,  
individually as husband and wife, d/b/a EA  
Trucking; Paulo Egusquiza and Jane Doe  
Egusquiza, individually and as husband  
and wife, d/b/a Best Tires Distributors;  
Eduardo Merino, a single man,

16

Defendants.

17

This matter arises on Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Defendant Eduardo Merino to Appear for Deposition. (Doc. 80) For the reasons set forth below, the Court will deny Plaintiffs’ Motion without prejudice.

21

Despite several written warnings to Plaintiffs that they must comply with the Local Rules, Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel fail to comply with LRCiv 7.2(j), Rules of Practice, effective December 1, 2010, and Rule 37(a)((1), Fed.R.Civ.P. (“[T]he motion must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make disclosure or discovery in an effort to obtain it without court action.”). These rules mandate moving counsel to attach a certification that “after personal consultation and sincere efforts to do so,” counsel have been unable to satisfactorily resolve the matter. Plaintiffs’ counsel provides no affidavit

28

