

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Robert John McClelland,  
Petitioner,  
v.  
Charles L. Ryan, et al.,  
Respondents.

No. CV-10-00764-PHX-NVW (JRI)  
**ORDER  
and  
CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY  
AND IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS**

Pending before the court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of Magistrate Judge Jay R. Irwin (Doc. 18) regarding petitioner’s Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (Doc. 1). The R&R recommends that the Petition be denied and dismissed with prejudice. The Magistrate Judge advised the parties that they had fourteen days to file objections to the R&R. (R&R at 13–14 (citing Rule 72(b), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and Rule 8(b), Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings).) Petitioner filed objections on July 8, 2011. (Doc. 19.)

The court has considered the objections and reviewed the Report and Recommendation de novo. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the court must make a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objections are made). The court agrees with the Magistrate Judge’s determinations, accepts the recommended decision within the meaning of Rule 72(b), Fed. R. Civ. P., and overrules Petitioner’s objections. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (stating that the district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part,

1 the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate”).

2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate  
3 Judge (Doc. 18) is accepted.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment denying and  
5 dismissing petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254  
6 (Doc. 1) with prejudice. The Clerk shall terminate this action.

7 Having considered the issuance of a Certificate of Appealability from the order  
8 denying Petitioner’s Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, the Court FINDS: Certificate of  
9 Appealability and leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal are **Denied**. Petitioner has  
10 not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

11 DATED this 18th day of July, 2011.

12  
13   
14 \_\_\_\_\_  
Neil V. Wake  
United States District Judge

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28